

XXXI

Never Again - Again

Like Posner, Randon House and the CIA, The Failure Group also exploited the JFK assassination for its own purposes. Unlike the Posner cabal, it intended no exploitation of the assassination, ^{42pt here} ~~intended what~~ it regarded as a legitimate, scientific demonstration and a constructive one at that, ^{giving} ~~and probably gave~~ nothing else any thought. Aside from the misuse Posner et al made of it, and that is very hurtful to the country ~~and~~ to our history and to peoples' understanding ~~of~~ of one of the most ~~severe~~ tragic and costly events in our history, misuses what The Failure Group never intended, ~~expected~~ or even thought about, the proper and intended use, although it influenced immeasurably fewer people, had the same effect.

Inherent in the basic concept is that our wonderful new scientific capabilities ~~can~~ can answer all questions, ~~and~~ solve all problems, ^{can} tell us anything we do not know, ~~and~~ ^{can} make what ~~we~~ we do not know understandable.

Also inherent in the concept is that in a short while, given their fine educations and experiences, such a group as the hundreds of doctors of philosophy in various disciplines as are in The Failure Group group ^{can} and, in a short while, grasp and utilize all ~~knowledge~~ ^{what they have} knowledge on anything and everything and by passing ~~it~~ through all their marvelous gadgets and ~~giz~~ ^{and} gizmos, evolve the absolute truth.

Perhaps that is true with what started The Failure Group off to its great successes and fine international reputation, like determining what caused oil-well catastrophes.

^{This} It is not ⁴ true of the JFK assassination and it is not true of what was presented to the bar ^{of} convention.

There is a difference between presenting to the courts what is of absolute dependability with regard to great natural catastrophes and other accidents and major political events and ~~cr~~ crimes. While there is no difference in the requirements of justice, there is an enormous difference in the capabilities of the ^{can} scientific technologies in achieving justice, the ultimate objective of the judicial system,

The technologies themselves are breathtakingly marvelous.

It did what it had been^asked to do by the American ^rBar Association itself, to be pre-
sented at that ^eyour's bar convention. Both intended what they

Should have been limited strictly and firmly to the intended audience. The ABA could have done this. Doing this, however, denied the ABA access to most of those it wanted to reach, lawyers who ^{would} ~~were~~ not ^{at} the convention. Using TV to reach them, going public with the demonstration not intended for ^{any} ~~any~~ use other than ~~by lawyers~~ educating ~~lawyers~~ lawyers, where the subject-matter was so intensely both political and controversial, virtually enticed misuse, especially with the thirtieth anniversary coming.

But they are limited ^{to} ~~to what~~ ^{to} what they are given to process.

These technologies can and do, if used properly, process what they are given. They do not and cannot process what they are not given to process.

There is, too, a vast difference between a simple demonstration of capabilities and uses to a limited professional audience that understands it is being given only a demonstration, and permitted ^{WGS} widespread use in an entirely different context and to an ~~entirely~~ ^{entirely} different audience for enormously different purposes.

With what The Failure Group and the American Bar Associations intended this difference only begins with permitting Court TV to give a nationwide audience ~~for~~ what only closed-circuit TV was appropriate ^{for}.

Court TV is not limited to an audience of ~~lawyers~~ lawyers with the need to know what can influence the justice that is the end of all legal proceedings.

Any demonstration, intended only as a demonstration, on ^{any} ~~the~~ most intensely controversial national issues ^{like} ~~as~~ the assassination of a President, ^{422A} ~~which does nullify our entire system of self government and thus our freedom and of how government worked or did not work when faced with that great tragedy, should have precluded absolutely its use in any other manner, as anything other than a demonstration, as a new model of equipment is demonstrated to those who use that equipment.~~ Neither the bar association ^{or} The Failure Group appears ^{to} have given this any thought or to have been aware of the misuses they made possible by ^{using TV. In this they gave} ~~what they sought and wanted, nationwide public access to what without any reasonable question at all they intended as only a demonstration to a limited audience.~~ ^{of lawyers only.}

Without this serious error in judgement Posner's ^{rewriting of our} ~~rewriting of our~~ ^{an educational} ~~national~~ ^{our} history by means of it would not have been possible and there would not have been this need to attempt to ^{direct his use of it to corrupt our history} ~~direct his use of it to corrupt our history~~.

What is simply ghastly to me is that such a group of the very ^{most highly} ~~best~~ educated minds in the country, with so high a percentages of advanced degrees, ~~respecting the best of~~ ^{to me} ~~educations~~ could begin such a project with what it ~~is~~ ^{is} the most astounding ignorance, stupidity and prejudice.

There seems to be no reason not to believe that Meyer ^{is} both truthful and without exaggeration ^{in writing} when she wrote me that Failure Analysis Associates is "the nation's leading ~~firm~~ consulting firm dedicated to investigation, analysis and prevention of failures of an engineering or scientific nature. Our work is well known ~~throughout the~~ ^{throughout the} ~~national~~ litigation field and ^{we} pride ourselves on utilizing the ~~most~~ ^{most} state-of-the-art techniques in engineering, analysis and demonstrative evidence preparation. This is why we were contacted by the ABA."

in March 1992
 Yet when ~~they~~ were approached by the ABA "to assist with a Mock Trial Presentation for their 1992 annual convention" and there was "much discussion," Meyer did not say ^{by} whom but ~~imply~~ implies it was within Failure Analysis, "the decision was made to ~~put~~ Lee ~~Har~~ "Harvey Oswald on ^{trial} trial" at the event." She added that the "Mock ^{trial} trial" was "designed to educate attorneys on proper ^{trial} techniques as well as the technologies to display demonstrative evidence." She emphasized the word "educate."

For this objective, with only the time between March and early August to ~~encompass~~ encompass the information and then to ~~process~~ ^{process} process it "utilizing the most state-of-the-art techniques," with what did they start, what was the source of their information to be so processed: "Both sides utilized the following background information: Warren Commission ^{Report} Report, House Select Committee Report, "Crossfire" as well as a copy of the ^{by} Zapruder Film." In addition, either side could with the approval of the other side, use other materials. Meyer added, "This is how we acquired your books. (John) Lattimer's medical work was also used extensively and we had discussions with Harry Howard in Dallas as well."

