Dear Bill and others,

I have just finished the rough draft of a letter to Anchor, a Doubleday subsidiary, which is contracted to reprint Posner's Case Closed.

While as a practical matter I see now ay of suing them and Posner and doubt that an estate can do that, I am putting them on notice and telling them that they are going to reserve print a fraudulent book and in doing that are adding malice to it.

If nothing edge, I make a record. One that, if Inside the JFK assassination Industry is ever published somethine in the future, it can be included.

It is, of course, quite disagreeable to be about to be item libelled in the many cloies usually published of paperbacks and then by a means that denies any meaningful response or refutation.

It is even more reprehensible when all those involved in the indecent infulgence of malice for money know that any redress is impossible for me.

There was once a time many years aho, before most of you were born, when at 2indered how the people who produced the Barks and Beethovens and Goethes and the Tschakovskys and Tolstoys could also produce Hitlers and Stalins and Staff their murderous ganges,

reallty armies of the most nurderous and sadisticof thugsin uniforma and with official status and authority, But in recent year years it had had become clear that there is no become who cannot do that invarying degrees and intensities. Many have produced junior versions.

Posner is merely the most recent of our people, if I may used the word, who are well qualified for those infamous tasks and what or these them

Random House if and Anchor/Doubleday are nerely the more recent of the willing

American applishers who for profit cast themselves in the rate of those who published
the books Hitler and Stalin wanted published dand in This our major media cast itself

in the role of Hitkers Major media and of Stalin's,. The difference is that they are

The difference is also in degree as well as not under that compulsion.

I respect my source's request fair confidentiality. I evaluate my source as dependable and as having a dependable sources.

I had intended writing Doubleday before obtaining this information because it was one of the exceptionally few publishers that dealt with me honestly and were truthful, back in 1965. It then top man whose name I remember as Ken McCormick answered the phone when I called and when he was not there his secretary spoke to me. Their first reason for declining Whitewachin which was sent to them after a call in my presence by Pkcket books, which before rejection for a good reason had predicted it would be the best-selling books of 1965, was that they would have been more tempted "I if I had gone farthur afield."

When I sought more information they told me that all their top people had conferred on it and that their decision "was not editorial and not easy to arraive at." In short if

if the book had been flawed and the could have apologized for the flws they would have been more tempted and then it was that they were afraid of the government and of retaliation.

It was a legitimate fear of offical retaliation that caused the then top man at Pocket Books, Boris Shimkin, to overrule all those under him. The ditor with whom I dealt, whose name will return to mind, told me that with six men already under indictment by the Department of Justice for publishing the fraudulent Calories Bonit Count. Shimking "did not want to wave a red flag under the charging hull and be the seventh indicted."

They did love Whitewash. After emplaining this to me in my presence, after first explaining that Sam Vaughn was Eisenhower's and Nixon's editor at Doubleday and that when Doibleday wanted a book reprinted it was to him they sent it, he phoned aughn, told him it was a fine book that for special reasons they could not publish, asked him to conside Yake it, and the W sont it to him by messenger.

Not many were honest but these two were and I respect them for it, as I then appreciated that rarity.

But I felt, when I thought of writing Doubleday and recall thing and saying "I owe you" that it would be taken as a special appeal not just as a warning they were about to publish a fraudulent book.

Once I learned, however, that they were adding what was intended to malign me and would be malicious, the pregqruisite for suing for liberl by one who can be regarded as a "public person," I chnaged my mind.

When I get an address I'll ask "il to betype it and I'll send it. It anchor,

Best,