Puly: no frown Jewel - The Ruby Diversion

Posner ('s next two chaptere, "I'm a Character! I'm Colorful" and "I Am Jack Ruby. You all know me," g( Pages 343-403) are, obviously, on Jack Ruby. Why he has them at all is not obvious.

The subtitle of his book is, Lee Harvey and the Assassination of JFK.

In Loomis's description of the book to Dahlin in Publisher's Weekly he said, "At twent the heart of it is a biography of Lee Harvey Oswald..."

Posner himself insistes that the life of ee Harvey Ofwald is the most important thing in his book. He emphasizes this in his PrefacePages ix-xi) There he goldes into "Oswald's curious past" his allegedly "murky background," and he says that "Forgotten in most recent studies of the assassination is Oswald" where "he is referred to only briefly and often is presented as a sterile figure. ... stripped of character, the reader is seldom given any insight into understanding him. His intricate personality and temperament are obscured ... "

He has emphasized this in his appearances, too. Here are a fer examples from CNN, only. On August 30, 123 1992, interviewed by Leon Tarris, there was this exchange:

HARRIS: Well, I did take some time to go through your book over the weekend and I have to admit that I was- I did notice first off that it seemed as though you base most of your view upon the- your investigation of Oswald himself as a person. You spent a lot of time developing his character.

Mr. POSNER: Leon, I think you asked the key question. To me, although many people focus on a single bullet and what happened in terms of the shots, I think the answer to this assassination is found in Lee Harvey Oswald's life. There's no question.

HARRIS: Why is that?

Mr. POSNER: Because what we've never had in this country - the American publi has never had a reason to understand why Oswald ended up on the sixth floor of the depository shooting at Kennedy. What I try to give you is such a psychological and detailed profile of Oswald's life that you as the reader finally understand why he killed Kennedy and I think you do see it in this book - a man so disturbed over a period of time - psychologically imbalanced, CNN-Transcripts, August 30, 1993

very violent, someone who tried to kill an American Army general - that by the time November 22nd comes around and he goes up and takes his rifle to that corner window to shoot at Kennedy, you really understand why he's there.

He appeared on CHN's "Crossfire " pofgram on four days later, with Mike Kinsley \*

foremr former New Hampshire Governor and later Bush's White House chief-of-stff, John

Sumunu, and Dr. Cyril Wecht, the eminent forensic sathilogist, There was this exchange:

Dr. WECHT: And he was able to effectuate the assassination of the President, despite the fact that he was in Russia he was a total dunce, and by the way, according to KGB agent Nosenko, one of Mr. Posner's reliable persons, as of last week on another television program, 'Lee Harvey Oswald could not hit a rabbit with a shotgun.' He became also an expert marksman, Mr. Posner, in tha couple of years' time, right?

Posner did not even try to respond, according to the transcript until a few other exchanges between the other participants, and then there was this:

Mr. POSNER: -I must tell you that I personally believe that the most importan part of the book is not the chapter on the single bullet, but is the first half of the book which deals with Oswald's life, because-

KINSLEY: Yeah, but just listening to you, it's not as convincing.

Mr. POSNER: No, no, but it is more convincing in this sense, it incrementally builds over 300 pages, a portrait of Oswald and a psychological portrait-

KINSLEY: All right, if you're going to sell your book, I think we ought to give Dr. Wecht a chance.

Dr. WECHT: And it builds and it builds Lee Harvey Oswald's anarchism and pro-socialism, communism, whatever it is, and this man sets it all up, and gues what, he kills the President after planning this all this time, and then he stands up and says, 'I didn't do it, not me, somebody else did it.' Come on now what, are you kidding? You don't have to be-

Mr. POSNER: Dr. Wecht-

Dr. WECHT: You don't have to be a Freudian psychoanalyst to understand the CNN Transcripts, September 3, 1993

absurdity of your theory.

Mr. POSNER: All you have to do-

Dr. WECHT: My God, a guy like that stands up and says, 'Mea culpa, I did it, I did it. I'm a hero. I saved the world. I killed this terrible man.'

Mr. POSNER: Dr. Wecht, all I suggest you do is go back and talk to Marina Oswald and-

Dr. WECHT: I've talked to Marina personally several times, and I know what Marina thinks of your book and about you, OK. So I have talked with Marina.

