

Trisha and Gerald Posner  
300 East 54 St.,  
New York, N.Y. 10022

3/3/93

Dear Trisha and Gerald,

The beautiful card and kind comments are a coincidence because, apparently, I was confused on your schedule. I'd thought you would be getting home about now and the card seems to indicate that you are about to leave.

During my morning walking, when I'm not gathering cardboard boxes in which to ship books ~~are~~ <sup>or</sup> to cut up for backing those ~~a~~ wrap, I've been thinking about the article growing into a book, "Honorable Men." I've not been able to work continuously so I've been making copies of what I regard as appropriate. And as I do this I am from time to time reminded of what the format makes appropriate that is new and significant about the assassination and its investigation by the Commission. ~~By~~ this I mean really in terms of the counsels who proclaimed their correctness along with their purity, specifics of what they had and did suppress or avoided getting that I did get. Like proof that Oswald did have both Top Secret and Crypto clearances, for one thing.

What I'll want to use will depend on the extent of any interest shown.

So, I'm hoping that you soon have time to speak to your agent and editor.

I'll continue making copies now so that a publisher will be satisfied and so that there can be a documentary appendix, including with Top Secret stamps.

When I've done all of that I can think of I'll reread what I've on paper, correct it, indicate insertions and perhaps make them, and then resume the writing.

Specter wrote an article I have in which he says that they should be judged by their Report and the 25, his figure. That is what I'm doing.

As I think about this I'm thinking more and more about chapters on each area team of those counsels. This has the advantage of making it at least in parts free-standing and suitable for ancillary uses. Like Specter on his campaign now, Liebelier teaching law at UCLA, etc.

I've new, significant and never-used Hosenko documents, appropriate to Slawson and Coleman. (I'm glad that ABC-TV was satisfied with the nothing they got from the KGB, little more than published in 1975 and not including some of what I then published.)

I suggested to a student that she make a study of Oswald's writings. She is doing that and something added that is useful may evolve.

What I am saying is that I see a new, very important and entirely factual book, unlike the junk that is selling so well, a book that should have, with editing and probably re-writings, have a real impact. I think it will mark the end of the Warren Report at least in terms of officials and counsels defenses if it.

I hope that Dallas is productive! Our best,

Harold