JAMES H. LESAR ATTORNEY AT LAW 910 SIXTEENTH STREET, N. W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

TELEPHONE (202) 223-5587

August 21, 1978

Mr. Fred Fiske WAMU-FM Public Radio via American University Washington, D.C. 20016

Dear Mr. Fiske:

Enclosed are some FBI records on members of James Earl Ray's family which Harold Weisberg asked me to send you.

Sincerely yours,

James H. Lesar

C. A. 78-0249

PLAINTERT

TELETYPE

URGENT

1 - Mr. Long

TO: SACS, CHICAGO

KANSAS CITY ST. LOUIS SPRINGFIELD

FROM: DIRECTOR, FBI

MUTKIN

FULL COVERAGE IS TO BE AFFORDED THE RELATIVES OF SUBJECT RESIDING IN YOUR RESPECTIVE TERRITORIES. THIS WILL INCLUDE A SPOT SURVEILLANCE OF THESE PERSONS AS WELL AS A DETERMINATION OF THEIR ASSOCIATES AND INDIVIDUALS MAKING FREQUENT CONTACT MITH HIEM.

YOU SHOULD MAKE THIS A CONTINUING PROJECT UNTIL OTHERWISE ADVISED BY THE BUREAU.

IT WILL BE FULLY INCUMPERT UPON EACH OFFICE TO BE COMPLETELY AWARE OF ANY SITUATION IN WHICH THE SURJECT CONTACTS RELATIVES

- MEMPHIS

CUMMUNICATICY SECTION PAGE TWO ...

TELETYPE TO SAC, CHICAGO
KANSAS CITY
ST. LOUIS
SPRINGFIELD

RE: MURKIN

OF THE SUBJECT. YOU SHOULD INSURE THAT EACH RELATIVE IS ADEQUATELY COVERED TO POSSIBLY ASSIST IN THE SUBJECT'S LOCATION AND APPREHENSION.

ARMED AND DANGEROUB.

AIRMAIL COPY TO MEMPHIS.

NOTE: In view of the fact subject could possibly contact his relatives, the offices covering residence of relatives requested to provide full coverage to provide any information whatsoever that could lead to the subject's apprehension.

EXHIBIT 6

Mr. Talan

Mr. Delies &

Mr. B. skop.

Mr. Coper. Mr. Callatian

Ceres 1

FLUCRAL EURCAL OF INVESTIGATION U. S. PERA HILL HOLIUSTICE COMMUNICATION SECTION,

APR 2 G 1968

MI OT LOUIS

TELETYPE

INIQEM L'ROEMT 4-25-6F JLS

TO ELIMEAU, MEMPHIS, CHICAGO AND SPEINGFIELD

FPON CT. LOUIS (44-775)

YRAMYU? MUPPIN / -

JOHN LARRY RAY, BROTHER OF SUBJECT, REINTERVIEWED. MORE COOPERATIVE. ADMITTED VISITNG SUBJECT TWO OR THREE TIMES MSP. WAS IMPRISONED IN ILLINOIS RERIOD OF YEARS PRIOR TO SUBJECTS MINETEEN FIFTY MINE ARMED ROBBERY AND DENIED KNOWLEDGE OF SUBJECTS AGAIN DEMIED CONTACT WITH SUPJECT SINCE ACTIVITIES DURING SAME. ESCAPE OF KNOWLEDGE OF HIS WHEREAROUTS.

EFFORTS DIRECTED TOWARD DEVELOPING LIQUOR PERMIT VIGLATION TO SERVE AS LEVER TO FORCE COOPERATION. SUPPLIERS OF GRAPEVINE TAVERN STATE DELIVER-IES ARE COD AND PAID IN CASH BY WHO EVER IS ON DUTY. PUSLIC UTILITIES STATE BILLS PAID BY CASH OR MONEY ORDERS, NAME OF RE-MITTERS NOT YET KNOWN.

EMPLOYMENT ONE DAY MAY THENTY FIGHT GLER ECHO COUNTRY CLUP VERIFIED.

POT RECALLED BY EMPLOYEES. CHE MINE ONE THREE HICKORY.

END PAGE ONE

66MAY 3 1963

CL 44-775

COMMERICAL BAKERIES UNA DE TO LOCATE PAY EMPLOYMENT TO DATE. HIPPIE "FIGHTOPHOODS MEGATIVE.

