

Dr. Randy Robertson
100 Maxwell Crossing
Brentwood, TN 37027

3/30/95

Dear Randy,

Until I got your letter of the 23d I did not know you had ~~not~~ even made any submission and of course I ~~will~~ keep what you ask secret. Roger had told me the reason you declined is that you prefer first attention in a professional journal. Not even that you had submitted your article. When I asked Jim Lesar to have the press conference there I told him only that you had declined, preferring first attention in a professional journal. I may or may not have told Chip Selby and Cyril Wecht this, I do not recall. I asked both after you declined. Except for saying the same thing to Dave Wrone, that is it. That you prefer such publication does not indicate anything at all about what you have done so there should be no concern about this. And I am rarely in touch with any others, almost never except on their initiative. I used to hear from Aguilar often but not since I embarrassed him with the question he and Bantik have not yet addressed, why anyone would create fakes to destroy the purpose of faking.

Quite separate from this another California doctor recently wrote me that he was with them and others when they saw some kind of an enhancement of the Z film and he put it to them as I did. I regret what they'll do ~~with~~ to their reputations. I understand, not from them, they are working on a book.

I hope they accept your article! Which will be reinforced long before it can be published by NEVER AGAIN! as you'll see.

On that I've no word on when it will appar. They had planned for it to be in the stores next week but they ~~mislead~~ the corrected page proofs for three weeks before telling me. I then sent them copies. That may have delayed them a little. I do not know.

I've written so much I now cannot isolate some of it or fully remember the detail into which I went when I do recall. But I had a good, high-ranking source inside the Pentagon. He was one of my sources on what I have on the requirements of the Geneva convention and hardened bullets. My original source was an amateur expert, the late Dick Bernabei. He was professor of classics at Queen's University. I've had no complaint or adverse comment on what he told me and I used. What he said is consistent with what your source told you about fragile bullets losing so much energy. Aside from which he said the ~~approx~~ dispersal pattern indicated front entrance. *indicates this.*

I wonder if the JAMA monstrosities can have any influence on peer reviews? But again, soon they'll have no credibility at all to those who see NEVER AGAIN! I think when that book is out doctors should complain to AMA and demand that Lundberg and ^{re} go.

I've set not date and not discussed any with Lesar, Selby or Wecht. All I've said is after the book is in the stores. It would have to be a date convenient for all of them.

2

If when the time comes you feel you can be there, fine! I can understand the importance of appearance in a professional journal to you. I have not always gone for what could be expected to get most attention.

In the past I've experienced what you refer to as a complete lack of support from Wecht and that was when it could have been significant. But let me tell you a story that may explain his silence over your work.

This is a field in which there is extensive thievery and credit-seeking. Personally I do not mind. Was a time I resented it. But I've always given others all I have if they want it and several times this has gotten considerable media attention if it did me and my work no good.

When the Archives released the memo of transfer and related records to me Bud Fensterwald saw a chance to promote his then organization with it. So he got Cyril to go to Washington and Bud arranged a press conference at The University Club. I doubt Cyril knew it was Bud taking my work as his own. Anyway, they held a press conference and Cyril got quite agitated as he uttered the words he later repeated, "Gimme that brain!" He was referring to the left hemisphere. He repeated that often enough. So he can now be embarrassed by this new information. Or thinks he can be.

You refer to making the autopsy team out to be liars. NEVER AGAIN! makes a solid case that they were repetitious perjurers. That part is particularly powerful and it is one of the reasons I want very much to get attention to it.

It is possible, as you think, that Cyril is sitting on the fence. He has been very much influenced in the past by the Nuts and too often repeats their stupid mistakes as though he is saying them on his own authority. As I've told him.

Roger may have sent me The Emperor's New Clothes but I do not remember it and I do not have it in his file or yours. But if it is what your article will say I can wait for that. There are other places I could have filed it and I can only do very little searching before my legs complain loud and clear!

Conyers, with whom I've had no contact for about 20 years, had a real opportunity and listened to the wrong people. I understand he was impressed by Posner. He did not even acknowledge my Case Open when I sent it to him. Now he is no longer chairman.

Lesar has negatives of most of the pictures we might use. I used them in my FOIA litigation. I'll be getting a few records together so he can give them out. And Selby had a remarkable interview with Dr. Wolpe, which I urged on him. He could use only a little in his documentary. He gave me a transcript, I use two parts of it heavily, neither relating to the brain, and he will show those parts of his interview. If it gets any attention it will not be a boost to Specter's new ambition. Which I do not think is to be the Presidential candidate.

I've suggested to Cyril that he use what HSEA had and suppressed to go after them. You'll see the use I make of it in the book.

I hope RADIOLOGY decides to use your article. Thanks and best wishes,

Handwritten signature