

## HAROLD WEISBERG

7627 Old Receiver Rd.  
Frederick, MD 21702

12/19/93

Mr. Tom Wicker  
Austin Hill Farm  
Rochester, VT 05767

Dear Mr. Wicker,

In writing you September 10 I said your trust had been imposed upon, or at least I so believed, in your glowing endorsement of Gerald Posner's Case Closed. I said also that it is the most dishonest book I have ever read and I suggested that if you doubted that or the conclusory statements that followed a simple test would be for you to ask Random House for the traditional peer reviews in controversial non-fiction. As I indicated, because to the degree possible for me I am trying to use the time that remains to me to perfecting the historical record in the JFK assassination, I was writing.

Before writing you or even before reading the book, on the basis of what I had been told about what he writes about me in it, without any source indication in either the text or the notes, I wrote Posner and asked for those sources. I had not gotten the book because when he spent three days here, with free and unsupervised access to all I have and to unsupervised access to our copier, he promised me one of the first of his books when they were available. He did not send me a book and he did not respond to my questions, chiefly about those alleged sources but also about something I know very well I never said or believed.

I finished the rather lengthy and I believe detailed book on Posner's book in which I also use it as a symbol. However, and you know what my typing is, there has been a delay in the retyping. I therefore cannot send you, as I would prefer to do, a copy of it. I did begin it with quotations from the dust-jacket blurbs, including yours. I enclose a xerox of them for your convenience. Yours is the bottom on on the back cover. I have highlighted what I hope you will address in what you are quoted as saying. I ask also the basis for your making those statements and whether you made any effort to check them or anything at all in the book. Or, as I am still inclined to believe, you wrote what you wrote on the basis of trust without any independent checking. At the same time, if you are at all interested in what he said about me (and you could have checked by asking me) I'll respond as fully as you may like.

Inherent in what you wrote is the assumption that all books critical of what I regard as the official assassination mythology are books of conspiracy theories. You read my first book in manuscript and you may not have any recollection of it now after 28 years, but if you do recall it you will not recall any theorizing of any kind it in. There is none in any of my books. They are almost entirely based on the official evidence. This includes about 150,000 pages of records, mostly of the FBI, that I got from a dozen FOIA lawsuits. Some were precedential and one led to the 1974 amending of the investigation files

exemption that opened FBI, CIA and similar files to FOIA access. <sup>9</sup> With unrestricted access to all of that documentation, Posner spent no time at all in those files of which I know. He was here only three days. He spent all or most of that time in what I call my "subject" file. That is a file of duplicates of records <sup>1</sup> made, preserving my originals as I received them for archival deposit. I made them mostly for the use of others but they are anything but inclusive. They do include a fair selection of the FBI's nasty records about me of which all are in varying degrees prejudiced and some are outright lies. He may have copied what he liked from that file but he did not ask me about any of what he wrote about me <sup>6</sup> based on them. }

You say that "Case Closed is a deliberate, detailed, thoroughly documented" book whose "most important contribution may be Posner's thorough, dispassionate...account of the miserable life of Lee Harvey Oswald" and that "After his book, the case of JFK is indeed closed."

I note that in this you <sup>8</sup> make no reference to the official facts of the crime itself or to any other accounting of them. <sup>3</sup> So I ask how can that case be "indeed closed" without any reference to the facts of the crime, those officially stated to be facts or those that in Posner's book are so represented?

In general, I would like to know the basis for your saying what I quote above, what checking you did to ascertain whether he was truthful or whether he lied in any of what you did comment on, Oswald's life. In particular, did you consult what the Commission and its counsel said about that very same thing, Posner's basis for all of that, what the shrink <sup>4</sup> Hartogs actually said about the bad boy Oswald was?

I'll be writing the others who provided those prepublication endorsements, too. I do hope that you and the others will respond because <sup>1</sup> I have quoted those endorsements and would prefer not to have to add to the book that any or all declined to respond.

For your information, and you can easily check this for yourself or I'll help you, using the Random House unabridged dictionary definition I refer to him as a plagiarist. <sup>1</sup> You will in time find this fully documented, He does use the work of others as his own work, so effectively that the Philadelphia Inquirer commended him for having gone to all that trouble and expense.

I would also like to know who asked you for the endorsement and why you gave it.

Sincerely,

*Harold Weisberg*  
Harold Weisberg

Mr. Tom Wicker  
Austin Hill Farm  
Rochester, VT 05767

9/10/93

Dear Mr. Wicker,

Thanks for your card. How more than when I wrote you I do want you to have a proof copy of the book about which I still know nothing and am too involved in other rushed writing to care to find out. As I probably told you, I want to use all of the time that remains to me to perfect the historical record to the degree I can. That does keep me busy.

For a week or so I've been debating whether to write you and Wise but I feared that what I'd say would be misunderstood.

Your trust has been imposed upon as I believe you cannot begin to imagine!

And I doubt I can be persuasive in just conclusory statements. But I'll try and God willing will have more detail than you'll want to read.

Posner's is the most dishonest book I can recall.

I was sick when I read your dust-jacket praise of it. More for Wise, for whose work I've always had enormous respect because the only question of CIA involvement in Posner's book is the degree of it.

If you are concerned about what this can do to your reputation, may I make one suggestion? Ask Random House from whom they got peer reviews. You will find that there was <sup>not</sup> or those requested were from subject-matter ignoramuses.

I do not know Wise. But if you do, as I suppose you do, and he is still in the Washington area and wants to talk to me about it, we are not far from him. Only it is not safe for me to travel.

Posner, when he was here, said he'd have the publisher send me one of the first copies so I did not buy one. The <sup>only</sup> one of the few historians who is a subject expert was here for the local awarding of an honorary doctorate in the humanities, at Hood College. He bought USNews but I did not want to read it twice so I did not. He went to Washington to work for a while. When he returned <sup>he</sup> had two copies, gave me one and asked me to read and annotate it, as I have on a number of the assassination expositions for him. I've not finished reading it but I've used a highlighter and made notes if Wise is interested. I'm also writing about it. With luck it should not be long before I have what I'll do in rough draft. I can see what is worse than Wroner saw in the book. I did not expect anything like it from the impression the Posners made on my wife and me. He also described an entirely different book to me. If you want to ask for Wroner's opinion, he is Professor of History, University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point 54481. His home address is 1518 Blackberry Lane, same zip. His home phone is 715/344-3448.

I'm so sorry that your reputations have been besmirched in history by the most knowingly dishonest book I can remember and the most indecent exploitation of the tragedy and the anniversary.

*Harold Weisberg*  
Sincerely, Harold Weisberg