Mr. Richard Edindienst Deputy Attorney General Department of Justice Weshington, D.C.

Deer Er. Kleindienst,

It is because of all tam loud noises from this administration about "law and order", because of your own early emphasis on the weste of money and time, and because I would hope all Americans should be able to expect their gow rament to be a model for our young people, that I write you this morning, after the newest disappointment I felt in court yesterday.

This letter, therefore, is a challenge.

First, I challenge you to personally examine the correspondence between your Department and me and my lawyer on just a single matter, my year-and-s-balf of effort to get access to the public records of a public trial of an American citizen confiscated by your Department. Having done this, I challenge you to show me a single truthful com unication of any kind, to me, to my lawyer, to the court, or in court, for Mr. Anderson, knowingly or otherwise, also spoke felsely in court.

Next I challenge you to show my any aspect of the Department's conduct in this entire metter that is not in violation, indeed, in contempt of the kaptha law you are supposed to administer, the law that is supposed to guarantee their rights to all Americans, including me.

I believe the record of the Department is an obsenity, a profanation of every American concept.

Its every act was designed to do two things: frustrate or violets the law and put me to great cost and trouble to deay me what the law guarantees we.

If you were genuine in your time-check when you took office, you should also be concerned about the costs of citizens abused by government, in this case, you and your Department. The false statements that I believe are contemptuous of the law, what I believe had to have been deliberate violations of the law, have cost he a number of days of wested time at nome, about a dozen trips to deshing ton, each costing a day of time and about \$15.00 is out-of-pocket costs, and other costs in my writing. I think it only fair that these be repeid. The record could not be more caser, and I do ask it.

Mr. Asrikey, who examined one of the things decied me and to my face told me he would not give it to me, in the presence of his secretary and my larger, has since asince in writing that this identical thing does not exist, he did this, on your Department's behalf, shaest to the moment of court hearing. Then, the day before, your Department phoned my larger to say that was withheald would be given me yesterday. It was not, as you know.

You, personally, failed to respond when I wrote that the single picture to which i had reduced my request had not been delivered after two months. You did not delivery it yesterday. Mr. Anderson's explanation, in itself inadequate, was that your office had just phoned him the night before.

The cover of the alleged file I saw was so copied that part of it was chacured. I am not of the opinion that you have such poor machinery or such incompetent operators. Please note that, consistent with what have tried to tell you and your assistant, that I seek not sensation but truth and integrity in government, rather than take advantage of r. Anderson in court by showing this to the judge, I returned it to him. (He had asked me to write onto the zerox what was missing!)

The offense of Mr. Eardley is, A believe, a grevous one. As his superior, I sak that you do something about it. He lied, lied deliberately and knowingly, to the end that I be dehied my rights and abused. I repeat, he told me me would not give me this file cover, and then, in a disgreceful menner, openly lied to try and do this. Is this the conduct you and Mr. Mitchell want of the lawyers under you? Can either of you condone it? We will see, won't we?

If you do nothing about this, then it will be clear that Mr. Eardley was not appealing for himself only, that such lies are the official policy and he buts its menial.

It is sleave the time for the cesting of motes. This your Department and other administration officials are condemning the young people and others, you have filed to do better yourselves.

How about a little practise to go with the preaching?

To set the record straight, Mr. Anderson's statement in court that the two tuings he was then supposed to deliver and didn't were later requests and made, I have not added anything to the list I personally handed to Mr. Herdley. I would think that the one item of which I have made no issue, the letter escuring me that you did give me access to the entire file, is one you would want written. You did, if prematurely, essure the court you had complied with the law end my request. Pleass write me that essurence. In court it would be automatic. The record in this matter impels me to ask it in writing, again, and I do.

Sincersly,

harold Feisberg