MORERLY NO STATE TRAINING FCHOOL FOR MEN INMATE

STATED WHEN HE WAS AT MSP RAY INDICATED INTEREST IN LETTERS RECEIVED FROM MEXICO AND QUESTIONED REGARDING MEXICAN ECCHOMY AND ARMY STRUCTURE.

SUBJECT ARMED ADD DANGEROUS.

E!!D

GFH

FEI WASH DC

PLAINTEXT

May 2, 1968

TELETYPE

UNGENT

TO: SAC, ST. LOUIS

FROM: DIRECTOR, FBI

MURKIN /

TAVERN TO DETERMINE IF THE OWNER OR OPERATOR OF THE TAVERN IS POSSIBLY ENGAGED IN ANY ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES WHATSOEVER.

ALONG THESE LINES, YOU SHOULD HEMEDIATELY ASCEPTAIN IF THE TAVERN IS POSSIBLY LICENSED AND IS CONFORMING WITH PRESENT LAWS AND REGULATIONS GOVERNING THEM. THIS IS FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEVELOPING INFORMATION WHICH CAN BE UTILIZED IN COMMECTION WITH INTERVIEWS TO DETERMINE WHEREABOUTS OF SUBJECT. MANEAS CITY HAS ADVISED THAT SUBJECT RAY UTILIZED THE ALTERT PEPPER STATIONERY COMPANY, SEVEN ONE TWO A SHENAMPORAL STREET, ST. LDUIS, MISSOURI, AS A MEANS OF GETTING MONEY OUT OF TEACH, ALLEGEDLY PURCHASING STATIONERY.

RELIEDBY (4)

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO.

61131

TELETYPE TO SAC, ST. LOUIS

RE: MURKIN

;;

IF GRAND JURY IS NOT

IN SESSION TO SUBPOENA RECORDS, YOU SHOULD INSURE THAT REVIEW
OF RECORDS CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED WITH FULL SECURITY AND THE
BUREAU'S INTEREST WILL BE FULLY PROTECTED.

ARMED AND DANGEROUS.

AIRMAIL COPY TO MEMPHIS.

NOTE: Kansas City has advised that Ray has utilized the Albert Pepper Stationery Company of St. Louis, Missouri, as a means of metting money out of the prison

St. fours also being instructed to fully cover the Tavern as owned and operated by subject's relatives and to ascertain if illegal activities involved and to establish the Tavern operating in compliance with regulations.

2 .

EXHIBIT 10

FBI WASH DC

1126PM URGENT 5-14-68 JLS

SUMMARY.

TO DIRECTOR AND MEMPHIS

F THAT DUREAU OF INVESTIGATION S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CUMMUNICATION SECTION

Mr. Mr. Mr. F Mr. (; Mr. 12 Mr. S Mias G

From ST. Louis

MURKIN

FEI ST LOUIS

LAOMA OWENS ENTER JEFFERSON-GRAVOIS BANK SL SUMMER SIXTYSEVEN.

CURSORY CHECK OF ALL CHECKING SAVINGS AND INSTALLMENT LOAN ACCO. UNDER RAY NAME AND ALIASES AND JAMES LOAMA OWENS AT JEFFERSON-GRAVOLS BANK SL BY BANK TODAY UNPRODUCTIVE. * CHECK IN DEPTH (ALL BANK RECORDS, INCLDUING SAFETY DEPOSIT BOXES, WILL BE MADE TOMO

RE EX CONS

TODAY ADVISED HE AND VISITED

LAST NIGHT. ALLEGED TOLD OF FBI INTERVIEW. AND GAINED DEFINITE IMPRESSION HAD HARBORED RAY AFTER ESCAPE, THO DID NOT ADMIT TO SUCH IN SO MANY WORDS.

RRINTERVIEWED EXHAUSTIVELY. AGA DECLINED TO FURNISH SPECIFIC INFO RE DEEP SOUTH BANK ALLEGEDLY ROBBED BY UN-NAMED MAN AND RAY, JUNE JULY SIXTYSEVEN, ON GROUND WANTED FEW MORE DAYS TO DETERMINE IF SOMEONE ELSE AWARE OF SAME, SO HE WILL NOT BE FINGERED AS SOURCE. INSISTED SAW ACCOUNT OF ROBBERY IN CHICAGO REC- 44

MAY 16 1968

PAGE TWO

SL 44-775

TRIBUNE, BUT NOW PLACES TIME AS MUCH AS MONTH FOLLOWING HIS RELEASE

JUNE TWENTY THIRD. NOTE CG CHECK OF TRIBUNE WAS TO END JULY SIXTY
SEVEN. PLACES VISIT OF UN-NAMED MAN FEW DAYS OR WEEKS AFTER TRIBUNE

ARTICLE. NOW CLAIMS MAN TOLD HIM ON FIRST VISIT RAY WAS ACCOMPLICE.

