

Dear Barbara,

6/21/80

The political scene, to which you refer in your 6/16, may yet get more interesting and the condition of the country deteriorates further.

Anderson will get lots of support, like yours, with a Carter-Reagan choice.

Thanks for the Anderson button.

I don't recall that Anderson was on the assassins committee. If he was it was in the early period, when it did nothing and held no public hearings so there would be no way of knowing how he conducted himself. I recall that he was an early supporter of the move to establish a committee and thus could have been one of those who did not continue when it was reorganized.

Don't expect anything serious from the Justice Department on any reinvestigation. They've been dawdling and will do what they can to continue to cover up.

I also got a call to watch the Today show and did. Summers is like all the rest of the egomaniacal who think they understand what mere mortals do and wind up cribbing the work of others, improvising on it and pretending to have done original and significant work. He was quite unfair to Phillips, which is no mean accomplishment, considering Phillips' past and the many deaths and serious and continuing troubles for which he shares responsibility. There is no reasonable basis for believing the Véciana story and Summers has no other source. In addition, the spooks just don't work that way. They never bring two operatives together in clandestine work unless it is essential to a project.

I heard from another friend in the midwest, a radio man. He told me of the same interruption and he thought it was network trouble, which does happen. Moreover the part that was lost out there was not favorable to Summers—it was Phillips leaning on him and presenting a real challenge to Summers' integrity and dependability.

I don't know whether or not there was any Maurice Bishop but I don't believe that story in any form.

There was a repeat on a Washington TV talk show yesterday but I missed it because I wasn't home. Blakey also was on that.

Blakey supported Summers because now unless Blakey can point to something he is without any accomplishment on the committee. The police tape was intended to be the ultimate put-down of all the critics. When that backfired he tried to use that as a positive accomplishment but at that time he was not able to undo all the covering up he'd done for his former pals in DJ and FBI.

Participating in political activities is a good idea, particularly when idealistic. There are few certainties but one is that neither Reagan nor Carter is fit to lead the country at any time, less so in times like the present.

Best wishes,

764 West Homest.
Freeport, Ill. 61037
June 16, 1980

Dear Mr. Weisberg,

Hello! I enjoyed your last letter of Jan. 15. The political scene has certainly been interesting these past six months! Hard to believe Carter swept through the primaries so victoriously,

you were correct by saying that Kennedy should have waited.

Since it is now between Carter or Reagan, I'm lending my support to John Anderson, who happens to be my new congressman.

I'm curious how John Anderson conducted himself while he was on the Select Committee on Assassinations. Did he care to find the truth? Did he believe there was a conspiracy? How did he feel about the role of the intelligence agencies involved in the cases?

I have been lost in corresponding with friends since I started working as a teacher in a Child Care Center since the end of January. There are no close state universities near me to finish my college education. (Phooey!) Here in Illinois all you need is 2 years minimum to be a teacher in a Child Care Center, so I applied and got the job. The extra cash allows for our family to travel back to Florida to visit with my family. We're a close family and it's hard not being near.

Last week^{or so}, my brother in Tampa met John Anderson when he opened a campaign headquarters there. My brother had to have a Secret Service clearance to meet him. He gave them his Social Security #, then they called him back and said he cleared.

He went down to meet Anderson, He really didn't know anything.

about him, but come back
impressed, and is now collecting
petitions to have Anderson put on the
ballot in Florida. I'm collecting
petitions here in Anderson's own
district. I've found out this
district is conservative, and some
people think Anderson is a "radical"!
I wonder what they would
think of a real radical!

I met Anderson at a banquet
held at the end of ^(in Tampa) January. Then,
he was just "a regular guy". No
Secret Service men, just his aide and
his wife. The Sunday before the
banquet ~~the~~ a Chicago paper ran
an interview of George Bush + Robert
Sher^{er}, of the L.A. Times. In it George
Bush said he believed in nuclear
survivability. Anderson disagreed hotly
with that idea. When I shook
Anderson's hand I thanked him
for bringing that story out, and he
told me to spread the word
on Bush's ^{dangerous} views on that subject.
(over)

I guess the Justice Department is mulling over the idea of pursuing the investigation. Haven't heard any news about it.

Enclosed is an Anderson button. you can accept it as a piece of historical memorabilia.

Best wishes,
Sincerely,

Barbara Grover Kinney

P.S.

I laughed when you said the Republican "party" pals are really "handcuffs". So true !!, in picking Reagan!

p.s. #2 !! Good Grief!! I was getting ready for work this morning & I got a phone call from my mother telling me to watch the Today show. "David Philips ^(CIA) undercover" as Maurice Bishop!" She told me it was a hot interview! I joked with her & said if it's so hot there will probably be network trouble by the time the Midwest gets to see it! (Back of page 2)

Well right after the interview between Anthony Sammers and David Philips, ^{beyonj} 2 AP! network trouble! Missed most of the first part of the interview. The reception came back in time for the second part of it.

It looked like David Philips was going to have a heart attack on the show he was so upset!

Strange! - He was just on the ^{recent} T.V. special about the C.I.A. (the interview)

I hope you SAW ~~IT~~

The author claims the connection between David Philips & Maurice Bishop was in the Assassinations committee report. Is that true?

What do you make of this story?
"Fanny", how I ~~th~~ decided to write to you this morning, and then later this crazy story on the Today show.

Really Anxious For your response
now!
Barbara