## Dear Dave,

## 11/14/74

New that I've caught up on what accumulates during two long and tiring trips ty Memohis on the Ray case and hearing and with the printer not yet having delivered copies of the new back, before getting into the day's work, an update/reminder.

There has been no further word from Freed. Not have I heard anything further on Lewis' intentions, progress or anything class.

I presume with Freed it means that he has not come up with the financing or he is unwilling to spell his proposal out.

I believe you were going to speak to Lewis and ask his directly these questions we discussed, including does he plan using my work.

The sutcess of the Ray case can t but help a sovie with the right approach. However the case ends. And the end has to be a year away unless the State and federal people are wise enough to accept certain formulae 1 have built into the recent work and in effect cop cut. They ar hardheads but I believe this is not impossible.

The right approach has to exclude two traytations: protonsing the solve the erime with flation; pretending as Executive Action wid that the spencers of the assassination are known. I think the story of the investigation/defence can make a good revie. It could also be honest. And an encouragement to prople who look at their country and see no reason for hope.

To a degree I as asking the factual records needed in several ways, all part of my immediate obligations or hopes for the future, that all this work will be part of a permanent archive in some way place of scholarship.

When it seems appropriate I'm taping recellections. Last week with one reperter, until 1 a/m. this merning with another, who is to give no the tabes after he writes his piece for Relling Stone. This afternoon some of the history faculty and probably some students from the local college are coming for an account of what really happened in this last hearing. It was farent behind the scenes and precedent in the courtroom. (I had great fun anticidating the surprise witnesses the state would call and kidnepping them on the stand, converting from from rebuttal witnesses against is into our own witnesses, even experts. Including even a Mantam vice president and house counsel. The State finally gave up and quit rebuttal.)

Setween these two interviews two publications spekez to me about the ancillary rights to this new book. From one, Marper's Magnzine, I get the impression there can also be future interest. That is, in other of my work.

My situation is such that I'll have to grab at anything that can help.

Two stories that may amuse you and your fife. After a few ways of hearings, with the testimony 1 lines up going rather effectively and with a formula that was really spelling out the proof that May did not kill "ing, during the regular morning break I went into the hallway for a smake. Martin Waldren of the NYTimes came up to me and asked, "arold, aren't you ashmen of yourself?" Why?" I asked. "For fuckin' up the whole PMT, the State of Tennessee and Shelby County," he said, then laughed. And the time the Date asked for a break to determine its course, after we has kisnapped the last of the rebuttal witnesses they put on, again while I was smaking, Martin but that bears are around me and with a hug said. "You ald bastard, den't you know what everkill is?" We then invited ne to the party the major-model reporters were having that night and with the testimony itself ever I took the first night off for fun. Only I worked during it, too, with the fun. By accident the State AG's people came into the restaurant in which we ate between bouts of drinking. That time was fun but also was not wasted!

Best regards.