Mr. POSNER: Please go back and talk to Marina Oswald and his friends Ruth and Michael Paine [sp?] who knew Lee Oswald better than anybody else, and what will they say? He looked like the cat who had swallowed the canary. He was bursting with pride and he knew now that he was going to have the fun of being able to make everybody sweat about who killed JFK.

And, as Wecht want said to him, instead of boasting, claiming his glory, denied it? Posner's reasoning is gibberish.

This is the stuff of cheap novels, It is not reals, psychologically or in any other way.

But as Podner states over and over again, with seemingly unbounded faith in his gimmick, it is his gersion of what he says we was Oswald's life, his special twist to all of it, that is what has to be understood and is the "key" to his book and to me understanding the crime, that he tells the life and the story of a born assassin just weiting to assassinate.

334 Job

Newspaper clipping of his interviews around the country are consistent with this.

\*\*\*\* As I caught him saying on Washington TV, his biography of Oswald is the most important part of his bookin his opinion. In one way or another he said the same thing on those two CNN appearances.

Oswald "life" is the "key."

He gives "such a psychological and detailed profile of Oswald's ife that you as the reader can finally understand why he killed Kænnedy...psychologically imbalances . (sic)."

"I must tell you that I personally believe that the most important part of the book is not the chapter on the single bullet, the book but is the first half of the book, which deals with Oswald's life because (interrupted by Kinsley, who found what he said "not as convincing" as the book) Hypno, but it is more convincing in this sense, it incriment tally builds over 300 pages, a partrait of Oswald and a psychological portrait...."

"Go back and talk to "arina Oswald (who contradicted him on national TV) and his friends Rith and Michale Paine who know Lee Oswald better than anybody else, and what will warrant they say? He looked like the cat who had swallowed the canary. He was bursting with pride, and he knew that he was going to have the fun of being able to make everybody sweat about who killed Jrk.

What else where he kept on fifteen dollars for his escape? 3334

The Paines, if they said any such thing, saw him no more than the rest of us after he was arrested, and if that was a cat and canary look, bitds thenceforth should have had much less trouble from cats.

So, Posner owes it all to Hartogs. Net that there is a single new word other ham than what Posner imagines and has probably talked himself into believing about Oswald. There is only his/resurrection of Hartogs, in exaggerated form and interpretation.

My what that Hartogs might not have been able to flo if he had staid off of his women patients!  $333\,B$ 

But as Pesner states over and over again, hisbiography of Oswald that he says of the

assina just weiint to assassinate.

With Ruby having nothing to do with that life, that he also includes he version of
Ruby's life is not really appropriate to the book in which it is so large a part. Why he
gas into his person of Euly but can only
can ply be conjectured but some of the obvious conjectures are to tittilate, to pretend overall and unique expertise he know alout any aspectof in subject
of which he is former about any aspectof in subject
of which he is fall in to see light or art.

Whatever Posner's reasons, with his publisher's pre-publication description of the normalization agreeing with that and adding emphasis to it, Ruby is relevant to Oswald'd death, not to his life, and with this book on Oswald's life are preferred by Pesner's devoting so large a part to Ruby, whatever Posner's purposes, is a waste of that space and any time taken for it. and not a world of the sail of Duby's life, all it is space and any time taken for it.

Fobdy in his right mind can believe that anybody could rust Ruby with anything at all that required either dependability or hispilence. Ruby was really sick in the head besides being pushy, and craving attention and being regarded as having some importance.

The subject

When I began my work, knowing it would be impossible to be able to cover all of professibly and carefully, I decided not to work on Ruby for the above reasons and because there would be a trial at which some evidence would emerge and be subjected to Wigmore's wonderful engine for establishing truth, cross-examination. The report of defense psychiatrists provided by William Neichter, "ouisville lawyer and my good friend, from the University of Kentucky, Louisville archive on Senator William Cooper, a Member of the Warren Commission, which turned out to be correct, says told the court unwilling to listen, that Ruby. Bill Cooper, not a close relative of the Senator, provided Neichter with District attorney for Henry Qade's letter to Rankin formerding the reports of the defense psychoatrist, and what was Jolyon (right), head of the psychiatry department of the University of Oklahoma Wedical Center, and that of Dr. R.L.

Stunnlefield to the trial judge, Joe. B. Brown. Beth were dated in May, 1964 and both f flound Rubt mentally ill. West's covering letter to Wade says, "I hope it will be possible flound Rubt mentally ill. West's covering letter to Wade says, "I hope it will be possible

(With some help from Neichter Bill Cooper, who is in charge of that archive, is seeking all the information he can get for it. Senator Cooper, those who were on his Senate staff say, worked hard but privately on his Commission work and none of them has any real knowledge of it or, if he had any, where he put his files on it. He wanted to be certain there we re no leaks.)

