Pormen's Rependebility and That of It's Donnes.

44

As this charter begins it may appear to be perhaps trivial, perhaps petty, but in at least three areas an as it developes it is neither trivila not petty. Besides, who at 80 and engaged upon what he regards as serious work can think of wasting time on **the** trivialities or on pettiness.

One jurpose is to tell more of the story of the JFK assassination and its investigations, to tell preaders what they cannot or do not know about both. That is significant. see still again that Another is to let the reader desidentiation Posner's pretense that he has closed no that that not have the case has any validity and indeed, whether he could have, even in his own mind, any to believe 1 legitimate reasfon/that he had or had even tried to do that.

As always, there is also be persistent digs and at and cuts of others, his possible reasons for them and what they reflect of him as a person and of his writing and its dependability. His book was launched with virtually unprecedented attention before a massive audience hof Americans and others, with the assurance that it asked and This is not draw with bubs and answer. That where the throughout Naises questions, answered all questions with dependability and finality. With regard to that remarkable launching that did reach so many Americans and

whether it and/or the book itself persuaded people that it was the definitive book, it seems constituous in its unusualliess that in the two weeks after its debut so impressive in the number of people required and influenced I got not a single telephone call asking me anything at all about it or a single letter significant that purpose. Notethin from "cmitics."

At the same time, for all the newspaper, vadio and TV accounts written, not a single reporter asked my opinion of either book or its advertising and promotion.

One Washington TV station was going to interview me - its reported did not speak what I think of the trick of This arrive O to me or ask have others ask it of me - that was abandonned for a reason that says much

about what news has become and how that can be explorted by jublishers,

It was about lunchtime then I was ploned, to be aired on the evening news that is broadcast six hours later. The station was going to send a microwave truck so the telecast could be live. Just as the crew was preparing to leave, when a new member joined it, he, knowing this area at the bootom of the first range of the Applachtans going west, expressed the belief that because of the hills and the trees a microwave signal would not reach Washington well if at all.Microwaves are line-of-sight and there would be barriers. I said I was willing to drive further up the mountain with the crew to where they could easily find a variety of attractive backgrounds and I squareted that it could be taped. There was plenty of time and with the editing tape makes possible, more information could be given to the people.

Type? Horrors! With tape the station cannot proclaim it is live, that the station is on the spot at the moment of breaking news.

Which a microwave telecat would not have been in any went.

But it would give the appearance of being on the spot when news broke.

For decades TV news thrived without giving that appearance, as before that newsreels also did.

But microwave, at least in the collective mind at TV stations, is now a sina qua non-it simply must be if only for appearances. The new need is for appearance, not(content. Microwave thus becomes a must.

What would not have been possible with microwave, something that had not been reported or even hinted at in another major attention-getting story, would have been

informative. Doing that (would have wasted too wold time for precious TV moments. Coinciding with the rushed Ra ndom House release of Posner's book was the disclosgenerative of a vast volume of JTK assassination recors that had been withheld improperly, in for other the law. Estimates of their volume ranged from 80,00 pages to over a million pages. That as another government trick, a staged (metha event. Wh at was lost in

all the reporting is that disclosures of that nature by their nature defy any meaningful access. People dannot visualize that that quantity of paper, or its cost, or the cost and space of storing it, or the impossibility of searching it without the index that does not exist. So, I suggested that pictures of my archive, of only about a t ind of what was in such haste just durped upon the z unquestioning modia, would illustrate the volume, *Calmetb. How meny annuals on musit this much mening n buying* the costs and the brustrating of any meaningful access. Have many people over scens of sixty *Thase reachs*. How many can find space for Chundled and fifty file rike cabinets at one time in one place? Can they visualise the impossibility for almost

catinets? 45 Atole

£x 1/5

If some media components wanted to consider such an investment for a story or thuy stories, would it invest the enormous cost in time for reporters to work their way through that incredible bolume of records? Could they think of completing that without spending many, many years on it, years for which those reporters were pid?

Obviously, meaningful scholarship is impossible in so great a volume of information. This is probably one of the reasons it was all disclosed at one time, to prevent any meaningful access to the contents.

Aft er almost three decades of suppression the media did not ask why, if all those records could then be released, they had not been released in stages over all those years.

Similarly, the almost simultaneous launching of the Posner book was met by no meaningul questions, and the media went along with the Random House staging that made it impossible for such questions to be asked, if the media had wanted to.