Given the stated purposes and intentions, in this field in which I do qualify as an expert there could hardly have been more of the "garbage-in-garbage-out" ^{that's the} bugaboo of computerizing.

Probably nobody at Failure Analysis, and this gets to an irreparable flaw in such political projects, had the remotest idea that their ^{two} ^{sources} basic materials were fiercely partisan, ^{intending and designed} each with the intent to reach a predetermined conclusion and each ^{was} angled that way and each ^{did} doing precisely that in those ^{typ} two official reports. Moreover, the Warren

It is simply horrifying that such learned, scientifically -minded people would give any thought at all to a book that describes itself as "The big daddy of conspiracy books" and in its very first words says, "Do not trust this book." (No page numbers in preface) To ~~va~~ call this book trash is to praise it because it is harmful, not harmless, except as entertainment, and entertainment is not the business of either the bar association or The Failure Group. It deceives, it misleads, it misrepresents, it is confused and ~~is~~ confusing and it has no relationship, no matter how indirect, with the established fact of either the assassination or its investigations.

Only one abysmally ignorant of the established fact and ^{truly} ~~entirely~~ indifferent to popularizing what on ~~its~~ its face was impossible would have gotten all that attention to ~~the~~ ^Picky White's fairy tale that ^{his} ~~he~~ father, the late ^Poscoe White, had killed ^{both} ~~by~~ the President and Officer J.D. Tippit. ~~But~~ ^{and} even after it was exposed as a fake Howard and his group kept pushing it and even ^{tried} to sell it to Oliver Stone for a movie.

~~Report was not a text~~ Report's conclusions are not able to survive comparison with the evidence on which it is allegedly based base.

If Failure Analysis set out to select what in terms of evidence and dependability is the trashiest book in the field, it ~~was~~ ^{did not} wise to select Jim Marrs' Crossfire!

It has nothing at all to do with evidence, with fact in any form!

It is a compendium of the many conspiracy theories not one of which has any proven validity at all and to make that even worse, Marrs' cannot and does not even get ~~them~~ ^{of them} straight in his anthology. 424 A here

Howard heads the Dallas group that calls itself an information center but he knows absolutely nothing about the fact of the assassination, ~~has~~ ^{He} never expressed or demonstrated any interest in knowing anything at all about it, ~~has~~ ^{He} bragged to reporters who told me that he had not ~~read~~ ^{He} read any book of the assassination and was widely and publicly known ^{for} drawing international attention to the most overtly impossible "solutions" to the crime, the most indecent of ~~them~~ ^{He}, and even trying to get movies made of them! 424 A

A fiercer and more determinedly partisan medical person that Lattimer does not exist. His biases and other faults ~~are~~ were adequate set forth for Failure Analysis in what they ~~say they had~~, my Post Mortem. It is carefully infelid.

That they "acquired" my books does not mean that they used any of the information in them, particularly the facsimile reproduction in them of official evidence of the crime. ~~It~~ ^{is} what Posner used in his book and Random House used in its extensive promotions and sold ancillary uses, and I have copies from as far away as Australia, I have seen not a single reason even to suspect that a single fact - and my books are entirely factual, no theories of any kind in them - in any one of them in any way.

But even getting my books was an afterthought. The ~~project~~ ^{project} began with only one-sided basic information and from what evolved never escaped that one-sidedness. It will be absolutely clear that what evolved and was presented as evidence is ~~is~~ entirely refuted by what is in the earliest pages of my very first book.

While for the limited intended use and that limited to the in-hall audience these *flaws* may not have invalidated the ultimate presentation, for any other use there could hardly have been any greater irresponsibility ~~that~~ limiting the basic information to partisan political sources, absolute one-sidedness in this, or to the incredible ~~trash~~ *reflects this* in Harris' incompetent and grossly inaccurate compendium of all the assassination nuttiness.

This irresponsibility was by the most ^{highly ed} educated professionals ^{who have} of the amply-earned, fine international reputations, *too* ~~but~~ ^{In it} they completely validated that computer bugaboo, GIGO, garbage in, garbage out.

This with the ^{subject} the assassination of a President?
With all that means ^{and} ^{addition of} all the controversy over what officialdom then did and did not do?

This with what inevitably had the effect of a coup d'etat in our country?
And then to first permit-indeed, prearrange for - nationwide telecasting and ^{repeated} re-telecasting ~~and~~ and then to no matter how indirectly permit Posner's misuse of it and on learning of his misuse before he could make that ^{this} use not to assert the right to prevent it? There was at the very least a common law copyright on that presentation.

Neither the bar association nor The Failure Group asserted that ownership or made any effort at all to prevent or even ~~influence~~ ^{influence} in any way the grossest misuse ^{made} ~~made~~ at it.

This is separate from Posner's false pretenses about it, representing it as work done for him or his work.

And even when it appeared there was no ^{by} protect, no effort to correct his misrepresentations of any kind about it.

Why only The Failure Group can say but what is apparent is that it benefited ^{considerably} ~~considerably~~ from that vast international attention ^(It was) ~~and that it~~ as content to get the benefits of this misuse, without any thought at all to the great national harm from that misuse.

It is not necessary to demonstrate all the factual errors and all the harmful conclusions drawn from the ^{my} ^{this was} and presented to ~~the~~ ^{one} of the very greatest if not the very ~~greatest~~ greatest audiences ^{was obtained by} ~~for~~ a vicious, dishonest book on any subject to demonstrate the un-

They are not able to do this, despite their contrary representations. There were, in fact, five fewer minutes for any planning of the assassination from that window or by Oswald because the motorcade was due there five minutes earlier. It was running five minutes late. That no assassin could plan on.

4202
4263

All the basic research was done for it ~~in~~^{on} this in my first book, with all the Commission sources cited in it. Failure Analysis had no work at all to do on this. The work was done for it and that work was in its hands.

avoidable GIGO that was built into the presentation ^{For the} and the ~~the~~ certain harmful consequences of any misuse of it by anyone at any time, ~~that was permitted to~~ as Posner was permitted to make ~~with both~~ the bar association and The Failure Group ^{are} jointly and ^{individually} ~~separately~~ responsible.

