

There are times when he writes very entertainingly.

As regards, I wouldn't challenge your conclusion that none of their exposés, not even regarding the CIA, has failed to serve some spook interest. But I couldn't buttress it without a great deal of checking and re-reading for which there is no time. I can say, however, that it has seemed here for many years that the continued existence of this rather expensively produced magazine in this particular segment of the political spectrum could only be explained on the presumption that they were getting solid amounts of dough from some source. I was in their office once. A shambles. Such disorganization and confusion as to make it certain that they could never survive on their own abilities. As you know, the top staff has changed several times, always mysteriously picking up after being publicly prostrate. They may know what their editorial policy is, but no one else does, and this has always been the case since it ceased to be an unpretentious Catholic liberal magazine just starting up. They have a way of evading some issues which I don't understand, and can only assume there's a reason besides eccentricity. Nothing I can think of contradicts your assumption.

Finally, I enclose a couple of clippings from the local weekly, sidebars on the Ellsberg papers incident. Both contain bits which should interest you.

5-14 White 12/30/74