

12/6/69

Dear Paul,

Great, your writing Nerdelle on catching my typo. As you can see, he sent it immediately. Now for me to have it on the sixth when you spotted it in California on the second and the letter that you then wrote went to N.O., man, that is service!

My borrowed machine cannot make a legible copy of this. If you cannot make one out there, you can keep it and if I want it I'll write. But doesn't this point up Liebel's cupidty, when this picture was before him and with all he knew of Arcecha, in not calling him as a witness.

On another subject, I did mail the xerox of CCUP add to you yesterday. I haven't been well, but I'm feeling better, the fever having broken in a great sweat during the night. I know I wrote Hal about this, but I may not have to you. I presume xeroxing this is quite a chore. Therefore, as with the N.O. testimony, I suggest you wait until you know how many you will want for those who may want them. You know the reservations I impose. It is this time not for Sylvia, because of a very good reason I do not go into. I loaned her all the others. Okay on Bernabei, Gary, you three out there and Mary. If there are others you think should have it, please ask me, for it is not at all that I do not want anyone to have it. But among the reasons I consider more than adequate is controlling the contents until the suit. As an example of how strong our feelings are on this, we are avoiding a Washington Merry-Go-Round article for the time being, and it is not because exposure that extensive is not worthwhile. When I say "we", I got the invitation from Anderson through a British correspondent friend and haven't responded. But was to come today but a legal emergency prevented it. When he phoned I raised the question with him and he agrees, no publicity now. This, of course, might frustrate attention that might lead to publication, but we regard the possibilities of the suit as that important. I hope this is clear, that I have made it understandable. There is a Washington Post reporter I believe I can trust here right now, seeing this material, but in confidence, so he will be backgrounded for what we will consider the right time. This man covered the Memphis proceeding.

Returning to Mardelle: I do not know how common it is for things like this to disappear from their morgue, but among the things in this case that are gone are Stuckey's stuff. Including on the camps.

Have a rather interesting letter from Kelley I'll try and answer by the time I mail this so I can enclose it and response. I hope you will both study the stock evasions and let me know if there are any I have missed.

Jerry Olsoff phoned last night. He has a friend going to England and France. Is there anything we want him to pick up? On Penkovsky, for example?

Best,