Separate from what Failure Analysis produced ^{are/} what Posner ^{did} ~~may have done~~ with ~~that~~ ^{The opposite of what it represents, means and} ~~that~~ it that ~~is not in accord with~~ what it ~~actually~~ says and what he used from it that he does not credit to it ^{and represents as done by it for him}

Any considerations of Oswald's guilt must begin by putting him where it was possible for him to be the assassin. This means placing him at that sixth-floor window ^{into} which Posner and others give provocative and prejudicial names, like "sniper's den" or "nest" in time to fire that ~~rifle~~ rifle by 12:30 p.m., when the motorcade ^{ad,} was to pass ~~and~~ with the rifle ready to use. 426A

As we have seen one hundred percent of the evidence is that Oswald did not bring ^{to and} the rifle into the building that morning.

This gets to a basic Failure Analysis error in ^{using} the Warren Report ^{426B/A} rather than also its twenty-six volumes of appendix as basic information. ^{Commission's own} The Report states what all of the ^{underlying} evidence states is not true. Even if Meyer meant to include those volumes, as she did not, in the accounting of the source material, ^{used} the fact still remains that Failure Analysis' prosecution team had to get that rifle ^{into building} ~~into~~ that building ^{Commission's own} ~~in~~ morning and the ^{evidence} evidence precludes that.

^{Failure analysis} ~~It~~ could not use a conclusion, correct or incorrect, to "educate" lawyers for their courtroom uses when the rules of evidence ^{exclude, using} ~~exclude~~ ~~opinion~~ conclusions as evidence.

But even if that is forgotten and it is ~~or merely~~ presumed in the ~~of~~ face of all of the evidence that Oswald did somehow get that rifle into that building, ~~in fact~~ it then would have been disassembled and a skilled FBI expert, which Oswald was not, required six minutes to assemble the two parts of the rifle with a dime, this being used in the test because ~~there~~ is no indication of Oswald's access to a screwdriver.

In ~~be~~ turn this meant that to be in that window ^{in time to shoot} ~~from any other place in that~~ building Oswald had to have been at that window before ~~at~~ 12:24 ^{at the latest}. To this

40.7A

Nobody planning any assassination and knowing anything at all about the rifle to be used could allow as little time as the best time the FBI expert could make to get that rifle reassembled. This means that Oswald had to have gotten to that window even earlier. He did not. This is established

He had to have left prints when he fired the rifle, he had to have left ^{other} prints when he held it differently ~~in~~ on leaving that window, and he had to have held it still differently in getting rid of it, leaving still *other prints*

must be added the time required for him to get there from ~~wherever~~ ^{wherever} he was. 427A

This, too, it is impossible to do. It is made more impossible by the fact that Carolyn Arnold saw him on the first floor after ~~that time~~ ^{much later}, at about 12:30. ²⁵

Forgetting that and for the moment forgetting any proof, that Oswald did fire that rifle at that time, his escape has to be accounted for. ~~Of this there is a visual Posner reproduction on pages (unnumbered) 481, 480 and on page 481.~~ ^{have been possible within the time permitted by the official work force. Posner}

^{in addition to where he did it in firing it}
^{handled}
This visual ^{does} reflects what has to have happened, that Oswald ^{carried} that rifle, ^{additional} at two points; when he ^{left} leaves his supposed shooting point and when he ^{ed} nears ^{ed} where he allegedly ^{cast} cast it side. Each drawing shows what he had to do, that he held the rifle. ^{427 B has} Yet there were no fingerprints on that rifle consistent with his ^{this handling and, as} carrying it ~~and, as~~ the the drawings ^{reflects}, he had to have had contact with parts of the rifle capable of accepting fingerprints.

Oswald's "assumed route" as it is reflected in the visual that Posner used is a straight diagonal from the southeastern to the northwesternmost corners of that ~~building~~ building. This was assumed because it was necessary to make that assumption to reduce even by only seconds the time that imagined escape took. But it was a well-known and absolute physical impossibility. That ~~so~~ floor ~~is part~~ was part of the warehouse. As existing pictures show there were stacks of books all over it and some of those ~~stacks~~ stacks were higher than a man.

The dishonesty of all of this gets greater when Failure Analysis gets to where it, like officialdom, says that ~~Official~~ Oswald get ~~rid~~ rid of that rifle only it did what officialdom did not dare do, it entirely eliminated the barricade of books behind which that rifle was found. This is not a pardonable oversight, if there is such a think on such a project. Aside from the testimony and those Commission ^{they} volumes ~~there~~ ^{had} is my Whitewash, it discusses this escape in detail and ~~pro~~ with the official evidence only, It is indexed, And it even includes one of the Commission's very poor photographs of the rifle in position where it ^{was} later found (on page 211) In any of the poor pictures and in the testimony of the officer who found it is it is apparent that unlike the "reconstructions, including that by Failure Analysis, the rifle was not

420

merely cast aside while in ~~the~~ flight. It ^{was} very carefull placed inside that ~~barricade~~ square barricade of stacked boxes - from ~~one~~ ^{more} of which any fingerprint ^{was} lifted - set carefully on the floor in a position from which it could not have been jarred over ~~by an~~ ^{by an} accident, on the extreme rear tip of the butt and the extreme forward end of the muzzle. It ~~was~~ also ^{was} carefully covered, with both cardboard and ~~paper~~ paper, and as Constable Weitzman testified, it ~~as~~ ^{was} ever more covered over when it was first seen that ^{later} when the pictures were taken.

As there is no accounting for how that barridade of cartons was surmounted twice without a single fingerprint being detected, there also is no accounting for the time required for that careful hiding of the ~~rifle~~ rifle, not by the ^{not by the FBI,} Commission, not by Failure Analysis and not by Posner.

These "oversights" are indispensable ^{of which} because ^{(Oswald} was seen on the ^{second} floor and because in all reconstructions it is necessary to have him outside the building by 12:33.

