Agaistant to Deputy Archivist (NDAO

Hational Archives Washington, D.C. 20408

Š

Dear Assistant to Deputy Archivist,

Enclosed is a copy of the letter dated the 13 but in today's mail, from the FOIA/PA officer of the Secret Service.

I'm sorry I can't be more i nformative. The reason is obvious: he, a lawyer, went out of hig was not to be informative. The obfuseation and the intent to be burdenseme to others in compliance with POIA and PA could hardly be more apparent.

Mrs Godf's letter is characterized by permeating innocuracy.

I have received but one letter from the State Department on this. It maither says nor suggests snything like what Kr. Goff writes. I have never been informed of the "surfacing" on anything on me. I did receive one phone call from the State Department. The caller sought to distinguish me from others of my name. Sith that one letter fix dated July 15, it is obvious that the time provided by statue has long since expired. However, if there is a statutory requirement that the State Deprenent delay providing this record without your approval, I am unaware of it and would like to know.

These requests of mine go back to 1971. They not only are not limited to FOIA since enactment of the Frivacy Act but the State Department letter tells no they use the Privacy Act for useh requests. No. Goff's letter is in error in stating I invoked FOIA only.

To say that I was "informed of this referral" by State is false. State allee did not inform me of any referral.

In Mr. Goff's second paragraph he says that such records are transferred to the Matienal Archives. This leaves me no choice but to ask for all the files of whatever original and nature there are on, about or referring to me anywhere in or under the control of the Sational Archives or any subsidiary organization of any kind, including but not limited to presidential libraries. I make this request under both PA and FOLA. If you do not know it you will find that I maintain a deposit account.

It should be apparent that these requests have been stalled inordinately. However, I do recognise that you will have some time problems in meeting this request as it relates to the Mational Archives. Upon receipt of your assurance that you will process this with due diligence and good faith and an estimate of the time required I will not insist upon compliance with the time limitations. I do not think this should have to apply to what is in the Mathington peasession of the Mational Archives. With regard to sepice of those records, including that to which Mr. Goff refers, I would appreciate your effort to make prompt compliance.

The other person of my name in State Department files is a professor at Brandels University. I was born in Philadelphia, Pa. on 4/8/18. My Social Security Number is 577-36- 7290.

Sinceroly,

Marold Reisborg

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE



OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
September 13, 1976

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 12 Frederick, Maryland 21701

tear Mr. Weisberg:

The Department of State, in processing your Freedom of Information Act request surfaced one (1) document which originated with the Secret Service. You were informed of this referral and the Department of State requested the Secret Service to respond directly to you regarding the release of the document.

On August 23, 1976, the Secret Service advised you that there were no documents or files pertaining to you. However, due to the Secret Service standard retention schedule the referred document is in the custody of the National Archives, and is no longer property of the Secret Service.

For access to these documents, please direct your request for records to the following address: Assistant to Deputy Archivist (NDA) National Archives, Washington, D.C. 20408

Sincerely,

Robert O. Goff
Freedom of Information &
Privacy Acts Officer
Office of Public Affairs

