

10/15/69

Dear Harold,

I was going to write you that the 24 was a bad time for me to come when I received your last letter. Since I would like to come in the morning thus giving us more time, I think it would be better for both of us if I came from Friday Oct. 31 to Monday, Nov. 3. I guess I would have to leave in the late afternoon on Monday - will know better when I get the bus schedules. If there is anything about the times I just outlined which does not agree with you, please let me know.

I am aware that Sprague has seen much unavailable stuff and has subsequently written reports on his viewings. I have some sketchy synopses of the reports from Dick but nothing substantial. I was hoping I could see the reports when I visit.

From viewing Z I find it hard to conceive of any place where the setting slipped to slow motion. I know for a fact from my analysis that in several instants (one of great significance) the camera mechanism actually slowed down (which is tantamount to "fast motion" where less frames are exposed each second). In slow motion setting, more frames are exposed. Will present all of this to you when I come.

I think our interests ~~xxx~~ in the medical evidence are for different reasons stemming from a similar cause. Your extensive work in this field seems bent on showing the corruption which surrounds the autopsy. This is not a criticism for I think what you've done is great. My interest in the autopsy is to ascertain exactly how JFK was wounded. To do this, I must usually examine the patterns of contradiction in the evidence, compare the conflicting reports, and evaluate what was deliberately suppressed by Humes and Co. Most of my data comes from comparing the autopsy report with the Panel report. Both are supposed to tell the same story but actually you would think they referred to two different bodies. This way we can see some of what Humes suppressed or lied about - what the panel suppressed we may never know. Am anxious to discuss this matter with you.

I hope Gary looks up Carolyn Arnold and Sandra Styles - some missing links in the LHO case. Does the surprise you expect to have for me have anything to do with Gary's trip? You've got me curious now but nothing you say or do could augment my eagerness to come and talk with you.

Still,

