## 3/21/70

Dear Howard,
Were I to read your abuidant mailing of the 18th, I'd forget before I could write, I'll put it in chronological order and respond while reading.

Your 3/13: In police and intelligence circles there is a phrase, "nesd to know", thet is meaningiul and can be a satisfactory guide for us to follow. For one thing, it mesns no ego trips, not just wholessling things so we can be pleesed "ith ourselves. Thus I send you what I think winl be of interest to you from my knomiedge of your intrests, no more. As you knom, this 1s not beceuse $~$ lack confidence in you, for you see what you went when you are here. However, just piling stuff up wastes time for the recipient, incresses the possibility of iale chatter and runa a certain hazerd in the wails, the euthenticated stories I could tell you being Pight from orwell. Tuis ia not to say you shouldn't sond Dick coples of your panel corresponànce, wimich I assume you bave already done, sut ib intended as a guide, genereliy, when such questions confront you, Eomever, I hope Dick will not be sending any of tils to Lifton or enyene alse, for I do plan a suit on tae penel material. end I mould like it ind to be as little telegrapued as possible, end I would like you snd Dick to Lelp wita it, as my axperts and coneuidente, including in court, where I expect to be my own lawyer. For several reasons, only one of which is that Bud cen take only so much time froia hia practise.

In any event, you noin see the significence of that particular letter you sent ine, xis which was proof, over the signature of a panel momber, thet wias I'd been lookiug for so long had existed. Until I had the t pronf, I had to be potient and did not ask, oficially, for this stufe, not being in a position to support my contention with otaer tâan logic. Once ormed, es you now know, I could (end did) press hard. I have heard by phone from DJ and I think they just msy be a little stelea. I grote yesterdey reainaing folapp three allent wesk kuve pesced, etc-end, ea I alnays do, giving hin a new one to chew. Ivary time tiey stall or pley gimes, I make new demends. At some point they will tumble, but it will be on their own, not beceuse I tell taem. Fhis is also a kind of geme, intended es en educational one.

Generaliyy, on the doctors: tuey are all in the same boat ond none will, on bie own, plea mea cuipe. Maybe things will yat go that way. You will note only Fisher hes responded to me but I have sent coples of gll of my letters to each-snd none hes bech returnea.. I wish I had tie funds to subpens eack end every one of those finks to be a witnese. Eut do I look forwerd to questioning two myself: For. More even then Eumes or Biswell.

Bone demgge: with all deference to Dick and his great knowleige of weapons and bullets, Weeht (wino is not perfection) assured me then I first read this languges to him gnci geve him my inierpretation, that it was almost certain bone had been struck.....Got interrupted.

Your $3 / 13$ on my $3 / \leq$ SS memo: Your Kelley -arm question. I took this to mesa taey knon bster tain fairy tales and place the burden on the FBI.

Viewing-Admas, hy recollection is simply thet $S$ ecter was not then the top thing in Kellay's mind, not tuat neither he or other staff members were there. The asory on idsasis tast he quit! This Specter got his assignment, really promotion. You may not recall that when I told you Kelley showed $S$ the pleture, I also told you I knev tais, not toa i I was guessing. That Specter saw it I have known for more then 3 yrs . It is, ellipitca ly, in the US News intervie w, withoutr

The misaing X-rsys ore rather completaly in PyIIm dozetier with the gerjury charge. I do not yet bave the memo of frensfer, but I do expect it without suit and I do know more sbout it. I beve known a out it since the fall of 1966 but was never in a position to move. Archives first toyed taen denied, on the ground it is what they cell a "orevato paper". I rrosume the rest of you gre leavine toese tadigs strictly alons. I do expect this und it is important. It is, I tell you for not, not ernugh to describe it as you and tae penel do, as listine tha pix and X-rays. I'll tell you nore when I iavo it. Correction on notes: wa known twey existed. 1221 is the $S S$ control no for tue supp. eutopsy. Thara ere notes on CDAGB lesdine to my inquiry. Slides sre tissue slides, end I trink I bave soped out There they are hidden. You end Diek went to make guesses?

Chack on the 6.5 frag you called to ray attention. It is wast I Asked for, elso atructure, zlso 1dentifiegtion of "miasls".

To sagke youxe bit more, my privats investigstions eitout parkland orderlies since 0 in NC disclose other peseible candidates. The Hosp. pers. weshed tae car, allegedly under SS superviaion. My response was to ask Kelley it be wantel pictures showing the character and closeness of this supervision, to which he mede Io answer,

This is but ona of a nuraber oe such thinge on waica I fieve long been working in varloue ways, in this case for about three yaars. There are others. Perisps this mekes more comprohanaible why I inaist my meteriels be left strictly alone, for there is an overapping. Nehols and my 399 picture provide another kind of dlustrgtion of what can hepren. I now know he duplicated this almost of soon es be promised the not to.