PLACES SECOND VISIT WITHIN THREE OR FOUR WEEKS OF FIRST, INSTEAD OF

SIX WEEKS AGO, AS ORIGINALLY STATED. NOW, SAYS MAN JUST CAME FOR

CUP OF COFFEE, SECOND VISIT, AND THAT RAY OR TWO HUNDRED FIFTY

DOLLAR LOAN MADE ON PREVIOUS VISIT NOT MENTIONED. WHEN CONFRONTED

WITH DISCREPANCIES STATED "AS I VE TOLD YOU, MY BRAIN DON'T WORK

RIGHT". STATED MAN MUST HAVE OBTAINED ADDRESS FROM RAY AND

RAY

NOW SAYS STATE LINE RIVER WAS

MISSISSIPPI AND CITY WHERE BANK ROBBED ON EAST SIDE OF RIVER.

ANOTHER MAN LAST NIGHT. SAID ASKED FOR FOOD MONEY.

GAVE HIM THREE OR FOUR DOLLARS. THEY TALKED OF RAY BEING RED HOT NOW AND BOTH MEN LEFT.

EMPHATICALLY DENIED HARBORING RAY, OR KNOWING WHEREABOUTS SINCE ESCAPE. WHEN ADVISED OF REPORT RECEIVED RAY SEEN NEAR HIS RESIDENCE HE STATED IF HE WAS, HE NEVER CAME INSIDE OR CONTACTED HIM IN ANY WAY.

HARBORER. UNRELIABLE. BEING CONSIDERED POSSIBLE

END PAGE TWO

PAGE THREE

SL 44-775

RE CAROL PEPPER SISTER.

CAROL PEPPER RE-INTERVIEWED TODAY. SPECIFICALLY DENIED CONTACT .. BY OR KNOWLEDGE OF RAY WHEREABOUT SINCE ESCAPE, OTHER THAN WHAT READ IN PAPERS AFTER START OF THIS CASE. SAYS BROTHER JERRY IS ONLY MEMBER OF FAMILY WHO HAS NOT MOVED SINCE ESCAPE, AND IS ONLY ONE WHOSE PRESENT MAILING ADDRESS NOWN TO RAY. SAYS GRAPEVINE TAVERN BARELY MAKING ESPENSES AND MAY NOT CONTINUE.

RE JOHN LARRY RAY, BROTHER.

JOHN RAY ONLY PERSON OPERATING GRAPEVINE TAVERN TODAY AND COULD NOT BE INTERVIEWED BECAUSE OF CUSTOMERS.

RE JERRY RAYNES, FATHER. -

SOURCES AND SPOT CHECK DISCLOSED NO SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY.

· FELLOW PRISONER INTERVIEWS AND LOGK ALIKE RESOLUTIONS CONTINUING. SUBJECT ARMED AND DANGEROUS.

BGM

FBI WASH DC

FP

HERM BEEN OF EVENT OF BUT OF COMMUNICATIONS SECTION EXHIBIT 11 JUH 1969 TELETYPE FPI WASH DC FPI ST LOUIS 632PM URGPNT 6-1-6P JLS TO DIRECTOR AND MEMPHIS MURKIN - SUMMARY RE JERRY RAYNES, CAROL PEPPER, JOHN LARRY PAY. SOURCES AND SPOT CHECKS DISCLOSED NO SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY. NO PERTINENT DEVELOPMENTS OTHER PHASES OF INVESTIGATION TODAY. SUBJECT ARMED AND PANSEROUS. END GFH FRI WASH DC REC 11/// EX 109 Deleted Copy Sent John Li by Letter 1/4/24 Per FOIA Propost 64 54 1011 13 1968

5/3/68

PLAINTEXT

TELE TYPE

URGENT

1 - Mr. McDonough

TO:

SAC, ST LOUIS (44-775)

FROM:

DIRECTOR, FBIR (14-38861)

MURKIN

REURLET APRIL THIRTY. LAST

YOU ARE AUTHORIZED TO OBTAIN

INDICATED

WHICH HAVE NOT BEEN PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED.

