Heperstructured of Jacobics Massimutar 20530

Dermard Fensterwald, Jr., Esq. 927 - 15th Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20005

Dear Mr. Tensterwald:

Energy stand

Mr. Relapp has asked me to respond to Mr. Methods and letter to him of June 2, 1970, concerning the case of <u>Harold Weisberg</u> v. United States Department of Justice, Civil Action No. 718-70, U.S.D.C. D.C. A copy of that letter is enclosed herewith. I am writing to you instead of directly to Mr. Weisberg because you represent Min in this litigation.

I have been assured by individuals in this department who have examined our file on James Earl Ray that Mr. Weisberg has been given access to all papers which be requested in his complaint. These papers had been forwarded, to us by the State Department and were not yet in any kind of a permanent file. When our attorneys inspected them in connection with this litigation the papers examined by Mr.. Weisberg were contained in a plain unwarked file folder. We are therefore unaware of what file folder Mr. Weisberg has in mind. If you have any question about this, please contact me.

We would appreciate it if you would pass this information along to Mr. Weisbarg, and we assume that you vill take steps to have this lawsuit dismissed before the hearing set by the Court on July 7, 1979 on all pending motions.

Sincerely you

Asalabatis Atler

Mit Sand



Coq d'Or Press Route 8, FREDERICK, MD. 21701

Mr. H. Richards Rolapp Reon 4112 Department of Justice Mashington, D.C.

Dear Hr. Rolepp,

When you gave me the copies of the papers I and requested pursuent to Civil Action 718-70 this morning you said that while you had no personal knowledge, you assumed it included all I is d requested. You also told me to write you if this were not the case.

On checking these papers spainst the list, I fim the first end last items missing. The first is the file cover, the lest a simple letter informing me that, in fact, I have been given access to the entire file tast is the subject of this action. These who should it to me are in the same position you are, maxing no way of knowing. I did not ask that this be signed by envone is the Division of envone is particular. I presume there is concore who knows not buils as the case and cantified the latter for his own or enother signstance.

Defore I was shown onything your Department moved, I think premeturely, to have the same considered most. In response, I asked my lewyer to inform the court that as soon as I had received copies of what I asked for I would move to dismiss the case. This I will still do.

I enclose 25¢ to pay for the single sheet to be copied, the indetifying cover or the file.

Sincerel7

Harold Waisberg