It did not want to and none were asked in the welk wake of that spectular launching. Although many in the fledia know of me and my work, I got not a single question by any reporter asking writing a story. If on the books release I had been asked any questions, I could not have read the book by the time it any question would have been asked because it was not possible for me to have gotten and read the book by that time.

Random House, too, knew how to stage a major media a event and it did., quite

successfully.

46 pb estrappice cytra place

all americans just flying to find a place for that ocean of paper in which all the media was drowned inwediately and for far into the future any meaningful scholarship necessarily would be? 464 MML

Fortunately, our home has a full basement. The moving lens could give the people who saw the pictures, as could not have happened anywhere else in the country, a notion of the magnitude of the problems created all over again by the covernment in the wrengful withkolding of those peoples that could and should have been disclosed in stages years ago unde in response to requests made under the law in its launching of the tidal-wave of peper in which to down all intending a scholarly March.

The technicians liked the idea. But it was not microwave, not instant when there was no need to be instant. So, it was not done.

Appearance, in the jocular of phrase of my youth, is everything in life. It is that again when I am 80, when it frustrates reporting and when media events are laughhed, as with book when they also are lunched. What,

As Posner's cas launched. It was griven the appearance of being what it is not.

What it was known it could not be.

mpression When the Posners came to our home in February, 1992, they/gave the im attearance of bing what they conferrald represented them to be, serious, impartial, energetic and researchers engaged on a war project that was worthwhile to me. From their appearance, Their refresentation of Mair) and it. for from the appendice I liked them would have be on in consequence because as a matter of principle and policy I have always made all those records I obtained from all those lawsuits available to all writing in the field. This means almost without exception those with whom I do not agree, those I know will write what I do not agree with. So, that we liked the Posners was not a factor in my turning them loose, without supervision, without the start myself checking the counter on our copying machine to learn how many copies Trisha made. 46 A pere If gerald had not deceived me about the book he was writing he would have had the same access, as all others have. It is not pleasant when it occurs but one cannot

46

Freedom of Information Those not familiar with the law and its purposes may consider my attitude strang. After all, I struggle against all that power for years in court, bear all the Cesto expenses, so why should I give it without any canree to others, especially to my competitors?

I regard the FOIA concept as the most American of concepts. It says that the people have the right to know what their government does. I believe that those of us who use FOIA become surrogates for the people. It is all the people who ar have the enititlement. I therefore believe that the records I get are not my personal property and that I have the obligation to let others see, use and copy them. It is that simple. To me, at least.

in more than a third the age of the country without contact with the real world or without having been eccived.

I became aware of the deception in June, 1993, when I was sent a copy of the artfile from Publishers leekly I quote in this book, nowing that the book would not be what Berald told me it would be did not disturb me enough to rush out and get the special edition of the U.S. Nerves that devoted twetty-six magazine-size pages to it. Nor did her hurry aufford of get a copy quickly. Gerald had promise to have his publisher I go to any bookstore to get a copy quickly. Gerald had promise to have his publisher sent me one of the first copies and I would would for that. It was my doar friend, the Visconsin historian, Dr. David Wrone, who when he saw the book and what it is also bought one for me and gave it to me that I furnet saw the book. If I had waited for Posner to keep his would word I would still be waiting as I write this.

Egalso promised to have his published send me copiess of the promotional material for the historical record of the thirtieth anniversary of the assassination. I have not received a single piece of that lind of paper from Aandom Afuse.

- And so it goes, in the old phrase Linda Ellerbee made so popular on TV.

Gerald told me he was writing a book on the many exponents of conspiracy theories they present as solutions to the crime. He said he regarded them as commercializers and exploiters of that tragedy. I have always believed that. Being in accord, I wanted to help him as much as I could, particularly when he told me he was just beginning his work. The timing reflected in his book incleates he was then just beginning.

The timing reflected in his book interests he was then just beginning, the in the law arrangel, I explained the files in the particular, indeated what those files hold Wo cussed Those in which he had about the people in whom he expressed most interest, and turned him and trishe loose to their their

work on their own unsupervised in the searching or in the copying.