ARMED AND DANGEROUS. AIR MAIL TO MEMPHIS.

U. S. PEPMLETT OF THE THE MEMPHIS COMMUNICATION SECTION

EJM:cs

, (4)

In connection with investigation being conducted by St. Louis, that office requests authority to obtain

information on

ו ובנו וצרב שווד [

Portageville, No.

Thad been described as close associate of subject Ray and allegedly -hid Ray out at time he escaped from Missoupi State Penitentiary

in April, 1967.

NOTE CONTINUED PAGE TWO ...

NOTE CONTINUED:

0

She is landlady of here. St. Louis, Missouri. close friend of subject Ray.

at Center, Missouri. Jerry Raynes, Ray's father who resides.

St. Louis also requested authority to obtain similar data on Albert and Carol Pepper (sister and brother-in-law of subject) and on the Grapevine Tavern brother. This coverage has previously been authorized by Butel 4/30/68.

2

UNITED STATES & AL. 1emorandum JUNE DATE: May 9, 1968 Sullivan FROM A. Ruse Tavel _ 1 - Mr. DeLoach Tole is 1 - Mr. Rosen 1 - Mr. Malley SUBJECT MURKIN 1 - Mr. McGowan 1 - Mr. Long 1 - Mr. Conrad Mr. Gale PURPOSE: To recommend the installation of a technical surveillance (TESUR) on the telephones of Albert and Carol Pepper, St. Louis, Missouri, and the telephone listed to the Grapevine Tavern in St. Louis, Missouri, owned by Carol Pepper, subject's sister, and operated by John Larry Ray, subject's brother, and the installation of a microphone surveillance at the residences of Carol Pepper, and John Larry Ray, and at the Grapevine Tavern. These installations could assist in the early apprehension of the subject, which could possibly be instrumental in reducing the stresses and tension placed on our national security subsequent to the death of Martin Luther King, Jr. BACKGROUND: We are presently conducting exhaustive and extensive investigation to determine the present whereabouts of the subject James Earl Ray, who is one of the TEN MOST WANTED FUGITIVES. Although many hundreds of interviews have been conducted and leads run out, we have not been able to locate the subject nor have we located any person who can furnish us any information as to the subject's present whereabouts. It has been determined that Carol Pepper, the sister of the subject, and John Larry Ray, the brother of the subject, are the closest relatives to him. Carol is married to Albert Pepper and they reside at 2025 Belleview, St. Louis, Missouri, telephone number 645-2948. John Larry Ray resides at 1900 A Cherokee, St. Louis, Missouri, no telephone listed. Carol presently owns the Grapevine Tavern, 1982 Arsenal, St. Louis, Missouri, telephone number PR 6-9417. This tavern is operated by John Larry Ray. John Larry Ray has expressed a cooperative attitude; however, it is felt that he is not giving us complete and accurate information. Carol Pepper refuses to submit to interview and is not cooperative. It is felt that if the subject telephones or personally contacts any of the relatives, it will most likely be Carol Pepper or brother John Larry Ray. CONTINUED AKATL RY 11 MAY 22 1968

Memorandum to Mr. DeLoach RE: MURKIN

RECOMMENDATION: That a technical surveillance be restalled on the telephones of Albert and Carol Pepper and the Grapevn. Pavern and a microphone surveillance be installed at the residences Carol Pepper and John Larry Ray and at the Grapevine Lavern.

Attached for approval is a memorandum to the Attorney General requesting authority for this coverage.

A THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

Memorandum

To Mr. Mohr

DATE: May 10, 1968

SUBJECT: J. J. Casper MURKIN

JUNE

por methy.

As shown in attached memorandum of May 9, 1968, from Mr. Rosen to Mr. DeLoach, consideration is given to microphone installations on certain properties of Albert and Carol Pepper. The proposal raises a question concerning the legality of any action taken against the subject of this case on the basis of information obtained from the microphones.

We believe these microphones can be installed and used without prejudicing the case against the subject. In a very recent decision of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, a listening device was installed on the premises of one Levine. Later, a subject named Granello, an associate of Levine, came up for trial and claimed that the listening device installed on Levine's premises, which was installed by trespass, was illegal as to him, Granello. It was not contended that any information obtained from the Levine microphone was used as evidence against Granello at trial either directly or as a lead. The court held that since Granello had no interest in the Levine premises, the monitor was not illegal as to him and he could not obtain a new trial or dismissal of the indictment. U.S. v. Granello, 280 F. Supp. 482 (1968).

