Dear toward.
I was in ashington today, expect to return there tomorrow, so I answer your very kind le ter of the lith in waste.

Kent thanks for the two copies from Burrard. May I suggest that, ss SOR, when you take copies of exch things you identify each it the full title and whether or not copyrighted there the copy may be reproduced, use sift penail on the back. $t$ will s les help you as time fogs recall. if you beven't learned titis, you will, ales.

Appreciate your cor ants on 0 in NW. Unfortuntely, it was cut (had to be), but injudiciously, and the typogrephicsl errors were never corrected. But it remains the one book on the subject (in advance of it) and is without major error, no real ones.

Your question about int ll and howard ts one. They cen make out a case if being frames by the FBI (I frankly do n nt know end until I do must give them the benefit of the do bt. I have interviewed Hell three time end .onward twice. It is all on tape/ Hall is one of the more enormous liars. Both are fascists. On suaptedons, $y$-s, until there ere really positive answers. Fut the Pal case le no case. I believe the io story, and tie "BI end liebeler both tried to reek her nw nd coulin't.

Id forgotten the Akin testimony. It fits tace theory believe I gave $y \circ u$ perfectly. lick, $1 k 0 \%$, will be interested, for he end + have gone into the same tine.

Yourll/15: when you af "inck'a N. D. testimony on the anterior mound, you'll realize there are but two possibilities: a sample was removed or he perjured aimslef (both are possible).

Some of the peel doctors have been morehelpful than they intended. There Wen no time forme to show you that file (and I have some of it in confidence). But when you see $x \times \operatorname{FXI}$ you'll see. I look for ord to this very much,

Please excuse the tate. Best regards.

CC bernebei