He comments (on Page 504) and how well and how "graciously" he was received

and on what he calls my "refreshing" attitude "toward sharing information with others." His reader has no way of knowing that "sharing" meant what copies he anted/free. In effect his reader is told the opposite, that he got no copies here, because not once do his notes indicate that. Rather they ceflect that he obtained the records he does not know how to

cite meaningfully by his yor onterprise and effort.

As Hite Ellerbee like to say, and so it goes.

In a sense, this ship portrayal of himself, personally and professionally, and the kind of person he is provides a m cans of underson assessing the dependability of what he says.

Obvious as it is that contrary to his representation, he could not possibly have done all that he represents he did do personally, in almost all instances there is not even a hint of his sources for the work he claims as his, fWM.

The work of Failure W Analysis Associates id of great importance to him. It can fairly be said that without Failure Analysis's work he would not have had this book. But when he firstmentions them in a lengthy note on pages 317-8 he avoid any mention of why or for whom they did the work he has as the basis for his book. The He writing is calculated not to tell the reader and some eaders may ell believe they did their work for him. Why they undertook so large and costly an undertaking he does not lown mention. One of the ways he suggests it was for him is his failure to say why they did that work, who their client was. Yet Posner has the use of what any client opent so much to get. No thanks to either here.

He is even careful to avoid letting readers know how they can communicate with "ailure Analysis. Although it can be assumed, he does not even say that it is an American company.

When I was told some of the things Posner says about me in several notes I wrote and asked him about them. That he did not respond in attributable to the his need to engage in the extensive promotions arranged by Random House. After his New York TV appearances he was probably on the road with appearances around the country.)

That he did not write in response to my letter is not a fair basis for criticizing him. The conditions of his life may have made that impossible. He may also have believed that response by phone was not a good idea. However, the lack of any response leaves what he wrote an published to speak for itself and for him, professionally and personally. This is unportant in assusing him and his both.

Some of what he says about me relates to what his publisher's vice president an executive editor, Research and Poblishers Weekly about it. Loomis shares Posner's dedication of the book with Trisha. Of Loomis Posner said, he is "my ditor who nurtured this project from its inception. " Loomis, then is an authority on the book, its conent and purposes.

In addition to boast that Posner had "closed the case" and about those computer enhancements and Posner's use of them, coomis said of that book that "At heart, it is separate a biography of Lee Hervey Oswald....Posner takes upperisodes as it goes along.... separate episodes as they occur in history - episodes in Oswald's life."

My work in New Orleans, extensive for me in those years when I was without income and in debt, was on Oswald, trying to pick leads up and race them. I did no work in man District all any fun 'Malein had mainled, of Clay Shaw where, despite what we'll come to that Posner just made up, having no reason to believe it, in which he suggests the opposite. I was there when the Shaw case opened and for its first four days. I did not enter the courtroom and never laid eyes on Shaw. My interest in New Orleans was foswald, more about him and any associates. New Orleans. In fact we refers to FEI records which indicate it and makes no mention of That while using those refers for his own preconceived purpose. We did not use them in any investigation.

49

(As a sovier citizen ge g for her it would have been re-entry.)

to believe that by demeaning others t his readers and to critics, reporters and radio and TV people they will think more highly of him.

RAZ

50

Devious people, those accustomed to lying and getting away with it, those who *Curl fullection e* bedieve they have more than dequate support in whatever thy do and are attempted to take liberties with truth befause of it, not infrequently expose themselves in little bits of pettiness.

And one of Posner's intended slurs that I goodddress is dragged in by the heels

On page 150 he combined both of these qualities, nastiness and ignorance, in two notes that between them have more words than the fact on that page.

The first dates Oswald's visit to the store of the main known the in the Cuban xile community in new Orleans as El Estupides, which means The Stupidity, arries Bringuler, the epidore of the most extreme nuttiness of the extreme of the radical right. He was a Warren Commission witness if was, toc, a trusted respected interviewee for Posner and Posner includes in a his bibliography the revised and otherwise assisted Charf. Hall berg of G. Charf. Hall berg of G. Charf. J. Market eruption of Bringuler's sick mind in his book, "Red Friday Bringuler said that visit on Quality of therefore, without question, Posner Goes. That official records also date if at August 2, both dates provided by Bringuler, is no deterrent to the master of all official information - you do not have to ask him, - he says it. ("A any event, it was no there date, and if Posner had half as much sense and knowledge as he has mouth he would not have accepted. More on the barrent is the sense and knowledge as he has mouth he would not have accepted. More on the barrent is the barrent is the sense and knowledge as he has mouth he would not have accepted. More on the barrent is the barrent is the barrent is the sense and knowledge as he has mouth he would not have accepted. More on the barrent is barrent is the barrent is the barrent is the barrent is the barrent is barrent is the barrent is the barrent is barrent is the barrent is barrent is the barrent is the barrent is barre

