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Mr. Joim Eindsay
Nesameek

Haah., D.C. 20006
Doar John,
I approclate your soning ${ }^{[p p}$ to ne before last Friday's preas coafareace, what you said at the ond and the nature of the problem and serglexity represented by your question in ths form of a abiatement.

I began with that sume problem, question and parplexity.
This is wiy I undertook a long answer. In the last anslysia and the one I have given others for wiqge professionelism I have less regard is the point hear inade: conirront the fact.

What deeided aie to 60 ahead and print Whitewash nyself was the demand of a major publishes that I convert it into a charge of couspirsey against the sovarsment. As a result I've been in dobt ever stice and gave up what could have been protgitable Noitting. I'11 ngver Zarget the sheok. I can reoall and quete you the axact woxds of his lowter.
(Books stitl) do this rithout baads and they are profitable and they get reviewed in respeotable publications and ry refusal to do this kind of thitig and stioking to fact mikes me the outcast.)

Iou, not I, weed the description conspiract. I said and to thite day I atiok with whitewash and coverupe Hot that I can't make out a case of comeptiracy and not that I carton some levele grove it. But in the overaly, the avase of your statoment, I do not believe it and, in fact, in a book I wrote in 1968 and could not priat or


I take this time thecause I understand jour problem. I should be reeding and porhapes cutting a apeech I'm to make tomorrow night and now won't be able to look at until I'm on the plane.

Forgive me if I appear to bep practising shrinkery. I intend it as firiendship and holpfuinees only and I kexow dumned well you didntt wsite a story and won't.

The actuality is more mind-bogeling than \#atergate. It in ixpossible to aope aith. It is also as close to completely irrefutable as ayything can be. and if for one winute you doubt this, try to get any cambdnation of former Varmon Comuiation counsel of your selection to debate me on thofr vorle or mine at the Znas. Glut with you as the moderator. 付 one stipulation would be flaimeas, in the division of tiae and in insiating on mesponsiveness, not spoeches. So, pleace do not try to axplain all of this away in your own mind by saging so many peoplo could not conspice. Staplify its what are the facts. Hewsueek won't publish it but you'11 have peace of infad and at some time in what I hope is not the distant future you will not feel pricks of conscience. What I tell is what is. Hy problem with the appendix was whet to oudt.

Have you any idea how ieny tines I've derted the DJ to charge me with porjury झhon I charga them with this just to get a judtaial detocimination of Puct? Bo you thinis I am unaware of the potential? I await the itrst pro forma dentai and if you want to check the court records I'll give you the eitations. So, thanics and try to live with it as , alas, it really is.

