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Dear Bill,
Thanks for your letter of the 13th.
While the media remains unchanged and will not change there is this little change, I'm beginning to hear from history profs. As well as other profs. Two sociologists will be here later today. They plan a book, too.

I look forvard to your book. What is its title and who will publish it?
I am able to mpve less than when you were here and I can stand still less so I do Not browse any more, much as once I loved to do that. Breginning as I now recall more than 70 years ago.

Despite the odds against us years ago I believe that if Fensterwald had taken a different approach with which he had agreed and if he had selected a different local lawyer the case would have been different after the evidentiary hearing. I think we could have won that and would have, if not before Mac ${ }^{H}$ ae on appeal if he had taken the approach $I^{\prime} d$ pressed on him and with which he ${ }^{\prime} d$ finally agreed.And then $d i d n{ }^{\prime}{ }^{t}$.

What you conclude with is provocative. I hope it works and I do look forward to reading it.