Then, putting on his usual omniscience act, Posner had had his first formate, the utterly irrelevant, that on that date the "Soviet embassy notified "arina that her request to enter(sic) the USAR had been forwarded to Moscow..." (504 here)

In his second note he this littl stinker is just a common, ordinand, liar. I fullows orist what he prote and then what he refers to, careful not to give any citation for it. His not giving any citation is his projection against exposure of his deliberate lying to those of his readers who could use his citation to check him out. I recall my own work well enduch to know there was buy one place I did anything at all like what he here misrepresents. Posner is whiting a biography of Oswald and does not include in his bibliom Num-Collinas? graphy the only book on his career there?

X

At to same time I express my appreciate for the helpfulness of his petty mendacities. They establish beyond question, as he also does elsewhere, that Posner has and is *Oswell in May Oleans*. familiar with my book that is not in his bibliographt (Page 583) <u>Osweld in New Orlwans</u>. When ¹ noted that mmission, we checked our records. And, sure enough, that is the one book Posner did not get when he was here. His book makes clear that he has my book and because he got all but that one when he was here, it seems reasonable that he had it before he came here. What he finists and lies about appears, of all my finork, only on pages 79 and 80 of the one book he did not get where he was here.

> **In his address book, Oswald had three addresses listed on the same page with Carlos Bringuier's name: 117 Camp, 107 Decatur, and 1032 Canal. Harold Weisberg claimed the first address was a formal-dress shop and the second did not exist. He then juggled the numbers and determined that if Oswald had meant 107 Camp and 117 Decatur, that would lead to two anti-Castro militants. It shows the extent to which some will speculate. In fact, Weisberg searched the addresses when he helped Jim Garrison in his 1967 investigation. Instead, a review of 1963 records reveals there is no mystery or mixup. 117 Camp was the Hispanic-American Discount House, owned by two prominent Cubans (it was only a dress shop when Weisberg saw it years later). 107 Decatur was Bringuier's Casa Roca. 1032 Canal was at the corner of Canal and Ramparts, the New Orleans Discount Center, owned by a Jewish Cuban. The addresses were part of Oswald's efforts to discover the headquarters of the Cuban exiles. If Mute Met Pornet With Mute

This is what I ctually wrote:

Source in source line.

A number of other provocative items scattered throughout the evidence raise questions for which there is no satisfactory answer. For example, in Oswald's pocket address book there are these notations on a page (16H67) that faces a blank page:

> Cuban Student Derectorate (sic) 107 Decatur St New Orleans, La Carlos Bringuier N.O. City Editor "Cowan"

David Crawford reporter

117 Camp 107 Decatur 1032 Canal

After the middle of these three addresses but extending upward from it is "cuban exile store." This is the 52 38

address of Bringuier's store. Why is it listed twice? What do the other two addresses represent? The Camp street address is across from the International Trade Mart, which since then has moved. It is the address of a clothing store where formal attire is rented. Oswald had no such interests. Why should he have noted it in association with Bringuier?

Or did he make a mistake, or have his own special code? In the building at 107 Camp Street, the Cigali Building, advertising man Ronnie Caire, who was connected with the anti-Castro Cuban groups, then had an office. It was on the Canal Street side, opposite the

Camp Street entrance. Caire says Oswald applied for a job with him, claiming public relations experience. It should by now not surprise the reader to learn that Caire is one of the multitude who were not called as witnesses by the Commission and should have been. His New Orleans reputation is excellent. He is considered a generous man, easily touched. He says he lost about \$10,000 on his effort to help the "Crusade," that about \$4,000 was raised, and that some of it was "pocketed" by another.

That he was not called as a witness is not because the FBI was unaware of these things. Caire complains that their questionings "cost me about 100 hours" of time.

The Canal Street address is not where Oswald was arrested, which was in the 700 block, and it is not a "good" address but was then and is now a blank one. The numbers go from 1030 to 1034. In the covered-over passageway on that side of 1030 is a small fruitstand. Its number is 1030¹/₂.