Dr. Louis Jolyon (right) West, head of the psychiatry department of the University of Oklahoma Medical Center, was the defense psychiatrist. Judge Joe B. Brown asked Dallas psychiatrist Dr. R.L. & Stubblefield to examine Ruby for him. Both reports were filed in May, 1964. Bill Cooper gave Neichter a copy of Dallas Dostrict Attorney Wade's letter to Rankin forwarding the reports of both psychiatrists. Both reports said that Ruby was mentally ill. West's covering letter to Wade says, "I hope it will be possible to put him in a mental hospital soon."

In a report dated April 26, West told the court inwilling to listen, under "Prognosis," that for "the present acute psychotic reaction" that "proper treatment" shou, dd be "promptly instituted." It never was.

335 fols

The Gertzes had gone to the station from the funeral

for him to be put in a mental hospital soon."

West's report of April 26, under "Prognosts," states, "Fir the present acute promptly psychotic reaction, fair, if proper treatment is/instituted," which it never as. His "Recommendation" was Immediate psychiatric hospitalization, study and treatment. Close observation. Suicidal precautions. "Under discussion we west states that "uby is not "malingering or feigning mental illness, does not want to go to mental hospital, is paranoid a "p dedusional person" at "cross purposes with his attorneys, has had "acute psychiotec reactions" and is "technically insane" and thus could not cooperate intelligently in his own defense.

The other side, wanting for Ruby not to be hosit hospitalized, while stating it less severely, did conclude that Ruby was mentally ill.

That it was even more priors than & West had concluded with what could have ebeen determined, if at all in 1964, only with hospitalization and close examination, was without any question at all when he died in February, 1967, and It was determined that a cancer of the brain was the cause of his death.

I spent the afternoon or Ruby's funeral in his native Chicago with one of his lawyers, Elmer ertz, and his wife. It as a nast day, windy, snowy and w blizzard in Camel Elmer and I were to be among the guests on WBIM-TV's John Madigan Show. It was filmed in their precitape days Friday, for Sunday airing. The taping was at delayed for deveral hours by technical problems more common then than now. I was escorted to the station's cafeteria where Elmer and his wife were already. We sat there and chatted until called for the filming.

Elmer was without doubt about the cause of Ruhy's death, from that brain cancer, being correct. His rather emotional opinion that Ruby would have been hospitalized earlier if the sheriff himself was not himself hospitalized does not mean that Ruby at that late date could have been cured. To the best of my knowledge there has not been any defendable medical opinion of when that cancer started and whether, if detected in early 1964, it could have prevented his death, delayed it, or had any influence on his mental illness. My own opin is shott that Ruby was crazy long before he killed Oswald.

Several years after Ruby's death I learned more than was public about the kind of person he was.

NOTE TO RAPHAEIA: If I have not written you that I have the approval of my friend Henry Wade to use the formulation of him as the source, please type the alternative that follows.

My friend Henry Wade, a former FBI special agent and popular and respected as the district attorney, was informed about the kind of person Ruby was by Dallasites who had pertsonal knowledge. His files held numerous reports of strange and at the least unacceptable but dependable reports about Ruby. These ranged from his publick handling clearly it was of the breaks of girls just entering puberty, in one instance I remember/at a fair and in daylight. When chided for that kind of behavior, Ruby's response was that he was

just breaking the grils in to go to work for him when they were mare mature.

The sickest of all was the belief of the Spc Society for the Prevention of Cruelity to Animals. It was the kind of thing not likely to be determined on psychiatrics
examination.

his dogs, Ruby referred to his favorite, the dachshund Sheba, as "my wife."

The SPCA had reason to believe he was treating his dog that way.

ALTERNATIVE, replace first four lines above with:

written records relating to the kind of person Ruby was. He was not in any sense normal and it was well known locally have in part because his bad behavior was sometimes in public. The dependable reports I saw ranged from his public handling ...and then as it is.

While Ruby's behavior, with girls and with his dogs, is not an indication of the serious mental illness diagnosed by West, it does reflect the kind of many nobody who knew him well would be inclined to trust with any mission or knowledge that required dependability, rationality and silence.

