Gary, Payl, Dick, moward from AW 2/7/70

There have been several developments on a single subject, Ray.

Yesterday & reached final verbal agreement with the small publisher who is to do the edited-down version and whose editing is well along. The size of the advance, typically with publishers, none of whom is ever honest, is but 60% of the figure we agreed upon when he was here, he had begun with 35%. They are supposed to be rushing it.

Yesterday also, as an afterthought, when I asked him if anything was new, waxaxxxxianam bud told me of the response to a series of latters I had esked him to write, to all of those it seemed Ray might have written from jail, in the various elem ats of the various government agencies he could have written. On some occasions bud has followed my suggestions, as in sending the unnecessary letters to Kheindienst and State after I got the first rejection from Mainlienst on the Ray England material. I drafted these letters and he abbreviated them. You know the result, which was very good.

Most of all, I suggested Bud write Justice, State and the State and county governments in Tennessee. Well, he had a reply from Justice.

It was signed, of all things, by Jerris Leonard, who is chief of civil rights! And it was a relsual! The reason given, they still have prosecutive interest!

My analysis, with which Bud agrees, is that this cannot relate to further prosecutive interest in Ray, for the double-jeopardy provisions precludes that. Also, there is the question of federal jurisdiction, and is this case, under the laws enforced by Leonard's division, there can be but a single that for presecutive interest in a murder case:

Conspiracy.

Which meens - may days succeeded even more with my suit.

Meanwhile, Eardley has maintained the protense they do not have the file cover I insist upon, the one I putin his hands with the request for a copy, and we may be in court on that next week. Great!

Bud has also filed the arestro soit. It attracted no press attention, we didn't inform me, so inspired none, however, I did tell one reporter it would be done soon and he has seen Bud. I have backgrounded him. That have developed for the clothing/pictures suits should result in appitulation, but who can tell? On copies, somehow I'll get them to you. I have been efter Bud for two weeks or so to copy the draft of the Ferrie documents suit for Bud, and finely, yesterday, he said he'd see. With his machine, this runs to about 5,000 copies, of what I do not know. But if he may want them. The copy I now have is incomplete, for he omitted so ething I spotted.

The presume he added it before filling.

Mary, Taul, Gary, Dick,

You lucky seepls, I've about 20 minutes before I we'ten bit and moverd (who returns home today) so, with something I intended making a note about anyway, I write you.

Cot a call yesterday afternoon from a SYTTimes reporter, or; to say thanks and ask a few questions then enything else, and I am under the impression that if they do a story he is not. He is, personally, happy that I filed under the Freedom of Information Act against Justice, which had lied to mim and denied I had filed. He had a set of the Tay documents, gi ven him by Justice and had read them.

I had known this we happening because a reporter on the hashington Post had phoned the day before to tell me, and he had known earlier that they were doing it because those very, very honorable men for whom he works had asked for them, their way of keeping the bargain I had made with them on this, instead of doing the agreed story, which might have done some good.

I confess being torn on this. I am glad we can force the bestards to stop at least some suppressions, but I cannot claim to be jumping with joy when they out he to all this trouble and expanse and then give my hard-earned away and under circumstances that could hurt me. The book is now agreed to, verbally, with a small publishers. I do not particularly like being denied the first use on my work. And, of course, this is frustrating because it even denies he the opportunity to recoupany of the costs, small ones being very great to he might now.

I neven't seen the Post yet, and this guy promised to send me a clip if the Times carries enything. From his description, the govt did copy the entire file for those who asked for it. I'll be checing either his or the Post copy for this purpose, but I see no reason for them not to make it all available. Nor do I see any special story in the content for the press, the proceeding having been well reported and the contents of the file containing no real news for the standard press.

My proposal to this publisher, who was here about 6-7 weeks ago, was that they select out and edit down the King material from COUF (which will now require a new title) and give me, we an advance against royalties, enough to print the whole work or so that for me themselves, if they can do it at less cost. They are in accord, but the more I think of it and the more I hook at the affects of out way of living on Lil, the more certain I become I just cannot afford this. First the hunk that will have to go for taxes will have to be salted down, then I hink the rest will have to go on our indebtedness, which looms larger to be deily. I think that means that the other parts of this work will go without being printed, but palmaps with one of the processes Faul can draw upon, for the few who might went it enough to pay a large cost, copies can be available.

