10/17/71

Dear Harold,

I was going back over correspondence prior to riling, and I find your letter of 9/26 in which you ask me for advice on whether you should include the Leonard/Wolff business in PM.

I think that you should, but in the context of them both being pawns and not players. In this context it might be a good idea to cite Harris's letter to you about Wolff having been caused embarrassment by the Times footnote,and he would now not review Frame-Up. On Leonard it might be good to mention his Heritage of Stone review to illustrate the position of the Times - "we do not allow this kind of editorializing" - and also to mention what he originally said to me about rectification and "another editor."He would be hard put to deny all of this since he did not deny anything I said in the cretified letter I sent him. This puts Wolff and Leonard in the position of "following orders" rather than the call of their own consciences. This context makes them look like whores without putting you in the position of saying that everyone is ganging up on you as Kaplan suggests.

See you soon.

Regards,

Jim

Re P. 70
I-74