

BOOKS

Is the JFK 'Case Closed'? Not likely

OSWALD from K1 hearings and some of the declassified documents and tend to think Oswald did not act alone or was set up as "a patsy," which is what he told the Dallas police after his arrest, it will not be enough.

So Posner starts by giving us a portrait of Oswald, most of it gleaned from the findings of the Warren Commission, appointed by Kennedy's successor, Lyndon B. Johnson. Headed by Chief Justice Earl Warren, its purpose was to investigate the assassination of Kennedy and the murder of Oswald two days later by Jack Ruby.

Reading the volumes of testimony, jumbled material, exhibits and the detritus of Oswald's life is a daunting task undertaken by few, including, unfortunately, most journalists. Posner is to be congratulated for plowing through it.

We learn from Posner of Oswald's nomadic childhood — he lived in New Orleans, in various Texas towns and in New York City — and his "domineering" mother, Marguerite, who was "consumed with self-pity both over the death of her husband [Lee's father] and because she had to work to support Lee, his brother Robert, and a stepbrother, John Pic, from the first of her three marriages." We learn that, as a youth in New York, Oswald once threatened his mother with a penknife.


But every teenager with an impossible mother or aggressive tendencies doesn't grow up to be a presidential assassin. Posner makes much of the Warren Commission testimony of Renatus Hartogs, the staff psychologist at New York City's Youth House, where Oswald was remanded for truancy. Hartogs told the commission, according to Posner, that "this child had a potential for explosive, aggressive, assaultive acting out...."

To Posner, Oswald's New York period, and especially the diagnosis of his personality by Hartogs, is so important that he goes out of his way to attack other Kennedy-assassination writers — including Mark Lane, Josiah Thompson, Jim Garrison, John Davis, Robert J. Groden and Harrison Livingstone, Henry Hurt, David Scheim and David Lifton — for ignoring Hartogs' testimony.

But Posner himself is just as guilty of playing down details of Oswald's short and lonely life that show quite another side of him.

Neither Posner nor the Warren Commission made much of Oswald as an anomaly in his Southern, lower-middle-class milieu: a voracious reader who loved history, poetry and classical music. He also loved guns, an interest not unusual for a boy in the South, especially in Texas, where Oswald lived for a short time. He did make a few friends among those rare adolescents who shared his interests.

At 17, this young man with a strong leaning toward communism, first acquired when an elderly woman in



The assassin's assassin, Jack Ruby, leaving court in December 1963. Ruby killed Oswald two days after JFK's murder.

New York City shoved in his hands a pamphlet about the Rosenberg case, followed his older brother into the Marines. Posner observes, and I see no reason to disagree, that "if nothing else, being in the Marines provided Oswald the opportunity to break away from his mother."

Oswald reported for duty on Oct. 26, 1956, when the Cold War was still very hot.

His service in the Marines is shrouded through with strange circumstances that suggest to me — but not to Posner — that he could have been in training as an American spy.

Neither Posner nor anyone else I have read has ever explained how Oswald, who was loudmouthed about his Marxism, survived in the Marines, hardly a bastion of liberal thinking, or how he got any security clearance, although Posner contends, as did the House Select Committee on Assassinations in the late 1970s, that his clearance was low-level.

When Oswald defected to the Soviet Union in October 1959, he strode arrogantly into the American Embassy in Moscow, slapped down his passport and told an amazingly calm second secretary, Richard Snyder, not only that he wanted to defect but that he was going to tell the Russians the radar secrets he had learned in the Marines.

Posner never explains why, if Oswald had such a low security clearance, the Marines went into a tizzy over his defection and, according to Warren Commission testimony by former Marine Lt. John Donovan, changed "a lot of ... aircraft call signs, codes, radio frequencies, radar frequencies."

Six months after Oswald's defection and threat to spill the secrets, the Russians shot down the U-2 spy plane piloted by Francis Gary Powers on May 1, 1960. The crisis wrecked scheduled talks between President Dwight D. Eisenhower and Soviet Premier Nikita Khrushchev. Some researchers have suggested that Oswald provided the information, for whatever reason, an idea that Posner discounts. He also neglects to mention that Powers wrote in his autobiography that he believed Oswald had something to do with the U-2 downing.

