

Dear Bill <sup>2</sup>icl,

9/14/81

Good paper, good prof. If you want to talk, why not let me know in advance and make it when you can get the weekend rate?

There are a few inaccuracies. And I think in your opening categorizing of the published material you should have had one for and samples of the responsible books.

7. Not only reckless work after Warren Report out.

10. Most Commission witnesses not among 94 and not before Commission members.

12/ Line 1: Ford not "covert" editor of Portrait. Openly co-author.

14. No displays of physical evidence was made.

14-15-faithful about Tague and curbstone but not accurate. Tague did not report it but he was observed, first by Deputy Sheriff Buddy Walthers and then by the cop who had been on the Triple Overpass, I think Foster.

17, 4 up and 19, 4 down. It was federal district court for the District of Columbia, which has its own appeals court, as the States do.

19 ff. Not a new law suit. Remand, same as last you mention. It was a new affidavit and new motion, actually not filed until 9/8/81. I'm having a press conference on this in Washington Thursday.

Best wishes,