If Oswald made a mistake and meant 117 Decatur, that is the address of Orest Pena's Habana Bar and Lounge, where there were other interesting developments in the story of Oswald in New Orleans, and at about this time, notably the spectacular and conspicuous drunk staged there by Oswald or his counterfeiter. And why should Oswald have noted the names of the city editor and reporter in the middle of these entries.

We do not know whether he made these notes before or after he got himself arrested in this handbill operation, which makes sense only as part of a scheme to establish a "cover." But there is reason to believe it was not after the arrest, because there is what seems to be such an item in his notebook (16H62), also facing a blank page. It is the listing of WDSU-TV, over which he broadcast *after* his arrest. This listing has the names of several of its staff and their phone numbers but no address. It logically would have been entered after his arrest.

When this manner of establishing a "cover" is considered in conjunction with the strange business of his notebook copyings and his possession at the time of his arrest of a list of entries that could serve only to show he had been a defector to the Soviet Union, along with the equally perplexing plea of guilty he entered when he was not guilt⁵, it is apparent the whole affair requires explanation the government has not made.

The least likely preparation of a sincere Castro sympathizer sallying forth on a propaganda venture that could lead to his arrest is evidence of Communist or Russian connections. This handbill operation seems to have been designed for an arrest and a police record. As soon as Oswald harvested the "pro-Castro" press he thus made, he took it to Mexico with him and used it in an unsuccessful effort to get a Cuban visa. After this failed, he still kept reminders of the affair. One was found when the Dallas police searched his property in the garage of the Paine residence, in Irving, Texas, after his arrest on November 22, 1963. In the inventory of what was seized there is Item #231 (24H335), a "slip of paper containing names Carlos J. Bringuier, Miguel M. Cruz and Lt. William Gaillot." Cruz was with Bringuier when he broke up Oswald's handbill operation; Gaillot, the police officer in charge.

Such items and entries in the notebook would be less troubling if there were any serious official explanation of their purpose and if so many questions did not remain, so many indications of Oswald's intelligence involvement.

53=53

If Posner had made a real Oswald investigation of his own he would know that it was

not uncommon for Griors tikes or what seem like errors to appear.

I "determined" nothing and I make no reference to "anti-Castro militants" at 117 Decatur Street. That was #

Orest Pena's Habana Bar and Grill. I knew Pena himself. He was not a militant anti-Gastroite. He once drove me around for a week in New Orleans. He translated what those who spoke Spanish only siad for me. He introduced me to witnesses who had other than the official information about Oswald and whosaw him with other men. Pena even got disenchanted with an anti-Castto group he had belonged to earlier. So, there is no basis at he had belonged to earlier is no basis at for the intendedly prejudicial misstatement that either either Peener juts made up or was fed to him.

If I were going to say anything like that, it would have been with regard to Oswald using the 544 Camp Street address on pro-Castro literature. Any pgr-Castroite mati-Castro going there would have found militants to face there, but where from his y mon ance or did montant interf prime days.

He also does not indicate the basis for his saying that "years earlier," only four, it was the addemess of "The Hispanic-American Discount House. Was he told that, too? By someone **q**s undependable as Bringuier? The place I visited gave every indication of having been there for a long time. That was not a neighborhood one would select for a new "clothing store where formal at fire is rented," what it was when I was there. It was curl had been a rapidly deterioring neighborhood very close to dangerous areas of the Waterfront. Posner brackets this with "in fact, Weisberg searched the ad resses when there was destring helped in Garrison in his 1967 investigation." Again Posner has no source. The reason is that there cannot be one. Unless, of course, he was again fed bad information from the spockeries. if b awalle lie. Is it not obvious that here is no way in which Posner can know that T "schrohed," hard is hardly there is no way in which Posner can know that T "schrohed," hard is hered is word, "for carrison?" Kow where aI do "speculate," there being none in what Posner refers to, is marking up he can use to belittle enother?

I do not know what sources, if any, Posner had for his baseless disputing of what I said about that W Canal Street address. I was there. I noted the addresses. I took by Oswald's pictures because I was mystified about entering a non-existing address in W his address book. Not only was it exactly as I wrote, I took pictures and I remember even which camera I used. Need nothing but a snapshot I used our Yashica reflect. - The irrationality of this fabrication is obvious: with a staff of professional police investigators working for him did "arrison need anyone else to make a property check for him?