Posner has a fascinating chapter called "The War of the Defectors," that tells the story of a mysterious Soviet defector who arrived on the American scene two months after the Kennedy assassination. The defector, Yuriy Nosenko, said that the Russians had nothing to do with the Kennedy assassination and that Oswald was not one of their agents. The interview with Nosenko was a real coup for Posner, although I wish he

had told us how he got to Nosenko. Nosenko lives hidden somewhere in the United States under the protection of the CIA.

In this chapter, we learn how rotten the CIA can be. Nosenko's arrival started a war within the CIA, with one section believing him and another not. Nosenko was badly mistreated, put first in an attic and then in a concrete bunker where he was kept in isolation for years, according to Posner. Reading about what the CIA did to Nosenko on American soil is like reading about the KGB torturing a prisoner in the Soviet Union.

Because the CIA couldn't resolve the issue of Nosenko's reliability, he was never presented to the Warren Commission. The House Select Committee on Assassinations in 1978 wrongly found Nosenko unreliable, according to Posner. But the real issue that troubles many Warren Commission critics is not whether Oswald was a Russian agent but whether he was one of ours, trained to go into the Soviet Union as a fake defector.

Posner seeks to disprove this idea by quoting Vladimir Semichastny, who at the time of Oswald's defection was the chairman of the KGB. Semichastny told NBC News on May 25: "I had always respected the CIA and the FBI and we knew their work and what they were capable of. It was clear Oswald was not an agent, couldn't be an agent, for the U.S. secret services, either the CIA or the FBI."

What Posner ignores is that members of the Warren Commission, including CIA Director Allen Dulles (whose participation was a conflict of interest as great as could be imagined), could conceive of Oswald as an American intelligence agent. A declassified Warren Commission executive session on Jan. 27, 1964, makes that very clear.

Historian Philip H. Melanson has made the best case I know of that Oswald was connected to some American intelligence agency, in a book published in 1990 called *Spy Saga: Lee Harvey Oswald and U.S. Intelligence*. Posner includes the book in his bibliography. Melanson makes much of a clear statement by the Warren Commission's chief counsel, J. Lee Rankin, that Oswald had studied at the Monterey School (known as the Defense Language Institute of the Army).

Rankin said on Jan. 27, 1964: "... we are trying to ... find out what he studied at the Monterey School of the Army in the way of languages...."

But while Rankin clearly said Oswald attended the Monterey School, Posner distorts the quote to make Oswald's attendance at the school appear less certain. No, Gerald Posner has not gotten out of the quagmire. If anything, his book has thrown out some new information and new interviews that will have the conspiracy community working overtime to refute.

September 14, 1993

AARC MEDIA ADVISORY ON GERALD POSNER'S BOOK CASE CLOSED

The AARC is a non-profit organization located at 918 F Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. Its Board of Directors is comprised largely of professionals--lawyers and professors--who serve without pay. They and the AARC's members are among the most knowledgeable scholars of President Kennedy's assassination. Virtually all of them are critics of the official versions of the assassination.

Recently, the AARC has received many criticisms of Gerald Posner's book Case Closed. Posner claims to have "incontrovertible evidence" that the Warren Commission's Single Bullet Theory is correct. He concludes that a single bullet hit Kennedy and Governor Connally simultaneously, inflicting seven nonfatal wounds on them, including the smashing of Connally's wrist bone. (This is the same bullet--Commission Exhibit 399 ("CE 399")--which, although Posner glosses over the point, emerges from its assault on flesh and bone in virtually pristine condition, undeformed and missing only a few grains removed for testing. Its authenticity is in doubt--it was allegedly found when it rolled out from under a mat on an identified stretcher in a Parkland Hospital hallway some 45 minutes after the assassination.) It follows, then, in Posner's view, that Lee Harvey Oswald alone murdered President Kennedy.

Although Posner's claim of "incontrovertible evidence" is made just as hundreds of thousands of documents on the JFK assassination are being released to the public for the first time, some journalists have endorsed Posner's conclusions without informed scrutiny of Case Closed. Because discussion of the Kennedy assassination involves complicated evidentiary questions, the AARC feels obligated to draw upon its expertise to pass on to the news media a few of the cogent criticisms of Mr. Posner's work which it has received recently.

