

8/19/71

HR(SM),

Urlet 14, return copies mine, clips, ltr to Belin today. We'll probably talk about some of this when you are here, and I'll as usual read the clips in odd moments, but this to say your letter to Belin is good. I think it is a mistake to give those bastards a moment of peace. True, they had problems, but not now. Now they merely say up is down, day night. Enough of what they failed to do when it was their obligation to do it is available to them for them to be honest without cost.

If he responds, find some way of telling him how you, too, bewail sensationalists, like those who wrote a government document with a large summary at the beginning, intending that summary to be used as a press release and so using it, and sayin it it that someone alone and unassisted committed the most horrible crime while in the body hedging and saying much less. This, is course, the cheapest sensationalism is, befouling the public mind in the largest press release in history, the one that got the world's widest distribution, and havin it unfaithful while getting the expected benefit from it. By the way it is R19.

If he does not reply, you have lost nothing but do have a gain, you can quote all this and add from his record. And if Sylvia is right, as I think is at least possible, then the sooner you send him to the couch th greater the favor you do him!

I have made some new and I think among the most important discovering, through a careless oversight this long delayed. You'll know about it when you are here. I added about 4,000 words ~~to~~ to the ms this a.m. instead of reading and correcting. Unfortunately, I lack the \$60-\$75 it would cost to get this, assuming as I think likely I'll not have to go to court. That would be an official insanity like none of the many.

While I was at A I asked to see a different version of some evidence of which I'd known for years and hadn't thought to compare until I got to writing it, when it hit me heavy. I was right, it tells all of that incredible aspect. You'll find that what I have said of Specter now will stand as flattery. And you are but his flack, by comparison. This time I've got him by the skin, the hair being so short even nails can't grip it.

Little ~~else~~ new save that I've had to let too much of personal importance slide to do that which I have done.

Jerry made some interesting additions to his Kaplan portfolio we'll all be seeing soon enough. It puts his American Scholar piece in a different perspective. That is the cleansed version -after all sort of biggies had rejected it. And he then wouldn't cleanse it until told that or nothing. With McGeorge Bundy behind him!

I don't know what, if anything, it means or can mean, but I've had a call from a cousin of King's. We'll see.

Best,