Protecting Sources—A Continuing Bati

got a secret House report that he made and, he says, refuse to reveal how he go before the House Ethics Committee ter a den of congressional lions. He will be a media event, Daniel Schorr will en-Next Wednesday, in what is bound to

foolish like try to put Schorr in jail. that the committee will do something sides, and there is always the danger can expect some theatrics on sition to use its teeth. Nonetheless, tyrdom. The committee shows no dispo-While the newsman and the con-Schorr says he has no desire for marboth

sources is going on in California. right of journalists to protect their spotlight, a grimmer contest over the gressmen gird for their moment in the When Schorr marches to the witness

ther action by the courts, they must remain in jail until they reveal their tences, which means that, barring furnever do. stand in Washington, four newspapersource. This they have said they will how their newspaper, the Fresno Bee, second week in jail for refusing to tell lished. They are under open-end sengot secret grand jury material it pubmen will be nearing the end of their

circuit. The Fresno Four have gone virquenter of talk shows and the lecture become an authentic celebrity, a freof the secret report caper, his CBS News job—with pay—because tually unnoticed except for a flurry of licized from the start. Suspended from The Schorr case has been highly pub-Schorr has

attention when they went to jail on Sept. 2.
But both are part of the continuing

in Washington next Wednesday. press as the confrontation to take place ciary in the case of the Fresno Fourlative branch in Schorr's case, the judibattle between officialdom-the legisleast as important to the freedom of the plight of the California newsmen is at confidential sources. The unpublicized and the press over the protection of

be released. He used the contents in a series of CBS radio and television reon the CIA a few days before it was to published stories. February when he obtained a copy of a of the First Amendment was born in obtained the report or parts of it also ports. Newspaper reporters who had House Schorr's career as a public defender Intelligence Committee report

the report should be published. upon decided that werbatim sections of and the White House, voted to suppress the report indefinitely. Schorr therebetween the intelligence committee Then the House, caught in a conflict

He made it available, privately, to the publisher of the Village Voice, a liberal New York weekly, with the stipulation Reporters Committee for Freedom of that payment should be made to the he Press.

gry. Members of Congress hinted the CIA might have fomented the whole suddenly the shopworn report was a storm center. President Ford was an-Excerpts appeared in the Voice and

mess. And, courtesy of The Washington Post, Schorr's role in the publication by the Voice became public, as did the deal involving the Reporters Commitee.

parently without success. \$150,000 looking for Schorr's source, apits insensitivity to congressional misbe-havior, aroused itself enough to spend The ethics committee, notorious for

in the peddling of the report, for example, and the ethics committee's costly to the Schorr case—the involvement of the high-minded Reporters Committee There are some humorous overtones

The News Business

the Village Voice excerpts of the rehard put to find someone who has read ing vital information, one would be search for the leak. Also, although Schorr has been taking bows for reveal-

councilman, a developer and a former There was no comic relief in the Fresno case. Local corruption was the planning official in connection with issue there. A grand jury indicted a city by the developer. the alleged bribery of the councilman

eventually was acquitted), the Fresno so prospective trial jurors would not be Bee published a story containing matebeen granted a change of venue (he influenced. After the councilman had rial from the grand jury testimony. A judge sealed grand jury transcripts

> ject to his gag order. the material, citing California's "shield refused to tell the judge how they got not come from any of the persons subtell the judge that the information did When hailed into court, the newsmen protecting journalists. They did

those efforts. Reporters Committee is taking part in tinuing, of course. And to its credit, the to jail. Efforts to free the men are conthe U. S.Supreme Court, the men went and futile attempts to win a stay from the shield law. After several appeals to protect its own processes superseded The judge ruled that the court's need

court files. judge, could have provided access that one of the newsmen had a court-Fresno case, but I find it disturbing am less familiar with details of the and no money ever changed hands. no intention of profiting personally intelligence report, even though he had ion, by the effort he made to peddle the nouse master key that, according to the Schorr's case is tainted, in my opin-ಕ

in both these cases is the confidentiality of news sources. That confidentiality is essential to an effective free system. press, and a free press is vital to our Nevertheless, what is really at stake

everybody, not just for those of us in the news business. crack down on journalists for protectbranch of government or at what level, ing sources it is a matter of concern for So when officials, no matter in what