3/17, suit: before I left DC I gesve Bud'a secretary a list of people to whom I'd like eapies sent. He egrest. She did it. It incluces Dick. Fine on cailing the popers. I've not heord from either. Thet UPI dia put the story cut I know from Fionfre Hoys, unless ke lied, for he said he reas it thet night in tho Memphis Iress-Scimiter. Lea phoned me. Wierdo if there ever wesone. Vour better is good. Thanirs for baing on the ball.
$3 / 17$, letter. Lifton, Check. Aa I told him, I think this wes a large error on Dick's part. Ingtesd of just backine out, fothet is the sey you want it, why not fuet not reapend for e while end, if it becomes necessary, fust sey that between your reasarca end writine and school. work you just do not heve time, tut you'i be glad to hear from hira if he hag anytning foak he thinks you could profit from or should know.

PanNE FIL: no longer sharp in my ninci, but generelly accords with What you say. I heve on idea where but I'm not saying for a waile. I doubt it is notes (but not imposible). I think the procedures and testimony were enuf. ...The rercxes worked overtime. Thet I snticipste nere will wait urtil I hove exnausted all "administrative remedies", "hen I will make a move Itve not indiceted to either of you. I entirely agree with your interpretation of the significance of what he was saying and what ne wes giving you to understand. agreed on neck fregnente, but only as possibility, out of context.... But on the two simulteneous shots, I am with Fil. One possibility no one ave explored is a still leter ore, sey oprosite culvert?

I कos not implying any deliberate offense by Dick, but with whet he knew about $L$ it wes unwise to mention anywthing, so to thing fren whied we could not reasonably expect an extension of knowledse and fron aibich he aad evary expectation of trouble and hurt and wasted time and anctional upsets. But you are right on your own right to use your own materials first, and I surrender any rights i may have asd from the preparation I gave you. I have all this sort
of thing you send either filed separataly, with a Not for Use label, or marked "confidential".

It is possible to deterine of a rifle had be en fired recently. I believe such testing mist have ben done as nart of what tapy belleved mould be a solid case egainst tifo. I do not recall any reference so eny suct tiset. Tuis porsusdes me it is unlikely it wes used then.

II you mast argue with your history prof, end I sugest it wil not be productive, way not ask him if he belleves the gevernment should obey those lawe passed to require certain performances of the government and then if be balleves it is proper for the goverbment to frame even s bad men, ard lastly what he tuings this does to the judicial systam, or does he wert tust sacrificed on the altar of an alleget "nationsl security".

On grogments I was going on recollsotion ond tast inciudes thoracic aree. Good sug estion on fihoeds, but I sm almay so rughed + nevar have time to plen such things out.

3/16, "surprises". There ia no reason why you cannot sak and expect thet your Speeter interviem bs kept ouiet. I think it is rigit and I talnk if you'd have suggested tile to Diek he'd neve seld nothing to anyone.

Scewartz: I made two Sepatmber speeches at his achale, 1966 and 1967, esch opening the seeson, eech with good maipnces. Theiz beut, they auid. Stit I do not recell him. The Bnstell letter ke not exceptignel for it is the selfeeviling uni ${ }^{4}$ d expect.

3/10 tontetive meme on choct riouncs (I'11 buve to be brief):
Specter specialized inssking wrong uestions and asking right questuons of wrong people.

In this connection, reesll that "umes mode the usual "Y" cut in his aitopsy, so he ssw or could see the insides at these points. $\mathrm{Pz}, 3, \$ 1$, fin is what Perryitold me slso... I do not taink it was humes who was trying to mislead Specter.... Whet purpose teg served by tils doception? I taink it unlikely sll trose peopie would have been silent if they sow e front-chest wound.

3/11 Specter-Humes memo. Perhaps this ie oversimplification, but as you kndw I have been moking e thing out of no mon-perkland doctors seeing the woun le biove tue tracheostomy and the tubes distorted. Faving made the $Y$ cut, there should have been little doubt end the iob recuired that there be none.

The sun is out, I'm pooped, and I thick I shnuld get some air and moderate exercise, so I'll resd the Specter-Tink thing hwne I can. I heve thes one corment: Specter turned down severel dozen invitetions to debsteme. I cslled him real, bed things at tast schule, he cancelled one TV show when he found out I'd be on it (though 500 miles away) and may have conacelled enother. I suggest this means that, wisely, be picked his edvaraary, and I suggest you can Eetira reading of Tini from it not inconsistent with what I've been telling you. It is possible to argue that innk get himaelf up to helo Specter (I've not read a wora of this yet). I have never considered Specter a fool. Just evil. Smart, though, and I leel with o nurting conscience. I mey subpene him for the pertinont suit. Or, perhops, os a rebuttel Witness in te parel suit. Well see. vhen you can make it, I'd bonreciate a dub of the tape, $17 / 8$ is fine and taikes less tape. Several people heve promised but none has delivered.