I am sorry Moward is leaving today, for he is a very bright, very helpful joung men, mature for his 16 years, and a fine fellow in every way. Because he was here to help and had learned how my files are arranged and in those areas that interested him, he was able to find things for me while I wrote, and in the past two days I have drafted the coming two complaints for other suppressed (JFK) stuff. Again I focus on Justice and, as now drafted, them alone, not even with the Archives as co-defendant. (I think they should bem but I'm taking Bud's advice. I'll argue this with him before revision). So, a guest like howard doesn't cut down output, paying back the time he takes while he takes it!

howard has done more than go over my files, borrowing what he wants, copying pictures of which he wants copies, and reading what interests him. Whe has prapared an index of my correspondence, to date, with the government, bu subject. This will be halpful from time to time, in warious ways, but I think especially in court. I have made up a daplicat file for this urpose, so I'll nave everything with me on any suit. And I do ex set the say to come soon when they do not cave in so easily. In fact, the next two complaints are not designed to make it easier for them to capitulate, though I'd not be unhappy if they do, for I haven't yet prapared the big one (for which I wish Howard could have been here, that being the area he did know, these being relatively strange to him).

so, as of June 25, 1970, with no trouble, I can tell any of you what I asked for, whether or not I got it (if accompanied by a covering letter), etc. I'll try and send him copies from new on, so he can update it at home.

Paul and Gary especially, this raminds me of something I think would be nelpful, pap. In court. I think it would be good if I could have a file of your correspondence with the government, with what should not be disclosed under any circumstances so marked, and for this court purpose. I have some of it, but it is scattered by subject. In Paul's case, it should include im's. How do you feel about this, and about howard reading and indexing all of it, at his nome? He is on vacation now. He goes to Penn this fall, on a full scholarship, no meen accomplishment since he is a year of two early and has done all this work while earning a feshtastic scholastic record.

The second of th

You see, I hope he can be my research assistant in court, when I have hearings on these case, so his knowing the material can be invaluable. He has a good memory, a sharp mini, and it is much fresher than mine. Besides, I'll have to be the lawyer, so my mind, in court, will be on other things. I'll take this up with him. I taink he'll do it. I've just anakened him.

Ther should be obvious benefits to having a single source of knowledge of the major requests for material. It will be impossible to type this for the immediate future and, I think, it is premature right now anyway. And other things are more important.

We do have index cards on all my completed books, and if I can ever get a bright kid to some here for a while, I hope to consolidate them after the unprinted indexes are typed up. It would be good if we could run a few others in, like Sylvia's, especially.

The two drafted complaints are on the Ferrie documents and the spectro. Meither is a simple complaint. Each is designed to inform the judge, the government atterneys and the press. Neither tells all I know, but they are detailed. Thile these are designed to try and pry loose what I have long tried to get and still went very much and very seriously, they are also calculated to solidify the law by establishing precedents in several areas, which I do not take time to explain here and now. Each of these has such collatered purposes and in each case I have them in rather large lies I'll expose in court. In the Ferrie docs suit I have what they are withholding in several cases, neither meeting the description of their reasons for withholding and neither meeting any standard permitting it.

There remains work to be clashed up on these, including editing, getting together with Eud, who has premised to cast a legal eye on them, etc., then the filling, where even the fees will be a problem. Then I hope to get to the panel one.

I've ewakened the others, so.... best,

Draft of the complain, postmarked 12, arrived today.

