

insert in Epilogue, after exchange with Post reviewer

His ~~shyster~~ shystering of the Post is far from Posner's only insistent ^{on the lie}, that the ^{will} "airwell" Analysis work was for him. I have ~~never~~ known him to admit the truth or to give an honest answer when confronted with the truth about it. Neither he nor Random House ~~has~~ issued any retraction.

Posner's ^{refusal} obdurate ^{was} to be honest and truthful ~~has~~ perhaps ~~its~~ most dramatic on the return match between him and Dr. Cyril Wecht, the renowned forensic pathologist, when the CNN "Crossfire" show marked the ~~30th~~ ^{anniversary} 30th anniversary, on November 19, 1993.

This is what the transcript reflects, with Wecht speaking, on page 4:

"....

What Mr. Posner keeps talking about is this computer enhancement, this simulated thing. He forgets and ignores to tell you— please, Mr. Posner, be honest with your viewers and your hosts tonight and let them know that the other scientists at the FAA who did this work, and not for you, Mr. Posner, but for the American Bar Association, to sell their wares, that one half of them at least disagreed, including the president of the corporation.

KINSLEY: How about that?

Dr. WECHT: So don't talk about—

Mr. POSNER: No, no—

Dr. WECHT: One more point with regard to—

Mr. POSNER: Don't distort the record.

Telling the truth is to Posner ^{to} ~~distort~~ ["]distorting the record!"

Posner continued to evade and ~~even~~ ^{even} instead of responding ^{even} sought to attack Wecht, saying what is obviously false, "You're trying to attack the sources because you can't deal with the substance."

Wecht replied, "The source? You have represented that you had a special study done—" Posner again interrupted to say, "Wait a ~~mint~~ ^{minute} minute. It's public record, and I have this—" And here Posner ^{was} saved by the ^{of} moderator's interruption and changing of ~~the~~ the subject, to the conclusions of the mock trial. Posner even lied about that, not admitting that the jury did not agree with him and his use of ^{only} the prosecution side of ^{mock trials} the ~~case~~ ~~out~~.

These digressions to save Posner continued, with Pat Buchanan ^{on that show} pitching in to help him out. The last of his shystering on this subject, ^{Donald Ruggin's former aide} without his ever telling or admitting the truth,

Epilogue insert after Post, 2

brayen lie
is Posner's ~~representation, shyster-words~~, that there was no disagreement at Failure Analysis in its bar association presentation. Buchanan did not even let him finish his careful misrepresentation, "There's no disagreement on the people who did the study--"

That was not the issue or the question. Posner refers to ~~the~~ ⁹prosecution side only. They are the ones "who did the study." The other side disproved that "study" as the jury reflected in refusing to indict based on it.

Obviously, when Failure Analysis presented both sides in that mock trial and the defense side had only to keep the jury from agreeing with the prosecution, the defense had no need to make any ~~the~~ study and there was the very obvious disagreement between the two sides, both presented by Failure Analysis.

These are but two of the public exposures of Posner's literary thievery and his steadfast refusal to be truthful about it. But so intent is the major media ~~in~~ lambasting the people with what in any way supports the official assassination mythology that NBC-TV arranged for and went ahead with its announced ~~min~~¹-series starring this nonstop shys~~ter~~^{ing} liar, fraud and literary thief.

Such TV endorsements of him and his dishonest work - after he and it were exposed for what they are!

(Note to self: ^{w/}that follows in event I did not begin this section on Failure Analysis with it*)

Posner's complaint to the Post was over what Jeffrey A. Frank, a deputy editor of its Sunday Outlook section, said in his October 31 review in the Post's Sunday book World section:

"Posner uses computer enhanced material developed by the San Francisco firm Failure Analysis. Yet Roger McCarthy, the firm's CEO (c/e chief executive officer) has since expressed outrage over what he calls a 'fundamental misrepresentation' of the data - including an implication that the work was commissioned by Posner." ~~Excerpt~~
~~Excerpt from the Washington Post by George Bernard Shaw~~

At the very least, NBC's ^{large} Washington staff, particularly its ¹news staff, was

if not also this
aware of these exposures of Posner's dishonesty and the undependability of his book.

Yet NBC-TV was not a bit discouraged in its ~~coast-to-coast~~ *and promotion* endorsement of him and his book for February, 1994 telecasting.

It has to have been aware of much more than this that told it, Posner and his book were dishonest and were frauds. But none of this made any difference to NBC.

It, like the other major media, has always propagated the official assassination mythology and it was not going to change and tell the truth.

Or cancel what it expected to make money from airing.