## Controversial Lee Harvey Oswald photo n spo

DB Associate City Editor

A much-discussed photograph of accused presidential assassin Lee Harvey Oswald has again been brought to publicattention.

At last week's debate between Mark Lane, Warren Commission critic, and UCLA law prof. Wesley Liebeler, Liebeler displayed a blown-up photograph portraying a man in a similar pose to that of Oswald in the picture used as the cover for the Feb. 21, 1964 issue of Life magazine.

According to Liebeler, who was one of the 14 assistant counsels to the Warren Commission, the only reason he showed the picture was to deomonstrate that it was possible to take a picture and produce the controversial conflicting shadows,

2224445

However, Raymond Marcus, author of "The Bastard Bullet," who describes himself as "a private citizen with an independent mind" said that Liebeler's presentation of the photograph was "tantamount to the perpetration of a hoax."

## Accurate representation?

Marcus said that Liebeler attempted to convince the audience that the picture was an accurate representation of the Oswald picture, while Marcus claims it was not.

The Oswald photograph in question has been a point of objection for many Commission critics. According to Marcus, 75 per cent of the professional photographers he questioned said flatly that the Oswald photograph was a phoney. Marcus said that the shadows in questioned


tion were the one under the nose and the body shadow. The dispute arose over whether the straight shadow under the nose was congruent with the body shadow which was at an angle. Marcus said that he has at-

in photographs he has taken gra

and added that he has never been successful. The purpose of Liebeler's photographic display was "obviously to prove that the Oswald photograph was legitimate," according to Marcus.

Liebeler said that the photographs were not exactly the

same, but that they were very similar. He noted that the date the photographs were taken was not the same, that the man in Liebeler's photograph had more hair and smaller ears, causing one ear not to show at all and the other to be barely visible. Liebeler also stressed the fact



SIDE BY SIDE — The picture on the left of accused presidential assassin Lee Harvey Oswald appeared on the cover of Life magazine on Feb. 21, 1964. The picture on the right was presented by UCLA law prof. Wesley Liebeler at last week's debate between Liebeler and Warren Commission critic, Mark Lane. Accord-

ing to Liebeler, the shadow produced in his picture sai show that the controversial shadows in the Oswald who photograph are possible to produce. One Commission gives critic, however, has stated that Liebeler's picture is like a "phoney."

that the Warren Commission has other additional evidence showing that the picture wasn't composite, as claimed by man

One of the main objectio made by Marcus was that Liet ler didn't show the Oswald p ture by the side of his (Liet ler's) picture. Liebeler stated the it hadn't occurred to him as his photograph was show merely to illustrate a point.

## Effects of photo

In his discussion of the Oswa photograph, Marcus said that thought that the photograp "proved to millions of Ame cans that Lee Harvey Oswa was the murderer, and that it was fixed, then it was the more damning piece of eviden against Oswald."

Liebeler replied "I suppose has some psychological effect but I suggest Mr. Marcus at dress his complaints to Life may azine."

Along the same lines, Liebelt has said that he would like thave Life magazine admit pullicly that it destroyed four framt of the film used by the Conmission in the investigation.

The destruction of the frame: Liebeler said, did not affect th Commission's investigation a they had the copies of the or ginal frames and used these fo examination.

"It's simply the fact," Liebels said, "that we didn't notice when we put the volumes to gether, that Life had in fact no given us the complete set, and I' like to have Life now admoublicly that they in fact didestroy those frames."