These are some of the points being made against Posner's thesis:

- *He bends the evidence to fit his theory
- *He omits critical evidence which runs counter to this theory
- *He relies heavily on speculation to make his case
- *He makes basic errors in stating the evidence

Some examples of these flaws are set forth below.

1. Garbage in, garbage out. Posner claims that a computer simulation lends support to his Single Bullet theory. But if data fed into the computer is in error, the simulation is worthless. In this case, Posner's animated Magic Bullet is simulated using an entry wound in the base of the President's neck, and a lower exit wound in his throat. This approach has three major flaws:

First, whether the President's throat wound was a wound of entry or a wound of exit is vigorously disputed. Evidence supporting the contention that it is a wound of entry includes the following:

a. Kennedy's doctors and nurses at Parkland Hospital, who actually observed the throat wound before it was altered by a tracheotomy, described it as entry wound. Dr. Malcolm Perry has stated that it had the characteristic bruise ring of an entry wound.

b. Experiments conducted at Edgewood Arsenal indicated that if the throat wound were an exit wound, as required by the Single Bullet Theory, it would have been much larger than it was;

c. Laboratory examination and scientific testing revealed no metallic traces on the President's shirt collar, indicating it was not struck by an exiting bullet;

d. The slits in the President's shirt collar do not coincide, further indicating that no bullet caused this damage;

e. During the autopsy at Bethesda Naval Hospital, doctors attempted to probe the wound in the President's back but found no exit.

If the throat wound is an entry wound, as this and other evidence indicates, then Posner's computer simulation is worthless.

Second, Posner's location of the wound in the President's back is also vigorously disputed. Evidence inconsistent with his location of that wound at the base of the President's neck includes the following:

a. Dr. Boswell's autopsy diagram shows the bullet entered near the shoulder blade;

b. The President's shirt and coat have bullet holes 5 and 1/2 to 6 inches below the collar;

c. The President's official death certificate states that the President had a nonfatal wound "in the posterior back at about the level of the third thoracic vertebra." This is consistent with

Boswell's autopsy diagram and the location of the bullet holes on the President's shirt and coat.

d. Secret Service agents Greer and Kellerman and FBI agents Sibert and O'Neil, who observed the autopsy, all locate the wound lower down on the President's back rather than at the base of his neck where Posner puts it.

e. Secret Service agent Glen Bennet, who rode in the presidential limousine's follow-up car, wrote before the autopsy was conducted that he "saw the shot hit the President about 4 inches down from the right shoulder."

f. Autopsy photographs show the back wound lower than Posner locates it.

If the back wound is inches lower than Posner's location of it in the neck, then CE 399 could not have exited Kennedy's throat at a downward angle as Posner contends. Once again, the assumptions which Posner's computer simulation rely upon invalidate the simulation.

Third, the House Select Committee on Assassinations employed a panel of expert pathologists headed by Dr. Michael Baden to assess the bullet track from the hole in Kennedy's back to the front of his throat. They concluded a bullet traversed this path at an upward angle of 11 degrees. Posner describes this trajectory as a downward path. See Case Closed, Appendix A, p. 477. Had Posner's simulation relied upon the official finding of the HSCA's medical panel, its results necessarily would have been drastically different, and could not have supported the Single Bullet Theory.

2. Posner ignores and bends evidence to fit his thesis. For example, he relies on the House Select Committee's "jiggle analysis" to argue that the first shot was fired around Z-160. But the HSCA's "jiggle analysis" shows at least six places where Zapruder's film blurred, so if you accept these blurs as confirmation that a shot occurred, you have evidence of at least six shots, a fact that is not congenial to Posner's lone assassin theory.

3. Critical parts of Posner's case for the Single Bullet Theory are speculative and highly improbable. Posner postulates a shot by Oswald just as Kennedy vanishes from his eyesight under a tree. He says that this shot missed and hit a tree branch. The tree branch, according to Posner, separated the bullet's core from its jacket, causing the core to ricochet several hundred feet down the street where it struck a curb near bystander James Tague.

