## SENATORS WARNED OF CURB ON PRESS

White House Denies That It Seeks to Intimidate

## By WALTER RUGABER

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Sept. 28 -Congressional hearings on freedom of the press opened today with suggestions that the concept was threatened by the Nixon Administration. The White House denied that the suggestion was valid and said the press was to sensitive on the press was too sensitive on the subject.

Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr., chairman of the Subcommittee

on Constitutional Rights, said in taking up the issue that the communications media could

communications media could not expect to be immune from public criticism. But the North Carolina Democrat continued: "Some Government officials appear to believe that the purpose of the press is to present the Government's policies and approximant to the application of the press." programs to the public in the best possible light. They ap-pear to have lost sight of the central purpose of a free press in a free society."

The Senators heard three with

nesses in support of various journalistic interests. They were nesses in support of various journalistic interests. They were Norman E. Isaacs of the Columbia University School of Journalism, Representative Charles W. Whalen Jr., Republican of Ohio, and Harding F. Bancroft, an executive vice president of The New York Times.

Ronald L. Ziegler, the White House press secretary, said in response to extended questioning at a regular news briefing this morning that the Government had made no attempt to intimidate reporters.

"In the last two years people have been suggesting the Administration has an intent to intimidate the press," he said. "That is not our intent. We respect the free press."

"That is not our intent. We respect the free press."
"But just as Government should be criticized and should be self-critical, criticism of the press in itself does not suggest intimidation," Mr. Ziegler went on. "In my personal view there has been too much sensitivity by the press." by the press.

## All Agree on Agnew

Today disagreements seemed to turn more on specific issues and political inclinations than on any broad principles. Everyone, for example, joined in Mr. Ziegler's defense of Vice Presi-dent Agnew's "right to express



AT HEARING ON FREEDOM OF THE PRESS: Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr., North Carolina Democrat, center, chairman of subcommittee on constitutional rights, conferring with Harding F. Bancroft, left, executive vice president of The New York Times, and James C. Goodale, a vice president, before session yesterday on confidential news sources.

himself."

Both Mr. Bancroft and Mr. Isaacs voiced concern over the Government's attempt to halt publication by The New York Times and other newspapers of the Pentagon's study of American

Times and other newspapers of the Pentagon's study of Ameri-can involvement in the war in Vietnam. Mr. Bancroft said: "Although the Supreme Court uitimately denied the Govern-ment's request for a prior re-straint the hard fact remains that for the first time in the history of our nation the Govhistory of our nation the Gov-

ernment sought and obtained a decision of The Times to public seems to be direct suppression of news.

"Even though the restraint

Mr. Bancroft complained that reporters had had "great difficulty" in obtaining Government information even in "nonsensitive" areas. He said that Government compliance with the tive" areas. He said that Government compliance with the of The Louisville Courier-Freedom of Information Act Journal, said that while there was essential.

The law does not appear "to have altered previous notions as to private' Government property or deterred Government bureaucrats from routinement bureaucrats from routine denvine proper information fathers were wise enough to disclose confidential information or the identities of confidential sources.

Senator Ervin said in his statement that the "founding to denvine proper information fathers were wise enough to newspaper was not convinced."

Pentagon papers may already to the fools and knaves." had led the press to censor Then in temarking or

itself.
"This Administration's pressure on journalism has been so persistent and covered so choose much territory that I am afraid the Vi much of our traditional forth-placed, rightness has been eroded," he

"If the Administration actucontinued, "I am sad to concede that it has had its effect on all too many in the news media."

agon papers, the press would agonize over whether to publish them.

lish the documents.

"Even though the restraint lasted only 15 days, an extremely unfortunate precedent, we submit, has been established."

He said that it was of first importance that this threat not result in journalistic timidity or unwarranted self-censorship." But he said that the danger did exist.

"Once the material fell into seems so "because the two our hands, it was not only in the interest of the American positions out of mutual dispositions out of mutual dispositions of the pression of the pression of our obligations under the first Amendment not to have published it," he said.

Regarding Mr. Agnew's crit. "Once the material fell into seems so

was room for improvement in

requests," Mr. Bancroft said.

Mr. Isaacs said that the attempted suppression of the press to the wise and deny it the explained:

Pentagon papers may already to the fools and beautiful that the subcommittee that the newspaper was not convinced that a "newsman's privilege act" was necessary "at this time." He explained:

"In Township to the subcommittee that the newspaper was not convinced that a "newsman's privilege act" was necessary "at this time." He explained:

Then in temarking on Mr judicial resolution is more despreased critically, he told a withches it would be up to him to choose the category in which the Vice President should be problems than are put to rest."

Similarly, while Mr. Ziegler spoke of "healthy tension" and the value of an "adversary really had a planned campaign lationship" between the press for intimidating the press," he and the Government, Mr. Ervin advanced the same principle by

declaring:
"A free press in a free so-Amendment.

Mr. Bancroft defended the say that while in many cases

reconcilable," often it only seems so "because the two

when national survival hung in the balance."

## **Controls on Reporters**

On specific matters, Repre sentative Whalen testified in support of legislation designed to prevent Federal courts and agencies from forcing reporters to disclose confidential infor-

"In general, we believe that judicial resolution is more de-

problems than are put to rest."

The Supreme Court is expected to rule on three cases, including one involving Earl Caldwell of The New York Times, in which reporters have resisted subpoenas issued by Federal and state grand juries.

Mr. Bancroft said he hoped the Supreme Court would set He speculated that if there there will be tension and sometimes another "leak" of documents as important as the Pentagon papers, the press would ground rules. If legislation is frequired, he said, The Times will be tension and sometimes hostility between the press and Government which attests to the vitality of the First grants reporters an absolute agonize over whether to published the said of the said of the said support a law similar to the said support a law support a law similar to the said support a law s privilege against disclosure.

