

Mrs. Peggy Adler Robohm  
45 Lawson Dr.  
Madison, CT 06443

x3 3/11/93

Dear Peggy,

I'm sorry you are as disturbed as you reflected in your call last night. I was troubled by it other than as you were. I was then, I was until I retired, I was when during my brief sleep, and I am now, before 4 a.m.

I told you I'd phone Adams this morning. I will not.

At my age, in the state of my health, each thing I do ~~do~~ know is at the cost of something I'll not be able to do.

You may not realize it but although it is not your intent, what you are doing is, as you reflect it, aimed at doing something about Poor Richard Waybright who, as my wife put it correctly, is a boy in a man's body. What the Baltimore police do or may have done about Richard helps us not a bit with our problem; Harry. What he is doing, what he is writing and saying and ultimately, the book he has contracted. My interest is in Harry, not Richard, although I tried to help Adams, took what for me since he called a not inconsiderable amount of time to try to help him, and offered him access to all I have. He did not bother to tell me what interests him or where to send copies.

While I'll help all I can I will not take any more initiatives. If he wants help he can tell me what help and if I can provide it, he'll have it promptly.

You said we are all in this together, those for whom he is making trouble. If we do not offer each other what any of us thinks he needs, that is not togetherness to me.

That I do not have all I can and should have puts me in a position where I cannot and will not ask others for help, and I mean locally, because that can mean that I'll impose on those I'd ask for help and be informing them incompletely. My interest is not and their interest would not be Waybright. Or the Baltimore police. Or whatever they may have been prompted to do with very bad information. I've seen too much of what police, national and local, can and do do under such conditions when they have any reason for wanting to.

What you said about the postal inspectors is, I think, quite wrong. It is also the opposite of what you said about the Baltimore police. In any event, I'm not going to take any more time trying to persuade others to give me what I think I should give them, having filed the complaint. What I get I'll give them and if I get nothing else what I've given them is all they'll have.

As of now, in terms of what I think our objectives should be, I believe that if Harry's book is published, it will not include the chapter he said it will have on Mary. I am confident that nothing like the book he has indicated in his threats will be published and as I told you, he has been warned already.

As a friend I suggest that you try to stand off a bit and ask yourself if you have not become too gung ho! with the police. (Gung Ho! is a phrase I put in our language during World War II for \$100 and had the article ripped off in a profitable movie ~~for~~ that meant nothing for me.)

Unless they do something about Harry they do nothing that does any of us any good in any way. Besides, there is little doubt that Harry has gotten all the real use he can need from Waybright and Miranda. Adams never spoke to me about Miranda. I'm sorry that when I suggested last Saturday, when you indicated you'd be phoning Adams Monday morning, that he phone Gary Mack and I think, although I'm not now sure also ~~fix~~ Jim Harris, you did not tell me you did not know how to reach Gary. I'd have told you. As Gary also could.

On David Saulsbury, I doubt he was here with Richard more than four times/when he did not ~~bring~~ <sup>bring</sup> his children. He never worked here for Harry. Usually he'd chat with Ed and me. He came more often with the children and without Richard. Once he came alone to locate in my basement files what Richard said he had returned. When I asked him to find out from Richard what Richard said he'd returned and they knew I'd be at Johns Hopkins, they met us there for lunch. Richard drew on a napkin where he had grossly ~~misfiled~~ misfiled the records he'd borrowed. David found what he returned and it did not include what he stole and sold to Lifton. This makes David a witness to his ~~thievery~~ thievery. It also tends to set him up, as any association with Richard can. But he is innocent. He never worked for Harry and I'm confident the computer will disclose that he never used it for Harry. When he learned what Harry is he tried ~~to~~, as we did, to talk Richard into breaking that relationship off. Richard did. And then Harry talked or blackmailed him into resuming it. He is a father who gave himself and his time to his children. Most of the time he was here it was with them only, and they loved it and had wonderful experiences. I hate to think of an innocent man and innocent children being victimized.

Especially when there is no indication of anything being done about Harry.

I know only too well what financial problems are. If the officials of our bank had not known me they could have taken this home a dozen times when I got cheated out of royalties by Dell. Once an IRS auditor was astounded to see that I'd been off working for a month and claimed to have spent, other than air fare, only about \$200. Another time I was in New Orleans for two weeks on a total of four meals to which I was treated. I had a car that was loaned to me, a breakfast of powders in a glass of milk and a 19¢ hamburger for supper. If I had lunch it was a 10¢ pie. We got out of debt when our farm was condemned for part of a park and when I won a lawsuit against the government.

I hope everything turns out well and fairly.

Best wishes,

*David*

I decided to write Mary with some encouragement. If the Baltimore police do nothing about Harry, and I know of no indication they intend to, it may dawn on Mary that whatever else they do has not ended the Harry problem. Besides, we are fond of her.

I do ask that you say nothing about the honorary degrees, which have not been announced. I do not want to run any risk of embarrassing the college.

The more I thought about the present situation and Adams' lack of interest in any letters I could give him or in what is ~~xxxx~~ criminal, corrupting policemen - and in Harry's case blackmailing Waybright - the more I felt that whatever they do will not help us. It will be gratifying if I am wrong.

Doing something about Harry could help him because he needs the medical ~~xxxx~~ care he has had in the past and a judge could order that.

That Adams has no interest in ~~the~~ every by a policeman is not encouraging and is abnormal for units like his<sup>+</sup> as for police departments in general.

I think it is not usual that he made no mention of Miranda when he clearly is within the investigation. And Gary Mack can help him on Miranda.

On the woman with whom Richard lived, at 7830 St. Bridget Lane, 21222. they can get her name from Saulsbury and if they prefer not to do that, a little time with the city directory should tell them.

Whether or not truthfully, Harry told me he has about 200 people helping him.

- While 200 may be an exaggeration, I have no doubt from my own experiences that quite a few have offered.