There is no need to ^{repeat} what appears on this earlier in this book. With all the deliberateness of all the so-called reconstructions ^{still} it was not possible to get Oswald to and into that ^{second} floor lunchroom before he would ^{of it} have been seen outside ~~it~~ by the building ^{Manager} ^{Truly}, who was rushing up those stairs ahead of Policeman ^{Baker}.

Oswald was inside that lunchroom the door to which had an automatic closer and with a coke in his hand when ^{Baker} saw him through the small window in the door, he said, and when Truly, ahead of ^{Baker} and ^{Arthur} up the stairs, did not see ^{either} him or the door close

There is not and never has been any legitimate question about this: the evidence ~~at~~ not only did not place Oswald where he could have fired any ^{shots} from that ^{sixth} floor window - the evidence proves he could ^{not} have been ^{there to do it}. No matter ^{how} special-interest may contort and misrepresent it, this is the official evidence and it is the closest thing there is to real evidence, nobody having seen ^{Oswald} from ^{before} the shooting ^{until} until Baker saw him inside that lunchroom.

The picture of the rifle as hidden in itself is ^{enough} to disprove the official and ^{the} semi-official "solutions" ~~based~~ based on Oswald firing from that window.

Here is the true "Case Closed."

Extra space

The appendix part of the U.S. News use of the Posener book begins exactly as Posner has ~~his~~ ^{the} ~~app~~ that appendix begin in the book, with one exception: U.S. News ~~actually asserted~~ a Posner copyright on Failure Analysis's work! ~~that U.S. News said~~
~~Failure Analysis~~

At the bottom of the magazine's page 88 is this line, in capital letters:
"ADAPTED FROM THE FORTHCOMING BOOK [^] ~~CASE~~ [^] ~~CLOSED: LEE HARVEY OSWALD AND THE~~
ASSASSINATION OF JFK' BY GERALD POSNER ." This is followed by the copyright symbol
^{that} and ~~this~~ is followed by, referring to the copyright, " BY GERALD POSNER, PUBLISHED
BY RANDOM HOUSE, INC."

~~ALTHOUGH THE ORIGINAL LARGE PAPER COPY OF THIS IS DISTRIBUTED BY FAILURE ASSO-~~
~~IATED PRESS AS THE IDENTICAL~~ X
distributes

Failure Analysis also ~~distributes~~ copies of the reprint from the magazine with
the copyright on its work claimed in Posner's name!

Posner hates to refer to "the magic bullet," part of his ² ~~pr~~ ¹ ~~ten~~ ² ~~se~~ that there was
no such thing, although he himself has ^{introduced} ~~int~~ ¹ ~~ro~~ ² ~~duced real magic, as in that tree that he
says stripped the bullet core of its casing and ^{then} ~~red~~ ¹ ~~ire~~ ² ~~cted the core ^{above} [in two different
directions. So he heads his version of ~~appendix~~ some of Failure Analysis's work "Appen-
dix A" while when Random House sold the ancillary rights to the magazine it had the
"Magic Bullet" "The Magic Bullet" headline in large type.~~~~

Each version begins with the identical ^u ~~pic~~ ¹ ~~ture~~ with similar caption. In the book the
caption is "This is the ~~bullet~~ single bullet that wounded both JFK and Connally." In the
magazine the caption is "bullet that hit JFK and Connally: true size." In the book this
is presented as Posner's picture, his work. The magazine has credit to "National Archives"
But in each the picture is identical. It consists of a side view of the bullet, which
is vertical, and ~~and~~ short distance below the bottom is an ^{end} "end view" of ^{its} the ~~base~~
^{base} ~~base~~. In neither version is it possible to make any sense out of the view of the base. If
this were not ^{significant for} ~~true~~ for other reasons, as it is, it would still be true because the
end view is so small and so unclear nothing can be made out of it in it ^{of what} ~~that~~ is there to
be made out. From side to side in this version the bullet, slightly compressed, ~~wax~~

My authority for saying that this is all that is required for spectrographic analysis is the FBI Lab agent who performed that very test, John F. Gallagher when I deposed him in my FOIA lawsuit for those test results, ~~098-75226~~^{G-4.} C.A.75-226. (This and the other depositions in that lawsuit are in the files of the court, of my attorney, Jim Lesar, who did the questioning, in the Department of Justice files, and in my own files.)

less than ^{two} quarter of an inch across. As I published ~~two~~ ^{two} different photographs of the same base of the same bullet on the same page, 602 of Post Mortem, the narrower of the two ^I publisher is more than eight times the size of what Failr Failure Analysis used, less than a full two inches wide compared with a quarter of an inch.

What Failure Analysis ^{and Posner and H.S. News} (obscur~~ed~~ with so small a picture is all of the evidence ~~is~~ held by the base of that bullet. It also failed to explain the difference between the picture of the base ^{of} ~~the~~ the bullet it published and that I did, mine having also been taken for me by the National Archives.

There is a ^c black dot in the picture of the base Failure Analysis used and Posner took from it and also used. There is no ^{ve} explanation for the addition of any dot to the picture. Of the possible explanations what seems most likely is that ^{when after} Vincent Guinn ^{drill} removed a ^{small} sample for his testing for the House assassins committee, when photographed the hole appears to be solid black.

If this is true then we have still another ⁺ view of what it takes to be and to act as a great scientific expert dealing with evidence! ^{rest of} With the ^{entire} base of the bullet ~~that~~ ^{that} was ^{entirely} untouched, Guinn drilled his ^{tiny} hole of only about a single millimeter in diameter, slap down in the middle of what ^{there} is obscured in pictures such as this, where the FBI removed a relative massive sample for the postage ^{postage} stamp weight, ^{430A} ~~milli~~ single millimeter in length specimen ^{add that is necessary} for ^{spot} spectrographic analysis! ^{Guinn} used one the one place that should be have been preserved precisely as it was with all the ^{other} area around the entire circumference of that base where he could have drilled his ^{hole} for his sample [!].