- 2. Frederick County. Wail address is as you have it.
- 6. I do not question "scting", but I wonder if it is the word you went to use (as compared with pursuant to) because, in court, in Britain, the Missouir thing was dropped. I'm merely trying to think of the kidds of technicalities they may dream up. Do you want to add to this the description of the press, variously about 200 pages and an inch thick?
 - 7. There was more than one hearing, if it makes any difference.
- 9; There are two possible dates, onw that of the order and the second that of the execution of it. The order was issued 7/2. He got to Memphis before dawn the 19th.
- 10. Unless you know for sure they are all "originals", may I suggests something like "these copies of the supporting documents"? I am certain many were not originals, in one sense.
- 11. Why mpt add "after hos written requests had been ignored for months"
- 14. For various reasons, including its possible effect on the court, do you want to make reference to his "United Kingdon", corrected in this letter but still ignored by him?

After 17, do you want to add the requirement of automatic referrel, Clark memo, in my recent letters? This is required of them under the law and both Popartments Tailed to 60 it.

19. Want to add "and after a year of diligent effort", for I made repeated, early requests of DJ, once the new administration was in. You have them, addressed to themen who responded to my letters to Mitchell. I think, if you are in accord, it is desireable for the complaint to show that despite the intent of Congress, they first toyed with me, then evaded, then avoided, all to the end that what is required to be available not be available.

Wherefore", do you want to include, after "aid", "copy or", making it read, "and copy or make available for copying". If they do the copying on their own machines, they cannot raise the cran they did in "alleck's court and in response to John's complaint.

I don't know the lew espects, but this seems like a nice, quiet, moderate and considerably understated complaint. Real gentlemently. Proper as all get-out: Fine. Once you know when it will be filed, let us not make the mistake of the past and be ready with a press conference, as we earlier agreed.

Sincerely,

Report from the eyeball dept.

They blinked again.

I told you of the decision Bud and I reached last. Thursday, to go after Justice rather vigorously on their with-holding of the file cover and the letter of assurance. When he was here today and reported, I forgot to ask him the immediate inspiration-whether he filed the action we discussed Friday or whether my earlier letter to Rolepp did it, but he did say that he got three calls today from Anderson before Anderson got him, to practically beg that we not go to court tomorrow and to promise delivery of what I asked.

When you consider that Anderson didn't bother to return Bud's call after Mitchell's letter saying they'd let me see the stuff, that represents some change.

Bud said the call left him with the impression that if I demanded Anderson stand on his head, he'd do it without waiting. I quote Bud accirately.

So, we have agreed on another immediate suit, and when I prepare the complaint Bud will go over it. I'll send you copies. He agrees with what I had in notes for just this prupose. I think it will not be too long before they stend and fight. But we pick the issue. I'd prefer a few other approaches first, but I do as he prefers. I hope I can get it roughed out in a few days.

Right before Bud came I was telling Howard the old saw about the farmer who was clubbing a donket on the head with a 2x4 and was chided by a stranger. "I'm just trying to get his attention", the farmer explained. I explained to Howard that I am trying to get Kleindienst's attention. Maybe I have, temporarily. I think it would be self-deception to anticipate a long attention apan with such people.

So far, three out of three. Good beginning,

Best

COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE ASSASSINATIONS

927 15TH STRET, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20005
(202) 347-3887

BERNARD FENSTERWALD, JR.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
WASHINGTON, D. C.

BOARD OF DERECTORS

FRED GOOK, INTERLAKEN, NEW JERSEY
JOEN HERREY FAULK, AUSTIM, TEXAS
BEHNARD FENSTEWALLD, JE., WASH., D. C.
PARIS FLAMMONDS, NEW YORK, N. Y.
JIM GARRISON, NEW ORLEARS, LA.
RICHARD FOPKIN, LA JOLLA, CALIF.
RICHARD SPRAGUE, HARTSDALE, N. Y.
LLOYD TUPLING, WASH., D. C.
WILLIAM TURNER, MILL VALLEY, GALIF.

June 12, 1970

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 8 Frederick, Maryland

Dear Harold:

Just a note to say that there will be a postponement on the Motion to Dismiss until July 10th.

I shall get off a formal letter to the Justice Department requesting a copy of the jacket to the file and a statement that we had access to the material. I will send you a copy of this, of course.

Sincerely,

Bernard Fensterwald, Jr.

BF:crr