Couple of clips I'll read later, you lett r to me of the 10th, confidential neme of 7/5 conversation with Sylvia, Jerryus 7/6 and your 7/6 answer. I've heard nothing further from either. This is a large volume, I'd drop ing everything to read it, and if any requires response unless I make it as I read, I may not be able to. So, there say be some confusion. I was up late, thru the points of order after the deserate contest Gallife, and got up at my usual hour, so I'm also tired. I had begun to read your 10, then realized the above, and in skinding what you enclosed I see you do make reference to Sylvia being hooked on aspheterines. So, you can see why I didn't send you her I over to me. I think she can be abused by misuse of this, and if Jerry doesn't know, don't tell him or envore also. She told me are it both workied me and helped no understand, by strongest feeling about her is sorrow.

You do understand correctly. I did take that time in what I regard as your interest.
Obviously, there is nothing else in it. But that is the way it hould be, so feel no obligation.
It is a responsibility and a liability of years. And affection.

You say you have had a decision to make. Abought you had at the beginning. But bear in mind that there si no vital difference either way except in your thinking of your clif.

"... considering weekt's latest behavior not befitting envone who calls hisself a rene"

If you do not amplify this, when you have time, would appreciate separ thy. He you went
confidential, write on it, as you have, and I do prefer rod. Resier to spot fast.

In the rest of this graph, all is normal. You were merely, as you say, beginning to m ture. We concern was not with your intellectual equipment but your lack of worldly experience. With ref to your unauthorized use of my material: thanks for the candor. I'd assumed it, despite my high r gard for you and your integrity. That is the chief reason f didn't went to see your ms. I'd have had to tell you about it. It is better for you that you blarmed this way, on your own. Straighter trunk, tree: I am also aware that this is one of the hazards of helping. I'm having to change because of The hasa, but there will be only a few, and now I know I don't have to worry about you. I think you know I never reastly did. At your age it would have been too exceptional for you not to have been tempted as you were. I know. I was once you age and have known many others. We are all basically alike. To ashes on the head.

Mill copy and send Sa's latver. You may copy, but please return for duplicate filling.

Acase also don't worry about the effects of whatever Sh does or doesn't now do. I

think it would not be uncharitable, despite the difference inyour ages, to have at least
in the back of your mind some of the attitude you undertand I've had about you. The is not
inherently as abd a person as she has been with almost everything haveing to do with me and
almost from the first. We can't use Weeht as a second-line defense after hong John anyway.

All that remains of possible constructive use of which I can now conceive he say original
plane is never responded and I think there is now less chance. I outlied it to you, I'm sure.

Silione can't always separate the mental and the physical. I kno too little, but I think the root with her is in the mind, not the body.

Either way on hery is OK. by chief interest, as I now recall, was fieldback from early who is a worker, exceedingly keen, and knows Sylvia well. They have post none time together than I have ith either, so sweat, and h is over her head now. I think it indikely they will talk unless the phones her.

Your point about my trust and including strangers in it is well take. You are not clone-larry, bill and even "ary agree." os, Cary! To things: this is the way I am; and I may not see these people again and there is the question should I try to level as best I can. It has not often been in my mind, if ever, whether or not the result was in my interest.

I want you to reconsider you line about Wecht in the light of what you said earlier about your growth and changes that embarrass you as you nature and understand better:

"...my specifing to him does not mean Empprove of what he is doing." I require no persuasion to go further, and to believe that you may even have doubts about his inner notivation. That you do or do not speak to him doesn't really trouble me ither way. Think of was it ITT's words: He who is silent in the face of evil becomes part of it. I don't want you to think of it this way later. Don't let this includes you. Think and be meare. And we are not yet certain that evil is inveitable (I do now think it is in his intentions in the form of fuck-everything-but-me self-seeking), we or I think that it is probable. For my clift also see no real probable benefit aside from personal considerations.

I think ou should realize that the use of some of my sork is inevitable and outside

hoveover, you now know that some was stolen and when it was duplicate, it was duplicated from what also was stolen. Aside from this, I'm surprised you haven's reported that I have already detected, theft or misuse of more than you have tolene. It is see if you get it for yourself. I don't want to color anyway.

I'm having to rush so I may make mistakes other than in typing. And remead possibly graph p. 2 and ask if you aren't really saying that you have to see to it that the head of the headeny of Forensic Strences, a great forensic pachologist, knows forensic pachologist.

broken carriage and ambivalent feelings about his real father, close enough to amoy and prick conscience. I erred in considering his only unhousebroken and a nontal and enotional child. I wast at such time trying to lep him put his head together. Don't worry about the problems he can make for you. With whon? If he tries it with bylvia, it may be a helpful cathersis for her. He won't reall hurt you unless you waste precious time with him. Without yet reading the exchange, as ageneral policy I agree, as my record shows, with Laving a record, as between people, on issues and maintain for history. You show my own files are unpurged and now are unpurgable.

Perhaps you misunderstood my comment on your first to him. It was that your think was so close to identical with mine and independent. You hadn't gotten my letter when you wrote him and you were, I think, more severe than I. If it does not come up, you might want to tell him that what Cary used to duplicate my work I also gave Cary inconfidence. To date no response from him on that letter. I think he will, and not like Jerny.

Glad you plodded with Ecclesiastes. It is also the most beautiful writing in the king James, if sometimes obscure, as you learned. There is such in the Old festament.

JP's 7/6 Upening I have no intention responding typical. And copout to himself, too.
If you write abgin, note that he falls short as saying that hifton did cite the d.c. and nudge him a bit about his own word, "advertise", and see if he can begin to think.

on the relevance of the "inck stuff and the panel report in his times stuff, undiluted garbage. It was ego-tripping. What he have avoide in any statment that he knows enough to know what he is into or doing or the subject.

That jazz on Weeht "ones crawling to you" is miserable even for one in his state. Asking for help is this?

Someonsciou ly, he forecasts a "disaster" from Wecht here, but says it would be from ignorance. They why did he apply? What could his notive been in JP's eyes?

Hone of Lattiner's error can be attributed to ignorance of the basic evidence. He also misrepresents me on disaster and deliberately.

on the bricking, "Marold won't do it." Now I won't, But what I told Cyril is that I'd have to wait and examine the situation when the time came, not no.

Fifton graph on "I had hoped it would ove you to head him off" is like locking the barn after the lorse os gone, and with hidton it is an with some horses, as you are too young (and a city boy) to kno: they learn how to unlatch doors.

Before reading you 7/8 to him. I must confess I don't think I have ver seen anything quite as colf-deceptive and deliberately and fully dishonest, contradictory as this may seen. I think he may well have told hiften about this just to hurt me and in the hope that liften would use. But I have published it and believe I have the legal rights to it except in it official interpretation. Jorry now has to hurt me, to save hisself in his own esteen.

I see you also go into finck. I regret you didn't tell him here that I gave wocht his testimony was his incompetency in examining the panel report required it—and that I sent to to him inmediately from N.O.—and that I gave N.O. all their medical stuff, knowing the consequences.

As I think back, his at itude began to change in the writing of the article on the Times and the change is reflected in it.

To the sotten of pay 3 I think you cooled off to much. He descreed harder words.

1st graph, p & 4:I've one into this with him in detains show real danger lies. Id
rather you carry it no further. I think his twisted mind has rejected if one e may have
to cope with it, so don't brief anyone any further on this or offsetting ay become
even more impossible.

no shing to add except this thought: From Johnson's description lifton eaght not have ordered that stuff until prodded by JP. Note my own earlier letter or today and my own belated realization. I'll comment on Sh's separately because you ask confidence and I can then files separately. "est,