Pesner again made up out of nothing save his own bile, unless more stupidity was fed him by his spooks, that "The ddresses were part if Oswald'e efforts to discover the headquarters of the Cuban exiles."

There washo such thing in Newbyleans to be "discovered" then! The CIA's Cuban Revolutionary Council had folded when it withdrew financial supprt. Why else would Oswald have been reduced to provoking The Stupidity? If he had any other choice he would have exercised it!

ملا ...

Posner preter an interest in Oswald's use of that 544 Camp Street address. If he had not been ignorant, not having one his own work and depending on other, he was have been been interested in what I at the very place the distorts, misrepresents and just plain lies about, that Oswald a plied to a f Ronnie Caire for a job. Ronnie Caire and Sergio Sector Arcacha Smith has a Crusade to Free Cuby scam going and they solicited contributions to

official ignobel" to sent to that address. Ronnie Caire was also any FBI informer. If Posner had had any interest at all he'd have found duplicate copies of those FBI records filed under Ronnie Cabinet Caire's name in a file I know he worked it.

585

Withal, Posner addresses all he misrepresents out of context, the reason I finclude the last paragran from the part of Oswald in "ew Orleans he misused with such pottiness, the context of the whole thing; "Such items and entries in th notebook would be less troubling if there were any serious official explanation of their purpose and if so many questions did not pemain, so many indications of Gd Oswald's intelligence involvement.

Aside from all that is factually wrong about Posner's nesty nate, all that is just made up out of nothing, like that "investigating" for Garrison who had a professional staff of police investigators on his staff, all that has no source indicated because there is no source for what he makes up out of nothing, why does a man of serious purpose to which Posner protends, with so much work to do and so little time for it, go off into such/severs of indecency, immorality and irresponsibility?

Can it be other than on the one hand he serves another interest or on the other that he is small a person he needs run others down to build himself up in his own mind?

There are very few people he has not abused the same way and with th same lack of integrity.

What does or candrive the man to this bad behavior, this demeaning of himself? Other than his futile attempt to portray my work as inaccurate. Of all my writing Six in sxi books on the subject this is all he can connive out of nothing?

In this pettiness and in his error in his book Posner does, however, give us a 🖌 means of assessing those th regard as dependable sources, for example Carlos Bringuier. Of all the hundreds of people Posner claims to have interviewed, he singles Bringuier out for special praise and thanks. But when he was here and had unsupervised access to all I have and when he was working in the very file in which I have duplicates of some of the FEI's records on Bringuier, whose name is on that file folder, Posner had no interest in what the FEI reported about Bringuier or in **MANEX** any other information about him that I had. A peek at some of those FEI records serves to describe the confidence that can be placed in Posner's writing and to describe those he regards as good sources worthy of being singled out as such in his book.

At "approximatel; 10:25 FM" the night of the day of the assassination, Bringuier phoned the New Orleans FBI office. His call was taken by Special Agent Warren C. DeBrueys, an agent fluent in Spani sh and one of the Ostald experts in the at office. In DeBrueys' words in his memo to the special agent in charge, Bringuier asked "the FBI for protection." (This was only the fifteenth record placed in the New Orleans FBI office's file on that assassination) one that Posner did not understand is that file in using what he got from me as his own work and giving the unexplained file number only aachiacaanaces only then he was not able to give its meaning or even its title, "Assassination of is in John F. Kennedy. DeBrueys wrote, "BRINGUIER was advised that the FBI does not afford police protection." This reflects the maturity of Bringuier's judgement, that he would be a target of assassination afford

Once I read Bringuier's Warren Commission testimony I had no reason to be interested him in him when I was in New Orleans for the first time, to testify before the grand jury in the Clay Shaw case. ex. But I was interested in Orest Pena's bar attention sufficient of the orest for one of the detectives whose name I have forgotten to the airport he did drive me to several places I want to look at, including Pena's bar.amintHe parked the car illegally agross the street from that bar, on the sidewalk of the U.S.Customs House, there, and I was taking pictures of the front pena's bar when Brikouler came rushing out of his place of buiness, which was not even next to Pena's. Bringuier was in a running crouch, literally, and he had a camera to his eye, snappting pictures while he ran in that ofd-looking crouch. When he got near

56

gima me, with every indication that he was about to jump on me, the detective intervened. He turned Bringuier away and, mutters in Spanish, Bringuier retreated to his store, with malevolent glances over a should as he returned to his store.

