

# '61 MEMO IS CITED ON C.I.A.-MAFIA TIE

5-30-75 N.Y.T.

## Hoover Is Said to Have Told Robert Kennedy of Link to 2 Racket Figures

By NICHOLAS W. ROSSOCK  
Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, May 28—Robert F. Kennedy knew as early as May 1, 1961, that the Central Intelligence Agency was secretly dealing with the Mafia, according to a Federal Bureau of Investigation memorandum now in the hands of the Rockefeller commission and the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence.

The discovery of this new memorandum increases the mystery of whether senior members of the administration of President Kennedy, including his brother the Attorney General, ordered or approved or allowed C.I.A. men to kill Cuban Premier Fidel Castro.

It is part of a growing pattern of indications mentioned in news reports over the last few weeks that a man in attendance Mr. Castro was discussed at the highest levels of the government in the early months after, and that, with or without approval, the intelligence agency recruited two men with organized crime connections to attempt one such operation.

According to sources familiar with the investigation, J. Edgar Hoover, the director of the F.B.I., wrote a detailed secret memorandum to Robert Kennedy in May, 1961, advising that during an investigation of two racket figures, Sam Giancana and John Roselli, agents had turned up an apparent connection with the C.I.A.

### No Word on Assassination

The memorandum, one source said, went on to note that the F.B.I. requested and received a full C.I.A. briefing about the agency's dealings with Mr. Giancana and Mr. Roselli. The memorandum, this source said, never mentioned the words "assassination" or "eliminate," a euphemism for assassination often used in spy circles. But the source said

Mr. Hoover characterized the reported C.I.A. activities with Mr. Giancana and Mr. Roselli as "dirty business."

The memorandum is dated almost a year before Robert Kennedy was given a briefing by the intelligence agency on this same subject.

In that briefing, covered in testimony before the Rockefeller commission and in documents, according to reliable sources, the Attorney General appeared to learn of the C.I.A.'s dealings with the Mafia for the first time and admonished the agency official briefing him that the next time the C.I.A. wanted to deal with organized crime it should come to him first.

As a result of this May, 1962, briefing, the Attorney General gave Mr. Hoover further details on the C.I.A. operation and Mr. Hoover wrote a memorandum that was kept in F.B.I. files and was known only to select members of the top echelon of bureau for many years.

### Concern on Blackmail

The memorandum, another source disclosed last week, is also in the hands of the Rockefeller commission, which is looking into intelligence operations. It reportedly contained Mr. Hoover's concern that Mr. Giancana could "blackmail" the United States Government.

The Associated Press reported last week what appears to be another piece of this puzzle. It quoted authoritative sources who said the Rockefeller commission had obtained the minutes of a meeting on Aug. 10, 1962, attended by Secretary of Defense Robert S. McNamara, Secretary of State Dean Rusk, John A. McCone, then Director of Central Intelligence, and McGeorge Bundy, President Kennedy's adviser for national security affairs. The meeting, the A.P. report said, included a "discussion" of killing Mr. Castro.

One source told the A.P. that the matter was "immediately dismissed," but the wire service quoted two other sources who said that a memo was written two days later by Mr. Williams, an attorney for the C.I.A. to prepare contingency plans for the "assassination" of Mr. Castro.

Several highly placed sources within the C.I.A. and other intelligence circles in the early nineteen-sixties have said that when the Bay of Pigs invasion failed in April, 1961, there was a major effort to get rid of Mr. Castro. For instance, Newsweek magazine reported that a source described this as an "effort of the Kennedy Administration."

### Authority Unknown

What intelligence sources of the period appear to be anxious to stress is that no plan for either an assassination, kidnapping or coup d'etat would have been brought to an operational level without the authority of the Administration, but the public record is by no means clear.

The instance, one source said, that the top of the May, 1961, memorandum disclosed this week, a note had been typed in what he said was Robert Kennedy's handwriting saying "Have this followed up by Mr. Bundy," and that the memorandum bore the handwritten initials "RFK/AL." The handwritten note had apparently been retyped by someone in the same period as the memo was written, the source said, apparently to make the note clear to readers. But there is no evidence yet public that it was "followed up vigorously" or what action was taken, if any.

A spokesman for the Senate committee declined to comment on whether the committee had any specific evidence.

This has been the committee's general response. But the spokesman went on to point

out that the source... and that the question... to assassinate... or a coup d'etat... should be investigated... and thorough.

Any... of Mr. Castro... several highly placed sources... within the C.I.A. and other... intelligence circles... the early... nineteen-sixties... have said... that when the Bay of Pigs... invasion failed in April, 1961... there was a major effort to get rid of Mr. Castro.

For instance, Newsweek magazine reported that a source described this as an "effort of the Kennedy Administration."

Authority Unknown  
What intelligence sources of the period appear to be anxious to stress is that no plan for either an assassination, kidnapping or coup d'etat would have been brought to an operational level without the authority of the Administration, but the public record is by no means clear.

The instance, one source said, that the top of the May, 1961, memorandum disclosed this week, a note had been typed in what he said was Robert Kennedy's handwriting saying "Have this followed up by Mr. Bundy," and that the memorandum bore the handwritten initials "RFK/AL." The handwritten note had apparently been retyped by someone in the same period as the memo was written, the source said, apparently to make the note clear to readers. But there is no evidence yet public that it was "followed up vigorously" or what action was taken, if any.

A spokesman for the Senate committee declined to comment on whether the committee had any specific evidence.

This has been the committee's general response. But the spokesman went on to point