

7/5/75

Mr. Ron Plesser
1712 N St., NW
Washington, D.C.

Dear Ron,

Jim may not have time to be in touch with you, about several matters. We face much work preparing for the next calendar call in 226-75, scheduled now for 7/15 after we succeeded in forcing a delay and getting new stuff. After full compliance was sworn, that is!

With this I am mailing him very much from which he will select what he wants to use in the third vigorous affidavit by me in this case.

The government has the collaboration of the judge, Pratt. The play seems clear enough. I think it will gut the law again if it succeeds. A continuance of absence of interest in the first case under the new law will help the government. To date there has not been a single observer in the courtroom.

The way it seems planned is for Pratt to hold that whatever he means by "substantial compliance" is compliance under the law. He interprets the law to require no more than whatever he means by a "good faith" effort.

I've caught the government in repeated perjury. Not just false swearing. ^{ts} way of trying to explain away the first was to tell the court that I know more about this subject than anyone in the FBI.

They've attached a new affidavit by the same FBI agent to a motion to dismiss. He blandly admits the one case of earlier perjury he addresss and corrects it. That is to say that the formulation is to appear to be a correction. Actually, it is new false swearing to his knowledge and this will be in the third, coming affidavit.

I don't pretend to know what will happen. But I am confident that I read official intentions accurately. They are on the one hand terrified at the potential of this suit and on the other determined to exploit the attitudes toward this subject and me in an effort to negate the law with the first case.

As long as these attitudes persist the dangers exist with them.

Because you expressed no interest in the materials of which I wrote you in the middle of May I'm filing them. Since then I have obtained more. In this case I did not go to the expense of making copies for you. I assume they are not of interest to you or your client. But if he is the man who is mentioned in the Rockefeller Report the National Enquirer is looking hard for him.

There is an element of mystery in the declassification of these materials. The Archives is not responding to my inquiries. They also did not give me all of them when I asked for them. I do not know if I have all that has been declassified and I do know some has not been declassified.

We now have new proofs - copies - of further CIA surveillance on me and new reason ~~in~~ to believe there is still more.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg