

Subj: Posner v. Scheim online Section: Sysop Section [0]
From: Gerald Posner 73700,2626 # 6834, 1 Reply
To: David E. Scheim 73750,3305 Date: 22-Oct-93 20:38

What does your entire letter have to do with whether Ruby shot Oswald acting alone? I have said this to you numerous times, and you constantly avoid the issue, but even if Ruby was the godfather of the largest crime family in America, that had nothing to do with why he killed Oswald, and there isn't an iota of evidence in your book that can change that fact.

Zoppi is a red herring. The reason you cannot point out anything that is wrong in what Zoppi tells me is because he is expressing an opinion. The critical point is that his opinion, and that of Bill Alexander, and Earl Ruby, are borne out by the facts. There is no escaping that CC has invalidated the very heart of your thesis.

You would love me to abandon my book tour to carry on this daily and detailed discussion with you, but I shall not. In the appropriate forum, I assure you that the record shall be cleared on this issue you have concocted.

Subj: Posner v. Scheim online Section: Sysop Section [0]
From: David E. Scheim 73750,3305 # 6909, 1 Reply
To: Gerald Posner 73700,2626 Date: 24-Oct-93 11:54

> What does your entire letter have to do with whether Ruby shot Oswald acting alone? I have said this to you numerous times, and you constantly avoid the issue, but even if Ruby was the godfather of the largest crime family in America, that had nothing to do with why he killed Oswald, and there isn't an iota of evidence in your book that can change that fact. <

Gerald -- I think that this point may be at the core of our differing views. I believe that given the evidence that Marcello, Trafficante, and Hoffa were plotting to kill JFK and that Ruby was in touch with criminal associates of all three prior to the assassination, then if Ruby is a Mobster, this has great significance for the JFK case.

> Zoppi is a red herring. The reason you cannot point out anything that is wrong in what Zoppi tells me is because he is expressing an opinion. The critical point is that his opinion, and that of Bill Alexander, and Earl Ruby, are borne out by the facts. There is no escaping that CC has invalidated the very heart of your thesis. <

I don't think that the opinions of Zoppi and Alexander, both admitted liars, and Ruby's brother Earl stand up against the extensive FBI evidence of Ruby's Mob activities and affiliations. This FBI evidence is raw, but the sources in many cases are highly reliable and they all corroborate each other.

> You would love me to abandon my book tour to carry on this daily and detailed discussion with you, but I shall not. In the appropriate forum, I assure you that the record shall be cleared on this issue you have concocted. <

I look forward to our joint media appearances to discuss the facts at issue concerning Jack Ruby. Let me say that I have continued to discuss the Zoppi affair only in response to the repeated postings of David Stager and Bob Artwohl. I do think I have made my point and by moving our recent messages out of public view, it looks like the sysops agree.

I think that an appropriate forum for a serious historian to discuss important background concerning Ruby's shooting of Oswald might be a moderated joint discussion. If you can't defend your position in that forum, I don't think you'll succeed any better in any other, but I'll be happy to pursue the matter in the forum of your choice. -- David

Subj: Posner v. Scheim online Section: Sysop Section [0]
From: Jim Hart (Sysop) 76702,646 # 6924, 1 Reply
To: David E. Scheim 73750,3305 Date: 24-Oct-93 18:35

DS#6909 >> I do think I have made my point and by moving our recent messages

>> out of public view, it looks like the sysops agree.

David,

Let me make this clear so that there will be no doubt. The messages were removed from public view because of the ad hominem nature of their content. Whether or not you "made your point" was not a factor in the decision.

Please do not cite the sysops' actions in moving messages as evidence of either agreement or disagreement with the content of those messages. I assure you that the action expresses neither.

-jrh

Subj: Posner v. Scheim online Section: Sysop Section [0]
From: David E. Scheim 73750,3305 # 6953, * No Replies *
To: Jim Hart (Sysop) 76702,646 Date: 25-Oct-93 08:55

DS#6909 >> I do think I have made my point and by moving our recent messages

>> out of public view, it looks like the sysops agree.

> David,

Let me make this clear so that there will be no doubt. The messages were removed from public view because of the ad hominem nature of their content.<

Jim -

Prior to my quoted sentence, I had mentioned the repeated postings of David Stagner (about 7 of them) and Bob Artwohl which caused the same ground to be covered over and over on the Posner/Zoppi matter. When I said I thought the sysops agreed, I did not mean with the content of my points, but with the assessment that the factual positions had been put out and the exchange was now degenerating into a series of personal attacks and threats. -- David