'ear cary an? "aul,
Sory I con't ond a cony or elet:er. The copy - weve ft mush th.


 the Ray atorisliti recucated. byy the rejubtion of


3 so3n tud zoes over my lece reuivesfile, we'll ase flasi daeiation on mot ani whe ive'll sue. I zuerect it mill ba DJ, So, tavy and Archives ror materer ne decide upon. You a ro beth fomilirs with whet
 melcome ony surcostions done this line, post to include.

It seems biot the more I pach tia mere they sureniy fiad, so I fon
 to ifm nad try ond nersuade 11 : to seree to at least etout minimum. eill be hesty on the medical stuff. In no say identicel with ohn. uine is a different gprrosch and mine will be aifferent reasone.

1 hope you fill finc no neod for civertielnit the imatnonce of gny suit, for we ment no attention to it aud ss little presorotion to fight it fs mekine a gend record permite.

In the atteched ere severel peferoncos to Curry's book. ben you get and resi it, if you feel other taen 39 I do, please areue mith me. You might cote hust you see thet is relevant, for 1 sped tarouga it and may not heve merked some of tice cises. There ie, ss Mery seye, much error in it, but I'm wnderine: if oll is errormither :n some ceges the rarren/TBI etuff mey be wrong Instesd. ...The ald coot drew hervily on the ovalleble books, - believe... In his public epresrences he has gone fartiour, saying there is no pronf rsweld fired a ahot. Ive been unsuccessifl in getting a trancerict, bit it. *an't pite?.

Dear Bud,
Attached 1 s the dreft of a suigested reply to the aptly-n med Kleindienst's 11/13 letter. Vou $7, ~ d y$, of sourse, se you ilesse witt it.

Your busy little firl wis too busy to nake me a couple of elear copies of ais letter. The one ais sent me is quite pale, $=0$ pale i cennot copy it. 1 mould like th send woch sn. Eichoener only cepies of it fos such sugestions as they mey meke, fo they are both pretty sharp on this. iot for the letter, but for the future.

Nice story in Potomac, scholar.
Gurry's book st three pointe ot the very leest mokes cleer he manted nothine from the rBI but to be left alone. He corplaing et least twice gbout their insistence on heving the physical evidence physically in their possession, at least once ubout its non-return, sha at least twice about oven their presence at the Lio interrogations. sie makes other suide remarks, too. i think the Dellea boys hove begun to tumble to what the feebs did to them. Fut in eny event, the non-layer in me tells ne the combinetion of this, the Eresident's directive to the FBI to make a report thet was to insve been medepublic and the lock of jurisdiction (Curry even complaint obout this, too) eliminate sny possikility of cleiming "lay enforcement purposes".

Shifting to whet in an earlie: letserk i called the "Gene" files, cert ain thet e sarvard Lew magne cum laude would readily understand tais ellipsis, would it be no lesa cute to anift to the other nome and call it out "En file? mher if fingh the next imcediste chore, responding adequately to two rehives letters and once from the secret Service, I'll dig into tast... Ky elances tell me his claim here is doubly spurious, first by ringing in tae FBI , which we didn't, and then agein alleging "law-enforcement purposes". His own Department certified mist of this file for oprosite usea, in public, in court, and the certificetions are attached. I am
 court. It ia for your mental noting. They're naked. nd not pretty. i hope we get prompt letters tw in response to the two wa did Thursday so ve ryit cen full-steam the serarated one. The current context is the cest poseible, what ith ignew end compeny (the comprny in luint if tie servent bimself).

1 hope I can agve the "U" file done with by Tridey, end if it guita you I'd come down wita it taen. Jerpaps cy toen you wil ueve ceugit up on the rest of 1 t.

Dion't forget the editor. Right now would be a very good tize for him , too.
 There is a holidy coming (unless i heve to phone you. Eut we resily nave to get together, for I've one of the ve. $y$ best gosdies for you, maybe the very best yetand just whers wex are morking.
vear ud,


You eloimf/ of te "momerondum of tronefer" of pril 26,1285 someteing ner in the zeridus of esengiag explinations if thy it is fenied my client, ernla
 invasion of fereonal primacy. "after all thase milliong of oords of twe fublished orren vomiseton volumes on the countleas millions of a fersonel ngture freely
 become an invesion of fribacy wen thoas otber theougends of cegez are noti

It is "r. Meisber:"s bellef tost this ig, ax in erfect, government contract, sibelt on illegal one, end tha cernot be witheid on the ground ft ia "prifote". Uis tomorgndum rel tes to tae rivete alspostion af pablic frozerty


Sodever, If gour clein tere to be tainen at lace vilue, it is alear the governaent aso thice heldexectiy the oprosto and nos, in fect, sade public use of tois momondurn of trangfermatintwe foll of 1066 it vas comunicated th notian writer, wan an fremed $x$, eisbarg nd your oan vepartment mode use of it in the so-colled "ponel r port on tas outorisy. peluctive
"fpuratn", itfulual whun,
You elein loct af the other itane r. otsberg has recuected "are
/not subjeet to disciosure in thet nay ore pert of investigtitive illes evinpiled for law onforcement furposes". werg you are misinformed, tor they were capiled

 to the extsblishment os the arcon Cowisoion these filss reze not collected far lew-enrorcement purposes, as the testimony of urector monver before the cren
 making ereport to tie mericen yeople.


 qeble, ar it ifor con it be witaneld for tigy eleined "lamenforcenent purposes". puond

The locermeno
 iftrie doabt tale eoncluaion wili no: eecege you.
 under to leq, ur. selaberf 10 : sererly antitled, gre denied him ig becouse they

```
cen be gmburassing th two onverument, re ant consistert with its conclusions
and intorprotrtions, or sey the oprosite. Fals is ontalife the frovisicns of
```



```
prolubited by it.
    You ## wave no rembonl sagrenese of it, byt the refugsl f your
-apertment to cougiy wita the lsw in racponse to fr. %olsberg's requeats is ao
```



```
transerict of a public triel ib the united tetea.oukfra a cipy /fapplos Rella<e
    * soe* y cllem, f donply regrot this ybsoute rofugal to omply
```



```
                        i+ Srgerym,
```





```
elleged ithtolinge or nininterpretrtion of neme by aritors.
```



```
the pervaling error of your, responee we deted "ovember 13 sad nill promptly, if
belatedly, wrovido copie. of what he proporiy aeoks to fay client.
```