Here the reader is left with a series of conjectures that are unsupported by fact: (1) there is no evidence of any shot hitting

the tree; (2) there is no evidence of any branch separating a bullet's core from its jacket;

Perhaps most telling of all, a simple common sense observation: Why would Oswald--or any 6th floor gunman--hold his fire while the President was approaching him in plain view, only to shoot when he disappeared behind tree limbs?

4. Posner makes serious factual errors and ignores sworn testimony which contradicts his theory. Posner asserts that the entry wound in Governor Connally's right shoulder was 1.25 inches long, the exact length of the bullet. This assertion is used to support his theory that the bullet was tumbling end over end. This glaring factual error stems from the operative report, which lists the size of the wound as 3 cm. (close to an inch and a quarter). Dr. Robert Shaw, Connally's thoracic surgeon, testified that this was the size of the wound after excision of tissue around the wound, and that the original size was only 1.5 cm. (less than 2/3 of an inch) or half the size given by Posner to justify his assertion that the bullet was tumbling.

Case Closed ignores Dr. Shaw's testimony to the Warren Commission and the HSCA--and for good reason. His testimony is critical to the very issues raised by Posner, but destructive of Posner's theory. For example, Dr. Shaw testified

--that the bullet wound in Connally's back was not characteristic of a bullet which had been tumbling or which had struck another object first, but was consistent with a bullet having entered at an angle

--that he would have "some difficulty in explaining all of the wounds as having been inflicted by bullet 399 without causing more in the way of loss of substance to the bullet or deformation of the bullet."

--that after viewing the Zapruder film and talking to Connally, "it was hard to say that the first bullet hit both of these men almost simultaneously."

--that examination of Connally's wrist both by X-ray and at the time of surgery "showed some fragments of metal that make it difficult to believe that the same missile could have caused these two wounds [to Connally's chest and wrist]" because "this bullet has lost literally none of its substance [except for a tiny portion on its nose which was removed for testing]," whereas, "[t]here seems to be more than 3 grains missing [in the wrist]." (Three grains would be more lead than is missing from CE 399, meaning that it could not be the bullet that struck Connally's wrist.)


In evaluating Dr. Shaw's testimony against Posner's belief in the Single Bullet Theory, it is important to remember that Dr. Shaw was a first-hand witness, having conducted the surgery on Governor Connally, and that he possessed extensive first-hand knowledge of bullet wounds both because of his experience at Parkland Hospital and as a thoracic surgeon in France during World War II.

5. If one of Posner's claims is correct, he has disproved his own theory! Posner cites a computer enhancement of the Zapruder film done by Failure Analysis Associates ("FAA"), as showing that at Z 224 "the right lapel of the Governor's suit flies away from his chest" as a result of a bullet passing through. He calls this "one of the most important timing confirmations in the case, as it establishes the moment the bullet hit [Connally]." He thinks this shows that Connally and Kennedy were simultaneously hit by the same bullet, eliminating the otherwise ineluctable conclusion that their wounds could only have been inflicted by two or more riflemen.

In fact, at Z 224 Kennedy clearly already has been hit. One of his hands is rising and the other falling, and his face is contorted. The space between Kennedy and Connally was only 25 inches. Since the bullet which allegedly left Kennedy's neck was travelling at a speed of 1779 feet per second (according to Edgewood Arsenal tests performed in 1964), it would have taken the bullet barely a thousandth of a second to traverse the two feet from Kennedy's neck to Connally's lapel. Yet Kennedy already is reacting to a shot. Given the speed of the fastest human reflex, this means Kennedy is reacting to an earlier shot than that which allegedly hit Connally's lapel. Since Kennedy and Connally were hit by different bullets, the Single Bullet theory is disproved if Posner is right.

Unfortunately, just as it is not possible to endorse Posner's purported proof of the Single Bullet Theory, neither can he be given credit for having unintentionally proved a conspiracy. Although he claims that Connally's lapel is "the exact area where the governor's suit and shirt have a bullet hole," his use of the phrase "exact area" is misleading. The bullet hole is not in the coat lapel but adjacent to it.

Despite the unwelcome length of the above commentary, these points are not exhaustive. In view of this, the AARC urges the media to be very careful before relying on the assertions in Case Closed.



Jim Lesar
President
Assassination Archives and
Research Center