Applied to instant case, this rule of law could work out in different ways. Assuming that the subject of this case is not on the premises to be surveilled by the means suggested, and has no possessory or other right in those premises, any information disclosed by the surveillance in some way, such as conversation among the Peppers, could be used to learn the whereabouts of the subject for purposes of arrest. The problem becomes somewhat more complicated, however, if the subject of this case made a telephone call to those premises and that telephone call were recorded and used as the basis for his apprehension. He then could claim that the surveillance violated his right of privacy in the telephone communication he made to that place, citing the Katz decision in the Supreme Court.

Finchosure 2011.

1 - Mr. DeLoach
1 - Mr. Conrad
1 - Mr. Gale
1 - Mr. Rosen
1 - Mr. McGowan
1 - Mr. Long
1 - Mr. Long
1 - Mr. Long
1 - Mr. Jone

31 MAY 22 1968

1. 13 2 1 2 189 N

"CONTINUED - OVER"

The worst that could happen in either of the above circumstances, however, - assuming that we follow the precautionary measures listed below - is that we illegally learn where the subject is located and thus are able to arrest him on that knowledge. The rule that comes into play here, established in the last century by the Supreme Court in Ker v. Illinois, 30 U.S. 347 (1886), is that an illegal arrest is no bar to prosecution. Wong Sun v. U.S., 371 U.S. 471 (1963); U.S. v. Hoffman, 385 F2d 501 (1967); Keegan v. U.S., 385 F2d 260 (1967). A person may be arrested unlawfully and actually kidnapped into the court having jurisdiction of the criminal case, yet the court still retains jurisdiction to try the person for the offense. The court would not allow the prosecution to use as evidence any information obtained through the illegal surveillance but the illegal surveillance would not taint the use of any other evidence obtained either before or after and which was gotten in a legal manner. Nor, to repeat, would the illegality of the arrest alone, resulting from whereabouts disclosed by unlawful surveillance, prevent the court from trying the subject for the offense.

If the action being considered is taken, we strongly suggest three precautionary measures, as follows:

- (1) That all recordings be preserved intact. It may be necessary to disclose some of them to the court or even to the defense.
- (2) That no use be made of any information obtained against anyone whatsoever or in any way whatsoever except for the single purpose of locating the subject in this case. As we well know by this time, evidence of the offense obtained in this manner is not admissible. It would not be admissible against the subject and it would not be admissible against the Peppers on a charge of harboring.
- (3) Be aware that since this search and seizure is unconstitutional as to the Peppers, they have at least a theoretical cause of action for damages against those who installed the devices by trespass. Here again, however, if nothing learned by this surveillance is used against the Peppers in any way, their cause of action is diminished to the lowest possible degree, becoming that for a technical violation only rather than one of substantial harm to them. Moreover, in any such case the government of the United States should surely be willing to pick up the tab for any judgment had against those who installed the microphones.

RECOMMENDATION:

For information.

, r

PLEASE SEE ATTAChed

رز٠

ROUTH IN ENVELOPE

The Attorney General

JUNE

May 13, 1958

Director, FUI

1 - Mr. DeLoach 1 - Mr. Rosen

1 - Mr. McGowan

ASSASSINATION OF MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR.

1 - Mr. Long

James Earl Ray has been identified as the subject in the case involving the murder of Martin Luther King, Jr.

Extensive investigation has been conducted, and no information has been developed indicating his present whereabouts. In order to possibly assist in locating and apprehending the subject, it would be of extreme value to know if the subject has made any contact, either personal or by telephone, with his sister, Carol Pepper, as well as his brother, John Larry Ray.

5-14-68 IXMOR

In view of the above, it is requested that you authorize from 202 10 installation of a technical surveillance at the residence of Carol Pepper and at the Grapevine Tavern, owned by Carol Pepper and operated by John Larry Ray. If is also requested that you authorize - installation of microphone surveillances on the residences of Carol Pepper, and Larry Ray, as well as the Grapevine Tavern.

These installations could assist in the early apprehension of the subject, which could possibly be instrumental in reducing the stresses and tension placed on our national security subsequent to the death of Martin Luther King, Jr.

REL:vea (7)

See memorandum A. Rosen caption "MURKEN," RE erg. 26-11 NOTE: See memorandum A. Rosen to Mr. DeLoach dated 5-9-68,

11 MAY 17 1868

III I LANGE LANGE LANGE