If with the announced purposes of his book Posner found to necessary to say anyto be part of a conspiracy
thing at all about Ruby, that nobedy would have trusted him/would have been enough.

Any consideration of what is appropriate to Oswald's life that Posner suppressed what he suppressed from what he claims is the only definite, undersateding account of it, or of his he reporting on the assassination itself that he could have used some of these pages he wasted on Ruby zhizzitzzez makes this clear. While thinking this way requeires the belief that Posner is an honest man intending an honest book neither at this point possible to believe, just a few examples from earlier in this book reflect the real Posner and his real intentions with and the real purposes in his book and m his Ruby diwisor

He knew from my Oswald in New Orleans that I had reason to believe that the lone official candidate for Presidential assassination had in fact held the very high security clearances of TOP SECRET and CRYPTO and that this is suppressed from all known official records. After I wrote that wook I obtained from the Navy but I not from any Oswald or assassination records that the proof that Oswald did have these clearances.

This is not relevant in any biographical account, which Posner says is the most important thing in his book? Whether or not Posner used my work to locate those FBI reports of its so-called investigation at the Jones Printing Company in New Orleans, the results of my work was in the file cabinets in which he worked for three days and is clearly labelled on those file folders. He also could have asked me, as he could of so very much more and not once fid, if I had any information in that part of Oswald's life. He could have had it without asking me and would have had it if if he had asked me. That the Oswald he says was all alone was not all alone is not relevant in his supposedly unaque biography of Oswald?

lawsuits of which he knew and wrotes so uninformedly, just be want we have seen above about that missed shot and that curbstone alone is not more than enough to emonstrate what he knew was available and is so very pertinent in the basis of his account of the law have his so. That is not necessary in his book? That, too, is not more important in it than devoting more than ten percent of his book to the irrelevant Rby biography; also his version of that?

Of course it is obvious that if he had not suppressed this evidence of which he did

know he could not have written and waxed rich and famous from his book. But the point here is why he wasted so much on the irrelevant and made the book impressivly larger with what is not necessary to the book and is inappropriate in it.

It is part of his fraudulent pretense to having done a definitive job in his accounts of Oswald's life and of the crime when in fact he was a skilled and lying propagandist depending on the ignorance of those who whuld judge his book and of the reader to make a financial a success of it. He wasted all this space and effort on Ruby to help perpetrate the false pretense of the scholarship he did not employ and of the all-inclusive content of the book, the content it does not have.

Wasting all this space on Ruby is part of Posner's trickery. It has no legitimate purpose in his book.

That with all he could have said and did not say about the rime some of which we have already seen and not by any means all that is relevant and important that Posner devoted as much space and attention as he did to Rby at the least raises questions about what he really intended with his book and why he wasted or much of what in a serious book of seruous, impartial intent is at best the cheapest a kind of literary scrimshaw.

It is not necessary and it is in propriate in a serious book that is supposedly on Oswald's life and about the assassination of President Kennedy.

That Posner did give all this attention and all the time of preparation that

Assumed it represents is still another indication that his was, despite all his and Random of the Controlly

House's pretenses, a formula book designed for the expected market of the other side; of those who support of the official assassination mythology, and as an answer, more or less, to the Oliver Stone movie. JFK 3374+B Mel

In recent years there was only one elementalia commercializing that expected market, former commission counsel David Belin, and his claim to have been right because he said he as right, without regard to all the evidence he ignored on the Commission and since available, has no popular appeal at all except to the major media and the small minority of Americans who still believed the official mythology.

Posner, beginning without Belin's liabilities from his approach and not having the publisher support Posner had, did have even more support by the major media. This is represented by the Random House's arranging for his large number of TV and other appare ances and by the unprecedebted sale of ancillary rights here, as with the first attention in <u>U.S. News</u>, and around the world, where even in Sustralia's remoteness it received those three pages reported earlier in Brisbane.

These, of course, reaches many and influences many, many more people than the most successful book can by the about.

In terms of ærving government interest, particularly, possibly, that of the CIA, this ancillary attention is far more important than the number of books sold.

Whatever the trath may be, and with his ecord it is not expectable rom Posner, all this space and attention to the irrelevant Ruby trivia represents an uschaolarly adment and approach and is a chear appeal for added sales and for undeserved recognition as schoolarship.

As we see when we leave Posner's Ruby diversion, there is no end to his abandonment of scholarly approaches and treatments he did not stoop to exploit.