

Dr. Randy Robertson
100 Maxwell Crossing
Brentwood, TN 37207

3/8/95

Dear Randy,

I'm sorry you do want want a press conference because that is the one way we can hope to crack the monolithic media refusal to treat the subject, by creating a competitive situation in which those who ignore solid news will look bad if others carry it and they do not. Or to ~~use~~ ^{use} your words, it is less of "a struggle to bring material forward." I have no lack of such material in the book, as you will see. There is ever so much more in it that is new and is significant ~~than~~ ^{than} any of the media can use. It is because I can't go to Washington or New York and it is unrealistic to expect the media to come here that I had in mind offering you and if I can locate him, Chip Selby, the attention at the news conference. If I could arrange it, it would be for you an answer to your "trying to find some way to bring forth material." If I had the contact with any publishers or media people who might have an interest in your "material" I would not have to resort, if it can be possible, to a press conference. Most of those I've known have retired. But I wrote two editors with major papers who are friends and I've phoned two without any response of any kind. They know what their papers will not use ordinarily. Publishers? If I had such a contact would I be repeating my experiences with butchered Case Open? And have a completed manuscript carrying ^{forward} what I say ~~in~~ NEVER AGAIN! and have not offered it to them?

I hope you can find some ~~why~~ ^{way} of getting the media attention your work deserves but absent some kind of fluke it is an unrealistic hope.

And on our side I've not yet heard from Jim Lesar. I wrote him when I wrote you. Or from Roger, to whom I sent a copy of my letter to you.

If he ^{was} any kind of publisher, would he have ignored my letter to him proposing that he hold the press conference for me in his office? He has not even acknowledged receipt of it. But I have no doubt they'll pay for another one for the atrocity Livingstone's coming book, Killing Kennedy, will be.

What Gary Aguillar sent me was given to him by Anna Marie Kuhns Walko. She got it at the Archives while she was working there. I do not know when.

I've searched ^{all} the files in which I could have placed what Lesar told you about, not quite exactly as I recall what you want, and do not find it. It is Robert Brazier's two sketches of the limo, with the dispersal of the debris including on the hood, along with the location of damages inconsistent with the official mythology. When you speak to Jim ask him if I gave him a clue so I can locate it for you. My legs gave out before I had checked all the files that came to mind but I continued until I checked them all. That is a problem with massive files in which so much can be filed so many different ways. I checked the file of what I used in the NEVER AGAIN! Afterword and it is not there. I

also do not remember reading it recently when I went over those proofs. Nor do I recall my source. There is what may be more important to you than the dispersal of material from the head in them. That is the positions of the bodies in the front seat and the location of that windshield impact. The impression I got from Frazier's sketches is that anything from JFK's head would have had to have gone through Kellerman to make that impact. Frazier located it in several ways, with measurements. What I think now also struck me as odd when I went over those sketches is that he located material from the head on the hood but not on the trunk lid. My memory may not be dependable on this but I suggest you keep it in mind if it is possible significance to you.

If I later think of where it is or blunger onto it I'll send it to you.

Neither Gary nor Mantik has told me that they are doing a book but someone else did. I'm sure about Gary, not about Mantik. When I cautioned Gary about ^{faking} any dependability of Edifton and about the kind of person he is he passed that off by saying he had no other source on the medical evidence. Gary cooled a bit and was rarely in contact after I told him to ask himself why anyone would fake autopsy film and evolve what destroyed the official story the faking was supposed to support. He did not respond on that. Nor did Mantik, to whom I also suggested that he seek some other explanation for what he says he found on the film.

What I suggest above about that windshield damage is that, and this is conjecture, of course, it is more consistent with having been caused by what exited the front of Connally's chest than with any impact on JFK's head.

With the description that what you want is Frazier's two sketches of his examination of the debris and damage to and on the limo, do you think asking the Archives for it would work? Or Anna Marie, my most likely source, if you know where she now lives, as I do not?

Sorry, this is what can happen to a once photographic memory with the accumulation of years and the medical problems they can bring.

Resumed 3/10. I've continued checking every file I can think of, all the names and subjects even including on shots, without success. Today Dr. Gerald McKnight of Hood College is coming. I gave him a copy of your letter and its attachments for his class to use. I'll ask him if I gave him that Frazier memo. I may delay mailing this until Monday because often Dave Wrone and I speak on Sundays. I'll try to remember to ask him if I gave him a copy then. It is too cold, too much windchill for me to take the time when I leave, as I must if the lane is passable for me, for my early morning protime, to stop at the mailbox. Of course I am embarrassed at this, as so often now I am. But there is nothing I can do about this memory loss, alas. I'll continue to try to think of where I could have filed or misfiled that and as I am able, to search. I am more embarrassed because of the added possible importance I saw in those sketches. Which could have made an enormous difference if they had not been withheld so we could not question Frazier about them

when we deposed him in CA 78-226.

I think I mentioned it before but if I did not, I encourage you to consider getting some expert statements on the impossibility of those dustlike fragments coming from a military, hardened bullet. I think I went into that in Post Mortem.

Those alleged bullets were designed and manufactured in accord with the Geneva convention. Thus the design~~er~~ was to make dust-like fragments impossible from them. ...

McKnight does not have a copy of it.

If I do not somehow find it or figure out where it may be misfiled when I hear from Anna Marie I'll ask her for a copy.

In being perplexed and embarrassed about this and thinking about it I think but am not sure it may have been an ISVA record.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Harold".