## 4/22/71

Dear Mr. Durkin,
In your $4 / 22$ you becins:
"On page 465 of FRAR UP you pablish a photo and a gketch of a nowhty gay killed kartin Whther King awd was also amootiod in Dollan nt the time JRK wat agsmoatratur."
 nor dea it beas any rationsi relationnip to edy falr interpzetation of what I said, think or infamed.

Is there no end to your lies, ande-decoptions, mismepresentations? Are you complately corrupted by thet stranze thing you oall politics? or is this your n tuxed ourae?

I sue eest in your owa inter st that you compare your worls vith mine and then apolozize to youmself. I condn't cars les whether or not you do to ne.
bore, I do not kiow who kilized ünh, nowhere sold I do, sily nothing I own recall havincs written or said in pubtic oun by any aonelible humn being be intexprated in any other wey. Iou really ahonld meise on effort to make occase.onal contace wh th reality.

Altlough thom in a strong regemblone to the zicture in the aran ghot of the han you encloan, I horatate to eeroo with your statement that ha an tho Dillias onen ene one and $t$ seme. I poe no reanon to beltevo they are the same man, uten if the pictures were taken at differont yeriode in thir lives. As a matter of fact, the picture you anclose doess senn to be that of a nes. whose pioture I have noon before, but not, to tho best of yy meollection, fre any eentext thas could leed me to believo he had ayy connection with the Kinc esoessinetion.

I do net lmow what you mean by the contradiction, "would you $15 k$ further information at charge end uith no obligation?" unless, lieli ne, you nake erros in your typing. But I would like to be in a position to jeep this man from boing hurt b unfair accugetions, for nothing I know wermates any charico in thow comections ageinst hin, by you or anyone else.

From fincerpmont toricince, I suspect thic in a orast enlargemont of a rathor small port of a piesume.

Is it, perchance, part of one of those Rose fabmications?

