

Re 116A1 and 2: I do not follow what you are saying. Why is "telling" "wrong word as usual"? Telling is exactly what he did, whether or not on camera. Neither "offer", for this was not the first time, nor "relgy", since it originated with him, is precise.

Please explain what I do not see,, "terrible phrasing".

Also, bottom page.

116A2: how can you suggest that the calling of none of the admirals is subject to innocent explanation when they all knew what Burkley did, or suggest the question is inappropriate or out of place? Especially on the back wound, which they all had to have seen?

Or, aside from in connection with the threat, where this doesnot belong, where else can it belong?

Or, did their domina'tion, as already addressed through Finck, not radically influence precisely this point? H