Mr. L. Fletcher Prouty 4201 Peachtree Place Alexandria, VA 22304

Dear Fletch.

What a pleasant surprise to get from Lud arans a copy of your letter to Navasky! I'll keep in confidential unkess I hear otherwise from you. It is fine!

You haven't seen much of me because it has not been safe for me to drive to Washington since 1977 and I've not driven out of Frederick since then. It also is not easy for me to do much searching in my files. So, I cannot easily get a tape of my intriview of General Cavin in I think 1967. However, since writing Navasky I realized that the interview was in no sence confidential because it was for broadcast. He confirmed the JFK order to withdraw from VN. The program was author's "oundtable if it is still in business. I don't know if Gavin is.

I remember this pretty clearly because I had to improvise a series of interviews, all that program aired on the annual American booksellers association annual convention, then at the Shoreham. Gavin had a book out and I had to interview him. Wong quite a few others. Even Art Buchwald. GM warran!

I thought Gavin might be reluctant to appear forthrightly so I threw him a curve, enlarging the 1,000 to 1700, probably with 17,000 in mind. I knew, and I'al get to this because it may obviate your using what you may think you should not, or both the order to withdraw and retracting it, about three days after JFK was assassinated. The Pentagon issued press releases. The copies I had were from the Wash. Post. I'm sure the Times and other papers carried them. They also should to on full.

after Gavin corrected 1700 to 1000, the rest of what he said that I can now recall is that JFk called his generals in one by one and told them that VN was a political, not a military problem, and that political problems are not susceptible of miditary solutions.

When you consider that he was assassinated very soon after the first announcement, that we had re-evaluated our involvement and found that we would begin to withdraw our people. and that the new new policy under LaJ was announced in just two or three days, it gets a little hairy in the mind.

If Mavasky agrees for you to do a piece, you may want to recall something more on the Dominican "epublic. It elected, for the first time in a free election, well, more or less free, considering that his honchos controlled the military and the police, a non-Trujillo president, Juan Boach. The military threw him out. JEK stated US policy: to never recognize a military dictatorship that overfitrew an elected government. Three weeks, about, after JFK was assassinated, LBJ began our costly and wrong intrusion when forces led by democrats in the army were about to throw the fascists, not a figure of speech, out of power.

By the way, you have a typo on pave 6 3, there were 300 Guban combatants by October 61. You mean 1960.

A quotable source on Dulles threat to JFK, what will we do with 1500 armed Cubans in Guatemala, if Hanes on ohnson's book, The Bay of Pigs.

I wrote Geyelin after the oped article to which you responded by letter. The Post forwarded that to an out-of-date or incorrect address, I sent it back to the Post anking that they forward it again and I've heard nothing since.

There is no end to the revisionism. Even those considering themselves liberals seem not to be able to abide the fact that we elected one who was turning out to be great so they join the reactionaries in clobbering him.

I'm glad Arons sent you a copy of what wrote wavasky and very glad that you could address their prejudice so effectively. Best,