

70
24
2/2/70
E

CD1203- my card lists only 1203a:5-12, as related to Bringyier. I say how more, and got it earlier. I have filed this under Pena and I'd appreciate a copy to send him. He has been sending me clippings lately, no more. This is particularly interesting because we already have reason to believe the FBI was out to poison WC against him. The recommendation that he be put under oath is rather unusual, is it not? Now at this time the FBI was giving him a rough time, hurting his business at the Habana, as you may recall from O in NO, where I added it after my first trip to NO. I think it would be good to see exactly what was asked in Rankin's 4/23. I'd be surprised is the "conflicting information furnished by Mr. Orestes Pena" was internal conflict. More likely it conflicted with other stuff or was fashioned to seem this way by the FBI. I cannot identify the Morrissey memo so I do not know if I have it. The "continuing investigation" also smacks of effort to persuade WC not to heed him, for had they, the Bringyier nonsense would have collapsed and they'd have had a real mess, from their point of view. I'd be very interested in anything further on this, with a copy for him, please, inc. this. What I have carded on his is CD984:4; 126:41; 1349:1-14; and I have no cards on what is in O in NO unpub appendix. I think this area is worth keeping after. There are too many strange things, esp. the absence of the agents who knew him best.

CD1286 is lovely. After all, why "investigate" either the JES or the "inutemen when they are both extensively in the assassination inquiry? I'll try and add to COUP appendix.

CD1520:1 lists two enclosures. The other might be worth seeing if it is really the real death certificate, which was carefully withheld

On Pena again: he didn't refuse to help. He objects to the hassling, the pressure, the ostentation, which was bot unnecessary and hurtful to his business. Rather than refuse, he actually went to the FBI office over this. His chief trouble was, as he saw it, with deb, and I am inclined to suspect he is behind all this.

CD59:11, neither Canal nor Montgomery familiar to me. However, how this suggests the Sergio Carbo speech!