But then from ^{Guinn's} Failure Analysis there is no way of knowing that any hole was drilled or that any specimen was taken. And although, as we have ^{seen}, Posner knew ~~there~~ that ^{Guinn} had taken the sample and then Posner wrote quite deceptively about that, he makes no mention of this in the caption with that picture even though the entire text of that page ^{is} his, not Failure Analysis's.

How Failure Analysis was going to "educate" lawyers for the bar associations, especially as in this instance, criminal ^{law} lawyers, without showing ^{the} that specimens that

What this says and means is important to understand in this matter and as commentary on professional experts who testify.

Guinn knew the specimens he was given to test do not match their official descriptions. He even said he did not know what happened to those official specimens.

But he nonetheless ~~went~~^{went} ahead and tested what he had been given, knowing they were not actual the specimens described, and then reported on his tests of them as though they were the official specimens he said they were not.

so radically and so unnecessarily altered
are removed ~~alter~~ that evidence and its meaning and that misuses are possible with
and is not documented for, try to
what is removed ~~only~~ it can ~~explain~~ explain.

How it did not "educate" criminal lawyers to ask questions ~~about~~ such untoward
official
treatment of evidence ~~is~~ *is* Failure Analysis can also explain, as it does not. But
then it does not mention that Guinn himself certified, covering his own ass, that
the official specimens he tested do not ~~match~~ *match* their official descriptions in any way.

He nonetheless proceeded with his charade of an expert testing for expert testimony.

43/A here
P Guinn He also testified that the specimens he tested ~~were~~ *was* remarkable identical in their com-
position.

How if ~~by~~ any chance the FBI, which ~~is~~ *was* careful not to keep any records, including
of the weight of the core material it removed from the base of that bullet, had for
any ~~reason~~ reason, by accident or ~~design~~ *design*, substituted for the actual specimens with material
it removed from the base of that bullet, then, of course, it would test identical, as
Guinn emphasized it did.

Examination of the ~~base~~ bullet base in the pictures I published where the minimum
width of the quarter-inch bullet is so greatly magnified makes it apparent that there is
no other area of that base from which any fragments could have been shed in the course
of its officially conjectured ~~hetero~~ meteoric career. All the rest of it is of ~~an~~
unblemished smoothness.

With this for beginnings ought not some lawyers sure as hell be getting an education?

Albeit not the education intended by the bar of the scientists?

Could not a competent criminal lawyer get an acquittal on this alone?

Without any mention of it by the bar or by the scientists?

By Fosner's Appendix A's next two pages, unnumbered 474 and page 475, also used id-
entically by U.S. News on pages 78 and 79, is the Failure ~~Analysis~~ Analysis spread on the
rifle. The text is identical on each but the magazine ~~is~~ set and used its own type.

(The most likely explanation of Random House's inability to get the word "appendix"
and the page numbers on ~~some~~ *some* pages is that it ~~used~~ *used* Failure Analysis's work so
literally it photocopied it and that left no space on some pages for the word and numbers.

It is immediately ~~appa~~ apparent that Posner got ~~his~~ "his" ^{eight-} ~~2nd~~ second total time ~~of~~ for that shooting and "his" ~~so~~ "solution" that has the first shot miss, not the second shot, he took that from Failure Analysis, too. It is on his unnumbered pages 474, ~~also~~ photographed from Failure Analysis' work, leaving no space for either his ^{its} "appendix" identification or its ¹ pages number!

While this version of what Failure Analysis evolved gives no reason even to suspect that the first shot missed, as we have seen ~~to~~ 15-year-old David Lui did that for Posner who, in ~~ret~~ returned, absolved Luis for any responsibility by presenting it as his work, not the boy's.

And although Failure Analysis also attributed its ability to make this conjecture to "Enhancements of the Zapruder film," little Lui did that without any enhancement and from a poor copy of that same film.

~~(Who needs enhancements when they have little Luis?)~~

Under "Bolt ~~action~~" Failure ~~analysis~~ has four sketches of it to illustrate how bolt-action works on a rifle. It has this caption for its explanation: "The Bolt
"The bolt action can easily be executed in a ~~fraction~~ of a second."

From my own experience with that identical rifle and with what, no doubt to better "educate" the lawyers, it had ~~best~~ better get a new clock!

Before the bolt action can be operated at all the rifle had to be removed ~~far~~ from the ~~eye~~ eye to prevent the eye from being ^{put} ~~pushed~~ out by the bolt as it is withdrawn!

That rifle was not designed for the use of a scope!

~~if there were~~ Aside from the fact that that particular rifle had ^s a history of sticking, which prevents the bolt from being operated at all until that is overcome, the official record of the official test firing, set forth in my NEVER AGAIN! where its sole sources is the official testimony relating to the experience of the "masters"; the best shots in the country with that rifle, in that testimony Posner said he had to index to get access to it although this is included in the Megaher index he found to be so "political," is ^{to} the exact opposite of ^{us} what Failure Analysis says! Posner ~~and~~ ~~has~~, ~~too!~~

Each and every one of those "masters" found the bolt action difficult, ~~and~~ ~~hard~~

not "easy" to use, and, ~~they~~ together ~~to~~ with that rifle's mule kick, they all missed on their second shot with it. They had to adjust to the ~~diff~~ difficulty of that bolt action, ^{fringe.} and that is ~~anything~~, remember, with the country's very best experts, but an ^{what is said with that} illustration that ~~"~~ "The bolt action can easily be ~~be~~ executed in a fraction of a second."^{This is not what}

As Pixiali's pippin science continues on the next page Failure Analysis says that in the Marines Oswald was "proficient with an ~~the~~ M-1 rifle (with which the Mannlicher ^{yards-} can, as is not said here, ^{can} (hardly be compared) at distances up to 200 ~~yards~~ ^{The truth is} and here that when Oswald did that firing, ^{as} again the official evidence shows, he as so lousy a shot his notes doctored his score so he would qualify, as even then he barely did, ^{This off} is outside Failure Analysis' consideration. Quoting again, with nothing omitted in quotation" ^{following that class-} without be benefit of a telescopic sight."

