

Requiescat in pace CH

Because of Posner's failure to identify Failure Associates' client, deliberate on his part, not an oversight, as his own words leave without any question at all, one is left to wonder who could possibly have wanted such a job and was able and willing to pay for it. The most obvious possibilities are the CIA and the American Bar Association.

Lee-this precedes handling of CIA as the client. Below follows the CH part

If it were not the CIA, perhaps it was the American Bar Association for its 1992 supposed legal study for its session on "The Trial of Lee Harvey Oswald."

If so, this makes the association parti pris in the controversy because it would have sought and obtained a detailed scientific mumbo-jumbo of a nature that ~~prohibited~~ <sup>precluded</sup> what the bar more than all others should have insisted upon, what the authority Wigmore ~~des~~ described as the greatest engine for establish truth, cross-examination. (This, of course, is also true of Posner's use of it.) There is no possibility at all that the lawyers representing the defense could have known what was required to cross-examine those who prepared that misrepresentation by computer of the known actualities.

This means that at each and every point the bar association was not a neutral like a judge but was a partisan. This also means that it was all over again in permitting its use in so partisan a book.

= That puts the bar association in line with that of the doctors through <sup>their</sup> ~~its~~ Journal of the American Medical Association as partisan in the fierce controversy each ~~mag~~ should have sought to explore and have explored with the most scrupulous impartiality.

<sup>Computer-based,</sup> If anyone at the bar/association cared about what it was doing and the use that would be made of it, or if anyone now does, a careful factual cross-examination of this monstrous corruption of reality by one of those permitted to do such things in litigation should do much to limit the possible uses of such supposedly scientific contraptions to defeat the purpose of our judicial system, to see to it that justice is done.