4/21/70

Deaz Howerd,
Your letter of the 15 th , postmarked 17 , didn't arrive until tocesy because there was insufilicient postage on it. Te all do this. I heve e good scale and I sanetimes elip up. However, it cioes slow tha alow adl aonn by that mach more, in this ease at least one snd maybe three days. If you the a scale, check it. If you do not, wisn you cengeget one. The Pelouze, which weighta to two 1 bs , is dependsile and inexpensive.

I H9S, as you by nor know, quite disturbed by your marco on your Nichols intervier. I had to teke my wile to town this ofternonn, so I rezponded to thet imedistely. I thed roed the rest first. Your Morgan correspondence is ingeinative ond excellent snd you did notilng vrone. It is dore by reporters Bra witers ol I the time (though I rever do it). Where I foel people will not reapond to me , I ek rthere to write, rather often. Toz many peovie are ufraid of me. On tiae other hend. I get resperises when orhers cunct. une esnrot eenerel1ze. Sometimes it is simply becsuse i go efter ther eo herci that i get responses, the same resson I sometimes do not. You inow With Fisker, for exempla, sie losit his cool, ond it will return bot.

Followine deteils es ycu dia With Korgan is important, helys build the totsl picturs. Incidinily, that is tas best procedure, to write confidential end your desme. he it heppene, 1 aeve no interest in taie in my own writing, but is it not poasible that a year from nor 1 rigit forget you do not want it used onj shom it to someone else I trust? So, why not meke tint a practise, at the some tive, as you soetines have, telling ne that you do not care il Iuse something or to asic you if it turns out I do vent te, otc. But I'll tell you wast sbout tiis could interest me, if you heve time. Rrece the sketch you sent me and sketeh thie in. I cen visuslize it betier thet way end it tey eugcest something to me. I Anve given you one conjocture elready. You know the file I'li heve this in. I'm surpribed, by tae moy, turt you did not recsll the Fishertrain thing you sew in it.

The Skolnick thing mey be rach vorse tasn L've had time to spell out to you, depencind on kuresucratic imeginstion, act often es sharp as it could be, che of the corrupting, corrosive oflects of parsr and the predisposition to uge it. I've had topend much time trying to offset its potentisi. Confldentialiy, I Leve prepexec s suit eguinct ilti, La, use tyainat us sa closo sa yossible to inyoseible. İ I'd rot Led to write you this J.N. I'd heve corrected it tien. Then I return from town I wee still too upset te undertake euch $z$ iosk, so I ponkod outaide, thich stoadied rae a bit.

Fheher corres: send it regeraless of muther or not it sugests meunine to you. Z o heeds ere hatter....And I mey sec it is Thet pos ibly you bie not, each of us heving different mowleige, each seeine tuinge aferentiy. Your Cernes letters, es you now lmov, hod the nipsine link for me.

Follom the hond wound es yon will. I think it Crolish. I cian'it give you enl the foseibiaities. like the fomily seeint it erter wachint, before beriel.

Inability to tape Nichola: Seve up. Toasy one cen get a decont casette recorder, cuite protable, for $\$ 25$, perhe e less from your doz, or through tim. The casetter ore more expensive, but es you lioten to it ycu cen dub on the chesper reels. his coula aeve been very irportent to me, posefble to pruperetion for other stupidities that cen hurt ue. Did you not hear him toll me to wes going to sue them fins what he awore they soid they didn't heve when there were agruing
not only thet they aid heve it but the t they slmays hoc it. Here I juet cennot believe him. But if the is serious, can you ingeine the end in court?

To give you a brief but I hope adecuste answer to your ouestion ebout my lack of dioubt sbout the 383 businese, for time alweye presses on me, especislly whan I got bshind a bit furtion:

I had expected something like tais \%ould heve te heppen efter papt I did in 1967, but I iad also forgotten absut it until I saw your picture, hen you san how fast I spotted it. There ere a nu:aber of ressons it ha to heppen, one being jy knozladge of the size of the remeining onially Iraguent. That is in PM. (ind for what it is wortn, Jow is the firet person I showed that to and he imeedotely hed pictures taken when he pransed not to and no you tell me his pheture shows it.) They Hspe been watchinis me closely for s lone time. They oven go cver whet I get from other agencies and soemtines ait on thet (I aleo somotimes blunder here, ond heve). They out together hat I hea sut together th understoci. In 1967, With all the attention Garrison wos getting, that could heve been the end. Fowever, they nevar dideand almost nobndy did-understent tha love-heto relotionship betiveen us. They couls have expected re to give this to him to use. "enember elso the irivclity of their rafusal to teke opicture for mo on a acele, for their fellure to eive me eny record of the welght at say spailefc, icentifiablo time. ell. I finally got them to take enotber picture for me after monthg end monthe of trying. I sent tive negative to Dick, with etrictest infunction not to make say extre copies, let snyone see 1.t, etce, telling him you'd see it here. It is my first, yours ond my socond, all in one. I has no loubt with vours, but lick usid raised questions of ancios atid shegovs. Ith the wrons exposure, I taink this nev one elimimetes the deubte Dicis hei, not I.

Your paracraph on Iifton: please learn from this axporience with John. Plesse learn your in limitations. And try end leam the terrible bendicsp on bonobt man is under then he deels aita those whe ore not and ore beitht ond resourceful ond unsciupulous. I have acedenic interest only in the Iink-specter tope. ${ }^{\text {Lhiz }} \mathrm{I}$ a thy I said not to forgo onything to get we a free copy. I tuink you'il get nothine of velue from Lifton and think you'Il find notining oi velue in the pictures he" a let you have. I'd like to be wrons. ind all the copies od docmments bo has just gotton from CD $E$ vnll I thitk $6,7,205$ sre otherrise avellable to you. If ynu need eny, let ma lmor. I lareody have many. Int oniy should you not discuss sny material, s yon showld not fortion thom in eny wey, not in any rugeine roference, not sny ineicetion of existence. You io not knoz hitu. On TBI's 50 en* 60 , port. I'd rether ret mos ask the FEI for photos "ithout the sereen. If you dia not syecify this, ycu'll bet them with ciots, all the frchives hes. Or hed tho weeks egr. I Leve tolreety asked tue frehives to get tue orieinel, vithout dote for me. If they do rot, I'll ask tas FEI, but if I forget and heve to use this in en ection, cerver nove the underetoning thet becsuse I did esk you to do it, you dif it fer ae? I no not abut to have en "odal nistrative remedy" not used, end I sietht eet involves and cuerlock whis. I do not expect to, but I'm sisc inte too mach now. ig e egereral practico, ewery time tory pull a dirty trick, I whe a nem, added request in witing, each time With the inherent eugeestion they con look forward to enothor suit. This eloule be the next one. I've dons it with the epectro (repeatediy, first time 5/5s), wita cocumente relatine to Ferrie, etc.

Phone cal I interrupted train of tunusht. las I whe this argzeation: you ere soresding, pourself ton tiin. Esch net involvemetht teises thine from sorsetiine else. You'll not get from ififton wast the tion is wanth to you in cther "ays. - Ou got one tainir tast ie not ell tint fron Michole, the Convely picture. The rest, where meeningful, is not now. $B_{e}$ a good shoomake, stick to your last so Ewt the skoas done. If taey need repoir, you can then repair them. Dest,