Who says that with that rifle and that shooting a telescopic sight was a ~~benefit~~? For one capable of hitting the President in the head at that distance, not at all a great distance for a rifle, under any conditions a scope is a liability in that it ~~does~~, under the best of circumstances, take more time to ^{get} get on target than ^{using} open sights. ^{does} But with that rifle, having to take the rifle down to operate the ~~blast~~ bolt without damage to oneself ^{self} is an "benefit" in rapid fire? It is not!

Next Failure Analysis alleges that a sling that did not fit and could not be used as a ~~the~~ rifle sling is ordinarily used, a sling said to have been "adapted from the best of a Navy pistol holster," when the official evidence says no such thing at all, "provided additional steadiness."

Yet on the very same page Failure Analysis has a drawing of Oswald firing that rifle resting it on a stack of cartons without using that sling!

~~Can~~ Can that rifle be operated ^{d/} from that position with a sling and then not have to slip the sling to be able to operate the bolt and then slip in back into place? Does this not take ^{more} time when fractions of a second are precious?

And does the sling in fact provide ^{any} "steadiness" at all over and above what is provided by the stack of cartons on which the rifle rests? that works

Is it even a good idea under these conditions ~~ever~~ to use a slig-slig sling?

that works, rather than one like this that from the official evidence itself did not work?

Failure Analysis' very next words are "A brown paper bag, 3 inches longer than the disassembled rifle, was found in the sniper's nest."

The one thing ^{trust} this can do to "educate" lawyers is to teach them never, ever, to ~~trust~~ any scientist, any professional expert or witness at all.

This is so magical a ~~bag~~ ^{it} bag it is supposed to have held that rifle while Oswald held it dependent, holding ~~with~~ ^{it} as he walked some distance, without the ~~rifle~~ ^{rifle} or his grip making any creases or other marks on that bag and, with that rifle "well liled," the FBI lab's words, that magical bag had not a smidgeon, not the faintest trace of any oil on it!

Still ~~on~~ the same page, under ~~the~~ "The Sniper's Nest," Failure Analysis refers to "a slight crease where the rifle ...rested when firing." Naturally it needed to cite no evidence, which is fortunate, because there is no such evidence!

Not that the crease was caused by any rifle and not that that box was even positioned where it could have been used as a firing nest in that shooting!

The one thing that is certain is that the police began moving those boxes around before any pictures of them were taken. ~~Even~~ ^Y then this shifting of boxes continued! To the degree that the Commission actually published at least four different and contradictory official versions of how those boxes allegedly were ^{were} when the police got there when in fact, from pictures taken from the ~~lot~~ ^{lot} side, all four were wrong! There may have been more than four of these pictures that the Commission published. I do not remember. But I do know there were at least four because in Whitewash, my first book, I published four that the Commission published on facing pages, ^{and they are all different.} 204-5.

And, as Meyer told me, the Piaiali ^{new} ~~gene~~ had that book.

But then who needs evidence when one has computers, is that it?

One more item from the same page should suffice to "educate" lawyers and others, hopefully also those who employ this "state-of the -art technology"; about ~~what~~ ^h can be done and ~~blamed~~ ^h attributed to computers.

There are four references to Oswald fingerprints on this page, three as found on boxes and ~~one on a~~ once as on that magical bag:

"left index finger and right palm prints on ~~paper bag~~ bag" Is that how Oswald carried that rifle in side that bag, down the Irving, Texas streets and from the TSBD parking lot to and into that building, albeit ~~needed~~ with that bag not in his hands in any way when he entered that ~~building~~ building? With ~~with~~ that length package flat on his opened right palm? Steadying it perhaps in that no ~~mean~~ mean transportation accomplishment with only his "left index finger?"

And with that weight inside a bag, allegedly, if it had been carried in any other way would that bag magic have prevented the deposit of any other prints as it did prevent the deposit of oil from that rifle? *where he held it*

Random House has editors when they pass this stuff without any question?

Failure Analysis scientists jazzed this up and ~~others~~ *others* did not perceive that it is farcical, not scientific?

The three ~~remaining~~ *corn* locations of prints, placed on carefully-sketched boxes ~~located~~ - if not in contradiction to the official evidence - located without any evidence at ~~all~~ *fall* to suggest a sniper's nest and boxes arranged to provide a gun rest, ~~also~~ *also* involves ~~magical~~ magical boxes. The sketch depicts at least three of these cardboard cartons. ~~The~~ *q* largest and with them loaded with books the heaviest of these cartons that Oswald allegedly stacked for his sniper's nest and firing support has not a single print on it! !

The one ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ he allegedly lifted into ~~place~~ *Oswald's* place as his ~~gun rest~~ gun rest, filled with heavy books, remember, what on the top of its left rear as he allegedly faced it, ^{is} his "left palm print" in that very corner, exactly where not ~~specified~~ *He lifted that box into place with only one hand and it on top of the box when he specified. And not another print anywhere on it. lifted it? There is*

Then there is an additional ~~one~~ *one* for which he had no use at all, drawn in well behind where he is depicted crouching, rifle to the shoulder. It has only a ~~single~~ single "right palm print" on a corner only. He must have somehow levitated that one to put in ~~one~~ *the* ~~place~~ *the* where it served no purpose for him!

How this can ~~be~~ "educate" lawyers in any way is not apparent because those were the

very cartons of ~~box~~^{ed} books with which Oswald was assigned to work, the cartons from which he removed books to fill the orders he was paid to fill!

What would have been significant is that if he left no fingerprints at all on any of them after spending that ^{very} morning filling orders from them; As he had done for several several preceding weeks, too!

This is "science?" Obtained by a "state-of-the-art" re~~chno~~^{ology}, with all that computer high-tech methodology?

If it is, when ~~lives and~~ freedom and lives are to be controlled by it in the marvelous new wave of the future with which ~~later~~^{lowers} were being "educated" do we not need a law requiring that all garbage heaps be guarded ^{around} the clock ~~so~~ that "scientists" have no access to it?

To protect... as all from "garbage in, garbage out?"

Then there is the magic of those "cones" put in place by ~~reverse~~^{backward} projection, from two of the President's wounds, neither of which is located as precisely as is ~~required~~ required for this to be done well, accurately or even truthfully.

