

Randy Robertson, MD
100 Maxwell Crossing
Brentwood, Tennessee 37207

Harold Weisberg
7627 Old Receiver Road
Frederick, Maryland 21702

February 27, 1995

Dear Harold:

Thank you for your support of my evidence. I feel as you do that this is near irrefutable evidence that the President was shot twice in the head. I only wish that more members of the research community were as enthusiastic in their support as you are. I have found it to be a struggle to bring material forward while many, including other physicians, are still clinging fiercely to ideas of forgery and body alteration. I think it is too much for some to believe that at this late date that someone could come up with an interpretation of the real evidence.

I have enjoyed reading your previous books especially Post Mortem. I have not yet read the book by a fellow named Palmaria who went back and interviewed many of the Secret Service agents. The last I heard, although I may be mistaken, is that Clint Hill has died. Perhaps if someone were to talk to the children of these agents some more evidence could be found. I do not know how or who removed the large metal fragment but it must have been done with or without the knowledge of some nonmedical personnel who were present. I believe that the x-rays were taken before any major manipulations of the skull but this does not rule out the possibility that more of this bullet may have been removed before the x-rays were taken. Whatever the case, there is no excuse for them not to have retrieved and retained this piece of lead for analysis. When you really delve into this material some important inferences can be drawn many of which lead to conclusions of lying and cover-up by those involved as you have already said.

At this time I am trying to find some way to bring forth my material. There are many other things about the medical evidence and its handling by the government which I have researched. I do not know if this is enough for an entire book but many of the recently released documents have added immeasurably to my understanding. I have to bemoan the fact that some of this additional evidence when interpreted by warped minds can be used to support outdated theories that have discredited many serious researchers.

I thank you for the opportunity to be at a press conference but I am afraid I must decline at this time. I am hopeful that I might find some manner in which this can be presented in a thorough way. If you do have contact with any publishers or media people who might have an interest in this material I would appreciate you letting them know about my conclusions.

I just received your draft and it is very interesting. I enjoyed your thorough evaluation of the way that Sibert and O'Neill changed their affidavits. I was interested to see that it was Gary Aguilar who sent you those copies of Finck's testimony. I was actually the first

person to have seen this material as I was the first to have it declassified at the Archives Research room. I initially had Jonathon Meyers, a helper at the AARC, to copy these materials and send them to me. When I first got these copies in the mail and I first saw it and I wrote down the day and time. I took the time to read and initially assess the material. I then sent copies to Kathy Cunningham who was going to ASK that year 1993 and I would not doubt that the copies that Gary Aguilar sent you are the ones that I got out of the Archives. Gary Aguilar along with David Mantik, a radiation oncologist, have been the leaders of a group of physicians who have gotten interested in the Kennedy assassination. Unfortunately they are wed to David Lifton and the thought that somehow the autopsy materials as well as the Zapruder and Nix films are fake. Despite the very clear cut analysis I have provided they still question the authenticity of these materials. Their belief is that if they can prove the autopsy materials are fake that you can then blame the government for that and that all the doctors in Dallas who say the back of the head was blown out are right. I would never deny the fact that there was an exit wound in the back of the President's head but it looked exactly like what the photographs and x-rays show. When you really look at where the people in Dallas say the wound was then it is really very close to what the photos do show. Lifton has gotten away for years with distorting the views of eyewitnesses and now he has physicians who are buying into this rubbish as well. All the answers they need are staring them right in the face and they are too stubborn to realize it and accept the fact that I figured this out before they did.

If I do come across any more good documents I will send them your way. Jim Lesar said that you had a diagram of the Presidential limousine which showed where various bits of brain and bone were found. If you have a chance to send me a copy I would greatly appreciate it. I have asked Jim for a copy but I don't know when he will get around to sending it to me. I'm sorry for the delay in answering your letter but I have been very busy the past few days.

Sincerely,



Randy