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 "t is not inate.
 Ubvouly, there is notion else in it. but that is the way it howl be, su jecl no oblication. It is a rosponsibicity anc a liability of yeurs. And arection.
 In wint tiac then: sit no vital difiononce vither way encept in you thindia: on youmede.
"....concia ing Wecht's latort behavior not veritting unvone who cails hisony a man.



In the roct or this $s$ aph, all is nornal. You wero huroly, ar you say, boining to


 to sue your na. I'i have had to toll you about it. It in bette. Hor you that you 2 nomed



 I was onc: you ago awh luve lnom many others. We are all basically alite. wo whes on ho hoad.

Inoso also don't woray about the ofects of whatever wir does or duean 't now do. I



 411 tat rominfs of posejble constructive use of wioh $i$ can now concoive io y oricincl

 think the jout with hon ins in time nind, not the boly.


 talle unles: wi phonew hor.


 not orten boun in wivn, ir ever, whether or not the result wa in in fintero t.

I went you to roconider you line about wecht in the light of what you sid ourier about vour erouth mal chames the enbarmass you as you neture and undarotone petten:
 to to further, an: to bilieve that you wy uvan hav: doubts about his inmer wotivetione riat
 .ord :he who sis wilnt, in the iace of ovil becores part of it. I ton't want you to think of


 seo no roal mobule bonezt asde fron pernonas considerations.

"oveores, you now bow that some was stolon ond when it won duplicate, it was duplacated fros what also vas stolon. Aside from this, In surprisec you heven', mporten what it
 get $i \frac{1}{5}$ for orseli. I don't want to color ayway.



 browen ampage and ambivilont feoling about his real tather, close onou to buov and prict ochacience. I erred in con ibcrint his only unouscorobon and a matal and wotional ciale. I west it ach tim trying to lep hin put hi: head tocothex. Hon't wormy ant the



 urpurged whin are unpurgable.
 so close to inunticul ath ine oni indopondent. You hadn't sotion g lettor wher we
 wont to toll hin that what lary wed to duplicate wy work I also wive Gay inconidienco. L'o date no response froa hide on that loster. I thind he will. and no like Jonm.
 sing fimes, tr sontwes obscure, as you lowmed. There is : uch in the Oh -ostament.

Ti you mrite aigein, note the he falls short as sayinc that bifton dia cite the d.a. and nuige hin a bit ebout he: own word, "odvertise", an see if he con berin to thinle.

 to bug: hat le je into or doing or the subject.

That jag's on Vount "oners cruwhint to you" is sicerable evon cow one in is state. asturs ior holy i; this?

Guconsciou 1 , h: Sorconets a "dionder" iron becht her", but ays it woule be from ignoranee. Whey why did he aply? What could his notive beon in $\mathrm{J}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{s}$ oyest
row ot iattiker's error can bo atributed to ienorance of the babic ovidence.
ite also wimements no on disastor and deliboratoly.
 have to wat and exanin: the situation when the tino ceme, not no.

Ilfton semp on "I had hopd it would ove you to head hia of " is lice locine the
 youre (and a city loy) to kno: they 1 anm ho: to mulath doors.



 it oraciol internotation. Jomy now hos to hurt a, to save himoli in his on erteon.


 consequasoss.
 and th cuako is willected in it.



 evar on inpousible。


 then files separatoly. -ist,

