Dear Jack.

Now I'm sorry I didn't take Steve Jaffe's addresses when you offered thom.

And now I'd like you to be in touch with him, as I'll amplain. But first some other explanations, so you'll know.

During the Ray evidentiary hearing I met a Playboy writer, im McKinley. He made a favorable impression on me. His assignement also appealed to me as worthwhile and honest. I agreed to be helpful to him subject to what is normal among journalists, confidentiality. The two major areas are my relationship with Ray and my own work, which I told him I was not about to give away. He agreed and I tried, without success, to help him.

Later he came here with an editor of Playboy, to see if Playboy could get interested in the ancillary rights to Post Mortem. This was before I printed it. He later told me that the editor and everyone else, including the bureaucracy of the Bunny Clubs, had approved the project - all the way up to hefner, who rimed it. He also told me that as a result of such thought after the alleged (I din't know, of course) hefner rejection. Playboy had decided to do a series of violence in America. While I'd have liked to have sold the prepublication rights to Post Mortem I was pleased with their copout, a field in which, going back to the 1930s, I've had much experience. He then told me that they had agreed to hire me as a consultant. I accepted. However, when they first called on me, this was transferred into what they call Playboy's (my emphasis) History of Assassination. Although there is a great difference, I had given my word and I tried to keep it.

The tegan with their sending a voman researcher here. As best I recall herename it is Ann Curlein. I spent some time letting her copy clippings and things like that on my machine. (yes, afterward I sequried a service call.) I loaned her books that can't be replaced and I do not have them back. I have asked Playboy for these asseral times and still do not have them.

To jump shead, on the JFK stuff they stole, literally, from me. Extensively, too. By the time I loarned it they claimed they were about to go to press and no changes could be made. I agreed to make no claim, file no claim, in return for a nominal settlement and simultaneously told them not to steal any more, that I didn't want money in return for stealing, I didn't want my work used that way. They wrote me that they found my requests quite reasonable.

The next thing I got in xeroxes of the manuscript was their King stuff. I was shocked. My published and unpublished stuff was again mixed attempt, extensively, with the cheapest high-school journalism attempts at making this. I notified them immediately. Them I got a lawyer's letter from their house counsel assurings me that this had been eliminated. By request for proofs prior to publication so we could both be protected was rejected. When I wrote and said I'd seek and injunction in federal court # I did tell them I had a book researched and partly written - they assured me that what I objected to had been eliminated. Through their house counsel, whose name I remember as becomed faulin. Se also phoned me. He always claimed that my letters had been delayed. This left me in the position, as I wrote him, of not having a basis to go to court to prevent damage to me because he had given me these assurances. And although I had planned to fe to federal court in Baltimore and had spoken to lawyers, I could not.

I don't drive much since the phlebitis. Last weekend we had a family function in hiladelphia. By wife, looking for something to read, got a Playboy in the altimore station. She read some of it on the train. But she knew nothing about my arrangements with Playboys's writer so she could not alert me to what I have found.

This is a pleasant Sunday. So, with much outside work to do - we have more than live acres - and needing the exercise, which is especially indicated for the post-phlebitis condition, after the dew was dry I worked outside, for about an hour at a time. On the hour of rest between these bursts I read the currebut Playboy piece. On the second break I read what is stolen, with amateurish attempts to hide it, from work that is uniquely mine. I do mean uniquely, too.

Plagiarism is the most common characteristic of this entire series claimed by Playboy to be its own. With me it is also theft or in ordinary lingo, larceny after trust. I warned them about this repeatedly, not just with me, because it is all ripped off and presented as Playboy's own work. Believe me, their consultant is quite prepared to prove this in several ways. First, I have the originals, before type was set, and then, so everyone could be protected, I suggested to them that it be taped. So I also have some tapes. Not all because I did not anticipate this and probably reused some cassettes. Gowever, I am sure that I have more than enough tapes. Never dreaming their writer, who I liked, was a crook, one of my purposes was to satisfy him.

Without a word-by-word comparison I can't be 100% sure. For all practical purposes, despite the assurances of their house counsel, in letters and by phone-taped -nething of any consequence was changed or eliminated. There may have been some changes to make further false fretches. But what is my own work, precisely that to which I objected and was assured had been eliminated, is all in the published piece.

Anide from the book I have two-thirds written, a friend has been representing me in Bollywood. He was here not long ago. Just this week he approached a production company there. Not only did Playboy, by its thicvery, take the edge off of it, which is hurtfuk enough - but why should enytone now buy the rights to my work from me? No matter how such the work is mine and mine alone.

Back to Jaffe. I's told he is close to hefner.

I don't know what I can do. I am without means. But I do know what I am willing to try to do to protect ryself and my wights. Hefter and Playboy have stoken my work. I told them not to because I was then working on this book and have spent an enormous amount of time and for me money on a suit relevant to it. It has cleaned me so thoroughly just earlier today I wrote a friend asking him to try to help with it and this, too, Playboyestole, some of this suit of which I had, as their consultant, to tell their writer—not for use but for his approach. To procet Playboy against developentus with the lag they have between eduting and appearance.

First of all, I think the absent in Hollywood Hefner should know what his people have been delig. Next I think he should know what the potential for him and his property is if I have to protect mysslif. There is more but he should know that I have most of the tapes of my consultation. These include repeated and specific warning about theft, not only from me in the JFK material but in the entire field, including Hing. He and they are foolish if they kid themselves on this. Thaft is the predominating characteristic, asides pethaps, from sycophancy.

When I can I'll be writing Playoby's lawyer and writer. But if Jaffe is Hefner's friend, as I've been told, I think Hefner should know. And I'd like to know that Hefner thinks about this situation.

Sincerely,