Is the rear wound in the neck ~~ed~~ or in the back? The official evidence places it both place, that single wound.

Because it is said to have ~~ex~~ exited the front of the neck, if ~~xxxxxx~~ it is assumed that it ^{was} not deflected then ~~we~~ there are two points that can be connected and ~~reg~~ regarded as the ^{line} center of Failure Analysis' magic "cones depicting where the bullet could have come from," cones that include that infamous window but ~~also~~ also many other points of ^{possible} ~~its~~ origin.

However, with the fatal bullet having exploded into many ^{pieces} and having blown an appreciable portion of the head out, how can any cone be responsibly projected backward when there is no second point to make a line than can be the center of the backward projection of any cone to indicate where that shot could have originated?

And then there is the radical contradiction ~~between~~ between the autopsy report, which places the entry of that shot low on the back of the head, and the report of the panel of the most eminent experts the Department of Justice could obtain for them to review

the identical film evidence, pictures and X-Rays. With this panel placing the point of entrance four inches higher up on the head, bear the top, and with the curve of the head making an ~~increased~~ increased difference ^{cey} if there is backward projection in the form of a cone from that entry point, when it is projected backward as far as it must be, is there not a vastly different cone covering a different area?

In Failure Analysis' cone science there is no cone for Posner's missed first bullet. Instead of a cone there is a forward projections of dotted lines, from that window to the tree that ~~is~~ is now in ~~our~~ our history ^{as} a magical tree. The magic comes from its separating the jacket of the bullet allged to have hit it ~~from~~ the core, with the core continued ^{IN} after being directed in two different ^{new} directions, horizontally and vertically. Great magic indeed because, as Cyril Wecht pointed out when a sister bullet smashed ~~a~~ four inches of one of ^{governor} Governor Connally's ribs and then demolished ~~the~~ his wrist, which has heavy bones it it, it remained unscathed, with its jacket undisturbed.

The Failure Analysis projection, on Posner's ~~againxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ Page 477, projects that bullet into the east side of that tree, the side away from when its core ~~allegedly~~ impacted as the extreme western limit of Dealey Plaza.

The magic required to be ~~aided~~ th Posner's version of its magic is ^{required} ~~equipped~~ to ^{many} navigate the core through the ~~the~~ mass of the entire tree, with none of the branches or twigs or even the trunk able to discourage it in its determined flight, come what may, to get down to that corner of the Plaza and enter ⁱⁿ ~~in~~ ^{Tague} into our history by ^{spraying} ~~opening~~ up concrete from the curbstone ~~it hit~~ to wound him ^{slightly} ~~slightly~~ but to make him bleed.

Then there is the Failure Analysis treatment of ~~that box~~ "The Single Bullet," Posner's both unnumbered pages 478 and 479. As ~~drawn~~ drawn there is considerable distortion. From the appearance on the page this bullet seems to go crosswise inside the President and then into Connally, ~~who~~ is drawn considerable lower than JFK, as he ^{was} ~~is~~ not. Why Failure Analysis did not draw this ~~sort~~ of its "enhancements" ~~of~~ looking at right angles to the ~~of~~ victims, the only ~~mean~~ ^{can} meaningful way, ~~it~~ is not indicated. But it does depict the

point of that bullet's entry well to the President left ~~is~~ from where in all official versions: it did enter his body and it ^{does} show the exit lower, both consistent with the bullets imputed carrier inside the governm nor, but in its ^{exit}, confirmed by the Failure Analysis video, that bullet has to have made holes in the front of the President's shirt and ^{the} collar that are not in them.

"Magical shirt and collar, too?"

It is on this Failure Analysis page that Posner gets his uncredited stuff, and it is stuff, on that ~~Thorburn~~ "position" "Thorburn position" magic by which he has the ~~President's~~ ~~arm~~ arms locked in front of him.

Here, too, is where Posner picked up that alleged "cavity" caused by the bullet that those eminences of the Justice Department panel did not see and ~~was~~ where the splintered bone from a grazed ~~the~~ vertebra ~~is~~ the Failure Analysis interpretation of what the X-rays show that this panel of the most eminent said ^{they are} unequivocally ~~is~~ of metal fragments. The best experts the government could get are not as good as Failure Analysis' computer whizzes? They cannot read X-rays as well? ~~With~~ the most eminent of radiologists radiologists and the most eminent forensic pathologist reading them?

The magic does not end. It ^{continues} ~~ceases~~ on the next, unnumbered page, 479, where this bullet that ^{is} following the curves of Connally's rib on its inside is ~~t~~ said to have been ~~"slightly~~ "slightly deflected" by that ~~the~~ rib.

Slightly?

Downward ~~and~~ and to the left through the wrist so that ^{can} it, then, as Failure Analysis does not say, be deflected ~~again~~ to ~~go~~ go for three inches pretty much straight ~~and~~ ~~she~~ just under the skin of Connally's left thigh, from his right wrist and downward into his left thigh and then forward/.

With this bullet now travelling backward as it smacked that wrist, Failure Analysis ends its flight without getting it into the thigh/. Perhaps that was the safest place to end this particular ^{my} element of that unprecedented magic.

The backward-flying bullet's history is resumed ^{three} ~~four~~ pages later with the earlier pictures of the side and bottom of the official bullet on the same page with a ~~XXXX~~

A Failure Analysis test bullet, fired at a reduced charge to duplicate the reduced energy of the official magic bullet. Failure Analysis says that its reduced-~~charge~~ charge test bullet emerged "in even better condition than 399," the exhibit number of the bullet of the original magic ~~only~~.

The Failure Analysis ^{bullet} did indeed emerge in better condition. It not only did not deposit the fragment in Connally's chest that the doctor in charge of his care testified under oath is there, and it ~~did~~ did not have to discharge a sliver to go into Connally's thigh for those three inches, the sliver that remains ^{there} with the hole in the thigh much too small, from the word of the doctor called into examine that wound, ^{Malcolm} ~~Malcolm~~ Perry, to have permitted any bullet to enter ^{or leave} ~~leave~~ ~~along~~ ~~lurk~~ there awaiting the proper moment for its emergence at the hospital, the official account of that bullet.