If this is no crazy enough, what Bringueir next did is ample illustration of why he was so absolutely dependable as a source the Posner.

In his report to him the special agent in charge & FBTSPecial Agent & Robert J. Heibel reported that on May 9, 1967 Bringuier "insisted that" the FBI "accept a photograph he (BRINGUIER) had taken of author JACK MEISBERG and an investigatir of JAMES CARRISON who were phorographing REINCUIER 'The s store." Which we were not at all, but if we had been, that is so criminal an act that the FBF absolutely must have a picture of me?

Heiber noted is "is contained in NO 89-69-14104." That is a subfile of that offoce's main assassination file. The subfile was used for hold evidence!

And sure enough, in an "evidence envelope, "" FBI Form No. 340, Heibler placed two of Bringuier's pictures. His noteon that "evidence" envelope reflects how confused Bringuler got him. ITreads,"2 PHOTOGRAPHS OF JACK WEISBURG AND INVESTIGATOR OF DA GARRISON TAKEN BY BRINGUIER AS THEY PHOTOGRAPHED HIS STORE, LA HABANA ON DECATURA STRET, NOLA." (NOLA means New Orleans, Louisiana.)

-The name of Bringuler's store was Casa Roca. Habana was the name of Pena's bar.

One pictures shows me protecting my eyes from the sun when Bringuier started to charge while photog aphing, the other shows the detective and me just standing there talicing.

That Bringuler was exploited by the extreme of the religious radical right in his fanciful explanations of the assassination is reflected in several FBI reports of his appe Trances under the sponsorship of tr. BIL Y JAVES (sic) HARGISt and his "Christians Ant-Communist Crusade." This the New Orleans FBI filed, of all placed, in its main Oswald file, 100-10461. The two serials of which I made duplicate copies are numbered 6725 and 6724.

Skipping ahead to Hovenby 11, 1968, that FBI office filed what is alled an

riemo

58A

So there can be no question about this, Bruinguier is the first of only five poople Posner single out for special thanks and praise (page 502):

"almost fit two hundred people were interviewed for this book. While I am grateful to all of them for the time they took to speak to me with me, a few male an extra effort. Carlos Bruinguier clairified the anti-Castro issues as well as the problems in New Orleans in 1254 1963; ... " (Puge 502) "airtel," fancy name for normal airmailing of a memorandum, with headquarters and two other field offices. What was so important that nine copies of it ere made is that Bringuier told if that I had been in touch with a young man named Phillip Geraci, III, who was in Viet Name, which Bringuier's source the What was not rue true. She also is quoted by Bringuier as has my having told Geraci that on his ref return to the Unifed States Garaci would be subpoenaed to testify before the grand jury.

Guraci was one of , two youngesters who were in Bringuier's store when Oswald was there in 1963. I had not been in touch with him. Bringuier's source was a young woman who was also by source. And in formation for the set of the set

While I had not been touch with Geraci, as that young Joman knew, she also knew that I had interviwed his parents and she in fact told me that Geraci had been Vreturned to the United States by the army not for the reason in this FBI report, because "one of the members of his family is very ill," but because his father, an electrician, had killed himself in an acident.

T his matter will interest us later but here I cite it as a reflection of ^Bringuier's dependability as a source, when he got virtually all of that mixed up and inaccurate, and of what the FEI regards as important enought to be stored in so many copies in so many places.

In the forgoing we have, among other things, illustrated that there of nothing too irrational, obviously nutty, like Bringuier, for Posner not to use as a depenadble source if he s ys what Posner wants to hear and say, and that when Posner had and knew he had free ccess to Bringwill as a format FBI records relating to the character of this source in whoch is placed such confidence, Posner did not size have not interest in learning what aft er any contact with ^Dringuier he knewhad to know, that ^Dringuier is not dependable. 58 A MM

This is also a reflection of how little Posner knew or wanted to know about what transpired in New Orleans and of his dependence upon the most undependable to of sources for what he rote about it. It is more than obvious that Posner did not do his own work there. Could not have, in fact, and manged to be largely incorrect in what he wrote about it.