9/24/68

Nr. Jim Good <u>Playbey</u> 318 N. Hichigan Ave. hicago, 111.

Dear Jin.

Even is the rough droft of what " hadprojected as an addition to COUP D'ETAT when I wrote it. I hat to keep apologizing, to remind you that in order to work on other things I could not mad this, but please understand " haven't. It is as my wife typed it. What I worked on instead of editing is, as I indicated by phone, guits successful, for it is enough for enother book.

This is not by any means exhaustive treatment of the executive sessions. "t is restricted to those parts I considered appropriate to the content (not even but the doctrine) of COUP D'ETAT. There is much more, some even more sensational.

and the second secon

There Was, I am setisfied (but cannot now prove) a repid turnower in Archivists of the United States over this. When I confronted Dr. obert Behmar with what had been done, what he did, he suddenly retared, not at all old or decrepit. When I kept pushing on his successor, br. James Rhoads, he even more quietly -no sunouncement at all, and after perhaps but a month or two -though young and a carearist, just left. Now there is an "Acting Archivist" who has yet to answer the same letters. To is Horbert Angel. I have not yet reminded him that my wife and he went to school together.

I have an original, government Herox of every document 1 quote. It is cost slone that compele me to use the less clear Thermofaxes. Ask for whatever you want in a clearer copy and I'll send it.

Also enclosed are two other documents. One is an answer to a letter I wrote the Arch vist, not the legal director. He takes boit well. I did not make a mistake. I wanted him to tell see what he did, that Ford used what is still suppressed the antire transcript of the executive session of 1/27/34, which was not destroyed. It exists. He also teld us there remains no copy of the executive session of 1/22, which knew but wanted in writing. Note that in this unusual case, Rankin did not date his memo, which is merely a record to which he could refer back, to protect rimself. There is more on this, but unless you want it now (and I'll do the wholex story, if Pleyboys wents it) I'm not taking the time of spending the money to make all the copies. For exemple, the Texans had Law School Deen Storey with them. The said this was typical of CIA, from his experience. Naturally, he also therefore was not a witness.

"y own hunch, as a coreful reading of OSWALD IN NOT OULEANS will show, is that the two-hour interview of August 1962 is the beginning of Oswald's return to federal service. That egent, Foin, then retired. The new Sawald expert never was asked for an affidavit denying he had hired him (Kasek). I told you gome of the rest by phone. There is much on Hosty not known. He was close to energial Walker's pal and business associate, the printer of the scurrilous Kandbill, Surrey, who right out refused to be a witness. When the local federal attorney wented to prosecute, for the case was open and shut, the Conmission demurred. Finally Surrey did appear, in secret, and use not esked the right questions. Very nice formula.

I've made a few heaty notes on the documents that may direct your attention. The elminiation of Heaty's name from the FEI transcription of <sup>O</sup>swald<sub>R</sub>s addressbock, is not the only change Hoover's man made. They also deliberately disguised the name of a CIA-man who ram the <sup>N</sup>ew Orleans Cuban <sup>N</sup>evolutionary <sup>O</sup>cumcil, organized by the CIA at the Skylark <sup>N</sup>otel, <sup>N</sup>ismi, 3/18/61, in anticipation of the Bay of Pigs. <sup>H</sup>s is <sup>N</sup>rank <sup>D</sup>artes, who later flew planes in the Congo and this prat <sup>D</sup>pring told me He considers himself under federal protection. Libeler knew of this CIA connection and financing and of Ferrie's connection with it and decided to do nothing. I have him on tape asying this.

Particularly if editing is available, I can rather rapidly do another book, as I told you, AGENT OSMALD. Unless I were to do a separate book on the executive sessions (and I doubt the market, not the legitimate literary and historical importance), this is where I would include that material, which is abundant and very rick.

Forenthetically, does not some of the contents of COUF tell you that I made good my promise to you to help kric? This does not even include the Minutemen staff I then also got, although I'll probably add that threat, also known to the government. I have the alfidevit. I got it from a Minutemen I turned on by radio!

There is so much! You cannot begin to imagine how much. I so regret Eavrence never kept his promise to dome and examine what I then had, made about a year and a half age. I wish you could do it dow. This stuff should be published. There are a gent notional interests. That there is continued media unvillingness after Chicego makes my work over more laborious, depresses.

Among the things I have developed since I see you are the confinement of a How Orleans man, by order of the Secret Service, to protect the Srewident. -Not consistent with the Werren Report. Not necessarilished by law or court action, other. I've interviewed this wen and his mother and wife ( a pregnant lesbian and good friend of Clay Shaw's when they were married). In fact, even though he had also threatened Garrison and was apparently allowed to escape ceptivity to try it, I stayed at his home my lest trip end e pect to my next! If this doesn't someday make me a novel, the story of the chick who led me to it will, and I have that all on type, perhaps 50-75 Lours of it. That there is the suppressed story of the bay who not Dawald or "Caweld" at Carlos Bringuise's store. "e was led into homosexuality by Clay Shaw's close friend and associate, "ario Bonaudez (Cordell Rull Soundation, CIA front). I have the Bermudez identity from four re ple, including both parents. Father just died in seening mystery. "Acoid nt". His Oswald-Bringuier relations were other then officially represented, and  $\perp$  have the original transcript Liebelor situres before printing to make his testimony consistent with Bringuler & felsehood. I sloo have documentary proof that it was both felse and willful. This and many, many things that relate - for example, an entirely different history and picture of Oswald in "en Orleans - is my own work, not Gerrison's. - have not yet given him all of it. I have hours of interviews with eyewitnesses on type, including these who had turned off after being shot at and other ise threatened, including by the FBL. I have now established the existence of four different Cuban camps at the same time, accreas the lake from N.C. I have a toped statement from the mon who ran one, Ricerdo Davis, with mony significant admissions in it, including proof the Attorney General is a liar and the information his was a CIA comp. That he said is indicative of what I said in CSWALD IN MEW. ORLEANS, th t it was a camp for the assassination of Castro. He gave no the most graphic account of his career as a police agent! Too much? Sincerely,

Harold deisberg

2