

RANDOLPH H. ROBERTSON MD
SOUTHERN HILLS MEDICAL CENTER
DEPARTMENT OF RADIOLOGY
391 WALLACE ROAD
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37211
OFFICE 615-781-4650
FAX 615-377-8100

Harlod Weisberg
7627 Old Receiver Road
Frederick, Maryland 21702

December 31, 1993

Dear Harlod,

Since your last letter I have spent a week at the Archives going through the HSCA material. I got the whole interview with Nathan Pool and it was nowhere near as strong as the letter I gave you would lead one to believe. I still think CE 399 is a plant though. As far as the HSCA being a whitewash you are very correct. As my area of interest is the medical evidence I found that when they were inviting pathologists to review the material once more they initially invited Fisher, Spitz, Moritz and others who would have in no way ever have changed their mind as to the conclusions they had put forth previously. I have found a few interesting tidbits and what I consider to be significant is that 11 eyewitnesses who were at the autopsy have told HSCA investigators that the bullet went in near the hairline like the autopsy pathologists have always said. Along these lines I was wondering if you knew of any documents related to the FBI re-enactment in Dallas in 1964. Specifically my question is How did they know where to put the white dot near the hairline on the dummy sitting in for JFK? If they went by the autopsy report then in his position it should have been higher. On the other hand if someone had access to the photos (postmortem) then they probably would have put it right where they did. My hunch is either that they had the photos or access to them or maybe Sabet or O'Neill told them where they saw the entrance. You might like to know that one of the people who was working near the photos and x-rays said they remembered a counsel from the WC coming in to see them but they were not absolutely sure or the interviewer did not relate who it was or if the counsel actually looked at them. I would bet a great deal of money that it was Specter.

I had been scheduled to appear at ASK in Dallas this year but decided to pull out at the last minute when I saw that the panel was packed with Lifton and cohorts. I have found it very discouraging to put forth a theory that proves that JFK was shot twice in the head using the governments own photos and x-rays and eyewitnesses and to still have these people believing that the materaisl are fake. I am not naive enough to think that bullet fragments were not played with after they were recovered or that photos were not destroyed. I do know however that what we are left with is the real McCoy and that it does show that he was shot twice in the head. If these people really believe that it is all fake I can't see why they debate this material at all, they should have nothing from which to draw conclusions from. Rest assured that the documents I have seen thus far will disabuse anyone of reasonable critical thinking that Lifton's theory is pure bunk.

I may be coming back to the Archives in a month or so to get some more material. If you know of any documents related to the FBI re-enactment I would appreciate any help you could offer in steering me in the right direction. I hope your new book is coming along well and that you have a pleasant New Year.

Sincerely,

Randy 