

Dear Bill,

9/8/93

We were both so surprised and so please when that stationery came I rushed into my office to respond before supper without taking your letter with me.

You were not lucky to get ensnared in the many exits but you were to get another plane so soon.

If I did not tell you, Jerry did appreciate that Maker's choice very much. He was pleased also that you thought of it.

On your telling him about Cooper's friendship with JFK, Dave learned what I think is new and important about LBJ and his White House at Austin. Even that LBJ opposed that trip to Texas and the fund raising it aimed at at that time.

Your last sentence interests me. I'm letting addressing Failure Analysis wait in the book. It is an appendix anyway, important as it is in the book. What you say makes me wonder if that is what they said. I also wonder, and perhaps you know or can without too much trouble learn, if they did the job for the ABA's Trial of Lee Harvey Oswald. Posner is so secretive about it and about them save for puffing them up.

Your sentence is, referring to that ~~the~~ 20/20 show:

"Computer recon that 'proves' single bullet ~~theory~~ shows entry in back of neck. Hard to believe these are sometimes admissible in court."

I do not see how that can be established, even beginning with that preconception, so I wonder if that is what Failure Analysis really did say or whether it is his interpretation. For what he says they set out to do I think they had to ^{begin} believe with the assumption that he says is their conclusion and then proceed to establish their assumption.

Jerry is fortunate ^{ate} in not having the attitude Gerry and Dave have to contend with among their peers.

The differences are, I think, the schools.

Thanks and best to both,

Harold