

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

.....
HAROLD WEISSBERG,

Plaintiff

v.

Civil Action No. 718-70

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
JUSTICE, ET AL,

Defendants
.....

ANSWER TO MOTION TO DISMISS

Plaintiff, by his undersigned attorney, opposes the MOTION TO DISMISS, filed by Defendants on the 6th day of May, 1970, on the grounds that 1) the motion is premature until Defendant has not only promised to comply with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552 but has also in fact complied by permitting Plaintiff to examine and copy the documents sought; and 2) that, under the present posture of the action and under Rule 41 of the Rules of Civil Procedure, a motion to dismiss can only be properly made by plaintiff.

When compliance is complete, Plaintiff will promptly file a Cross-Motion to Dismiss.

Respectfully submitted,

Bernard Fensterwald, Jr.
BERNARD FENSTERWALD, JR.
927 15th St., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
Tel. 347-3919
Attorney for Plaintiff

I hereby certify that I have served copies of this
Answer this _____ day of May, 1970 upon defendants by mailing
copies, postage prepaid, to each of them, as follows:

The Attorney General
Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

The Secretary of State
Department of State
Washington, D. C.

Mr. David J. Anderson
Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

Edward Fensterwald Jr
EDWARD FENSTERWALD, JR.