Dr. Malcolm O. Perry Department of Surgery RF-25 School of Nedictine University of Washington Seattle, Wash. 98195.

Dear Malcolm.

WY.

The second second

In my heaty letter of 10/1 I forgot to thank you for the informality with which you addressed me in your letter of 9/21. Some of those involved in the tragic events and the investigation of them have become good friends. Only one, a commercializer, has been at all unfriendly.

I enclose a carbon of this letter for Dr. Carrico. I hope he will not think I am doing more than saving a little time. I am tired again, I suspect from the arterial problem. (You doctors have a way with words: I was told this is an "embarrassment." But I guess from the way I feel after a little physical exertion the word has some appropriateness for one who has enjoyed vigorous physical activity.)

If as I wrote you it had not been necessary to change my plane because the others I wanted to see would not be available we would be speaking again tonight. I had looked forward to that. I had hoped that in telling you what I have learned since we last spoke quite aside from what is involved in the Freedom of Information litigation it might have

meant something to you that in turn might have meant I'd understant more.

The enclosed affidavits I hope you and Dr. Carrico will agree to affirm come mostly from your Warren Commission testimony and from what you both told me in 1971. I believe that only Dr. Carrico's description to me of how the clothes were cut off is not just about verbatin from his testimony. The small percentage not from your testimony will be apparent. Both affidavits de address whether or not certain tests should have been performed. This is why much of your sarlier testimony is not relevant. The existence or non-existence of the tests the results of which are sought is the mandate to me from the federal court of appeals, and one way of addressing that is establishing a keed for them to have been made. They also said it should be done through those with personal knowledge.

If you have any questions please ask them. If changes are needed I'd appreciate it if you make them or return either or both affidavits to me for such correction. If they are satisfactory please send them to my lawyer, Jim Lesar, 910 16 St., No., Suite 600,

Washington, D.C. 20006.

Until I went over Dr. Carrice's testimony again I had forgotten about his having written a letter for his children, for when they were old enough to understand. These efforts to bring withheld information to light under the Freedom of Information Act are for everyone's children. I have none. Whatever comes of them, if I did not tell you, will be deposited at the iniversity of disconsin, in the Stevens foiat Branch. They will be available to everyone.

I would like to be able to speak further to both of you as well as to some of the other medical people at "arkland but I can travel only when something like an honorarium for a speech makes it possible. Since the thrombophlebitis in both legs and thighs I travel only when it is necessary to avoid having the legs down too long. I no longer drive my own car to Washington, which is only a little over an hour away. The NIH is closer. However if either of you is ever in the Washington area and has some free time I would be able to get there and I would want to.

Best wishes and thanks to you both.

Sincerely.