Dear Dave,

Enjoyed your visit so much I completely forget something I had kept right under my eyes to show you.

You may have noticed news attention to the 11/82 release of previously secret Senate Foreign Belations Committee testimony. All that I saw sensationalized what I remember from the time, the other spy planes the USSR shot down. (Not all of them, either.) Unless it is official confirmation that these were CIA flights, I recall nothing new in the dialogure that they were shot down.

The hearings which perhaps Obie's office can get for you, are what was withheld from testimony published by the 86th Congress, 2d session of 1960. This volume is identified as part of the historical sesies. It is published by that committee.

While lying is explicitly admitted, and that word is used, what interested me more is the fact that what was withheld for all these years was, for the most part, not secret and certainly wasn't secret from the USSR.

I found the testimony of then NASA head Dr. Rugh L. Dryden. 6/1/60, pp. 361ff. most explicit on this.

Dulles was masterful in shifting from obfuscation to intimidation. And entirely successful.

The parts I've read pertain to the Powers flight only. The volume also includes testimony pertaining to other matters.

A few of the Members wanted to learn if the flight had been designed to sabotage the Paris summit. All they learned is that Khraschev wrecked it!

It is explicit that the USSR knew of these flights and that it also knew that no country other than the UN was capable of them. So, even if Powers had not been shot down, that flight at that time could have wrecked the surmit and there is little doubt in my mind that those who launched it knew this.

It also is apprent that like did not authorize that flight at that time. The official dodge is that it was included within prior authorization.

I find this testimony to be a clear portreyal of the invocation of "national security" to hide from the American people what the USSR already knew and, so far as other intelligences was concerned, was not expert.

Best,