Failure Analysis' caption of this part is "The ~~#~~ "THE SINGLE BULLET TESTED" "Tested is not exaggeration. It ^{was} ~~was~~ most severely tested!

So tested, it flunked by the official ~~and~~ evidence itself.

It would have been interesting, though, if all those scientists with all those PhDs at Failure Analysis had in some way addressed how reducing the charge of test bullets and eliminating the earlier history required by the official accounts, accounts in which that magical bullet ~~at~~ at least had some contact with a JFK vertebra and then ~~smashed~~ smashed Connally's ~~rib~~ rib for four inches had this history without any effect on that bullet at all, whether or not a visible effect, before its imagined course ~~rough~~ through Connally's wrist, even without its ~~subsequent~~ subsequent official history inside his thigh by three inches.

All those impacts had no effect on it in any ~~way~~ way, not even on its molecules?

Failure Analysis's "science" ^{eliminate} the need to compare its test bullet with those tested for the Commission at the ^{Aberdeen} ~~Aberdeen~~ proving ground. Those bullets, without any one tested for the full official account of its career, ever single, solitary one of them was quite deformed.

~~And~~ ^{Now} we know what wonders can be worked with ~~reduced~~ "reduced charges" as well as by "backward projection" and all the other magic wrought by "state-of-the-art technology."

Is there anything at all that cannot be proved by this modern technology-
properly applied, as Failure Associates applied it from its own account.

Could we have water running uphill?

Freezing at the equator equator?

^{water}
Liquid at both poles?

There is indeed much "education" in all of this!

For the lawyers it tells us how the jails can overflow with the innocent.

For the rest of us it tell us that there is nothing at all that cannot be "proven"
with what is fed into these great computers computers.

This also tells us a little bit more about ^{Posner's} ~~these so~~ numerous ~~unattested~~ attributed
sources of Posner some of which I noted earlier, but only some of them.

Here is where he got his "his" new timing of the shots.

Here is where he got ^{"his"} ~~his~~ Thorburn position.

Here is where he got his better-than-new magic bullet after that spectacular
career of ~~de~~ destruction, the one he insists had no magic at all after that career, a
career ~~of~~ other parts of which Failure Analysis does not mention. Like smashing all that
bone without even a scratch ^{only} so fine it cannot be detected ~~even~~ under microscopic
examination.

If that is not magic, from the FBI's own testimony, then there is no magic in this
world at all!

We also should not here forget Posner's publisher's definition of "plagiarism,"
to use the work of another as one's own.

Except for the limitless catalogue ^{of} Orwellian horrors that can lie ahead for us
all from this "demonstration" of what can ^{come} ~~lie ahead~~ from "utilizing the most state-of-
the-art techniques in engineering ~~and~~ analysis and demonstrative evidence preparation",
~~and this we should never forget,~~ perhaps it is best at this point to forget all ~~exist~~
that can ~~limn~~,
~~also about~~ these multiple blights on our history. ^{the} record, I believe, at this point,
being adequate on them ^{and} on the book they made possible and on the enormous misuses of ~~it~~

441
by all the parties involved in it for their personal benefit regardless of the costs to others and to our nation, its integrity and its history.

Except that, as I wrote before, NEVER AGAIN! should any of this ~~new~~ series of horrors ever befall us again.

~~Never~~ Never again such a crime, such a misbegotten avoidance of any real investi-

Never again such a misbegotten substitute for any real investigation of it!

Never ^{again} such wretched commercialization and exploitation of it such as this combination of Posner, Random House, the CIA ~~reports~~ perpetrated!

Never again should the CIA violate its charter and involve itself in domestic matters and in domestic propaganda.

Never again, let us hope, will our major media fail itself and all of us as it has all over again ^{unritual} with its unceremonious acceptance of and its uninhibited extolling of anything like this combination to rewrite our ~~history~~ history represents.

Never, never, never again if we are to hope to begin to regain our national honor from all of this that should never have ~~been~~ happened to begin with, never have been perpetuated as it has been and ~~it~~ is prolonged by selfishness, special interest and just plain greed.

extra space

Of course this is only a hope, a ~~real~~ hope that as I write this I know very well is an unreal hope with the publishers of various kinds and the TV nets vying with each other to ~~so~~ commemorate the ~~one~~ ^{second} thirtieth assassination anniversary with an assortment of the most sordid ~~g~~ disgraces of it.

^{how it} This ~~has~~ been ~~it~~ is about to be and it will be yet again.

This is a ~~separate~~ separate national tragedy.

But the time must come when it will not forever be this way with commercialism compounded by ignorance and multiplied by indifference for exploitation after exploitation, with truth and honesty never a consideration

If this is to ^{change} ~~change~~, and for us to again be what we once were, it must change, that

^{happen} will be neither voluntarily or spontaneously ^{while others,} ~~by these who,~~ each in his own way, profits from it.

Change, and end of this endless national disgrace in ~~the~~ the wake of the great ~~part~~ national tragedy, can come only if there is a demand for ~~it~~ that change.

When there finally is a national revulsion over all of this materialism ^{at} the cost of all else, this greed for money or fame or for other purposes.

When there finally is this national ^{revulsion,} when ~~the~~ greed and ambition cannot again continue to ~~be~~ be satisfied by those many abuses of all that is decent and honorable and true, that is when change can begin.

When the people let it be known that they ^{want} want no more of it and will not continue to make it profitable and the vehicle for dishonorable fame, then can it begin to change and we can again to begin ~~to~~ to be what ~~we~~ we were and we should be again.

Then will be the time that ^{we} we can really hope that never ^{again} will there be such ~~tragedies~~ tragedies as that of the assassination and that of the ^a filings of all out basic institutions ~~to~~ ^{strengthen} and since then.

Let us hope that this change and what ^{it} it requires are not in the distant future, that it does become the reality soon, and that the people ~~to~~ bring it ~~to~~ about by their expression of ~~revulsive~~ ^{or} revulsion and they, ~~etc,~~ ^{etc,} insist NEVER AGAIN!